

APPENDIX A

This Appendix provides a copy of the FEMA Region III, Local Hazard Mitigation Plan Review Crosswalk. This crosswalk documents which sections of the Plan contain the FEMA hazard mitigation planning requirements.

LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW TOOL

The *Local Mitigation Plan Review Tool* demonstrates how the Local Mitigation Plan meets the regulation in 44 CFR §201.6 and offers States and FEMA Mitigation Planners an opportunity to provide feedback to the community.

- The Regulation Checklist provides a summary of FEMA's evaluation of whether the Plan has addressed all requirements.
- The Plan Assessment identifies the plan's strengths as well as documents areas for future improvement.
- The Multi-jurisdiction Summary Sheet is an optional worksheet that can be used to document how each jurisdiction met the requirements of the each Element of the Plan (Planning Process; Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment; Mitigation Strategy; Plan Review, Evaluation, and Implementation; and Plan Adoption).

The FEMA Mitigation Planner must reference this *Local Mitigation Plan Review Guide* when completing the *Local Mitigation Plan Review Tool*.

Jurisdiction: Hampton Roads, Virginia	Title of Plan: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan	Date of Plan: July, 2016 (expected)
Local Point of Contact: John Sadler	Address: The Regional Building 723 Woodlake Drive Chesapeake, VA 23320	
Title: Emergency Management Administrator		
Agency: Hampton Roads Planning District Commission		
Phone Number: 757-420-8300		
E-Mail: jsadler@hrpdc.gov		

State Reviewer:	Title:	Date:
------------------------	---------------	--------------

FEMA Reviewer:	Title:	Date:
Date Received in FEMA Region (insert #)		
Plan Not Approved		
Plan Approvable Pending Adoption		
Plan Approved		

SECTION 1: REGULATION CHECKLIST

INSTRUCTIONS: The Regulation Checklist must be completed by FEMA. The purpose of the Checklist is to identify the location of relevant or applicable content in the Plan by Element/sub-element and to determine if each requirement has been 'Met' or 'Not Met.' The 'Required Revisions' summary at the bottom of each Element must be completed by FEMA to provide a clear explanation of the revisions that are required for plan approval. Required revisions must be explained for each plan sub-element that is 'Not Met.' Sub-elements should be referenced in each summary by using the appropriate numbers (A1, B3, etc.), where applicable. Requirements for each Element and sub-element are described in detail in this *Plan Review Guide* in Section 4, Regulation Checklist.

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST		Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met	Not Met
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)				
ELEMENT A. PLANNING PROCESS				
A1. Does the Plan document the planning process, including how it was prepared and who was involved in the process for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(1))		Section 2		
A2. Does the Plan document an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, agencies that have the authority to regulate development as well as other interests to be involved in the planning process? (Requirement §201.6(b)(2))		Section 2, particularly "Involving Stakeholders", p. 2:11		
A3. Does the Plan document how the public was involved in the planning process during the drafting stage? (Requirement §201.6(b)(1))		Section 2, particularly "Involving the Public", p. 2:8		
A4. Does the Plan describe the review and incorporation of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information? (Requirement §201.6(b)(3))		Section 2, "2015/2016 Community Meetings & Workshops" p. 2:6- 2:8		
A5. Is there discussion of how the community(ies) will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(iii))		Section 8, "Continued Public Involvement" p. 8:4		
A6. Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current (monitoring, evaluating and updating the mitigation plan within a 5-year cycle)? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(i))		Section 8		
ELEMENT A: REQUIRED REVISIONS				

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST		Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met	Not Met
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)				
ELEMENT B. HAZARD IDENTIFICATION AND RISK ASSESSMENT				
B1. Does the Plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect each jurisdiction(s)? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i))	Section 4			
B2. Does the Plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i))	Section 4, within each hazard sub-section			
B3. Is there a description of each identified hazard's impact on the community as well as an overall summary of the community's vulnerability for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii))	Sections 4 & 5			
B4. Does the Plan address NFIP insured structures within the jurisdiction that have been repetitively damaged by floods? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii))	Section 5, "Flooding" beginning p. 5:8			
<u>ELEMENT B: REQUIRED REVISIONS</u>				
ELEMENT C. MITIGATION STRATEGY				
C1. Does the plan document each jurisdiction's existing authorities, policies, programs and resources and its ability to expand on and improve these existing policies and programs? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3))	Section 6			
C2. Does the Plan address each jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP and continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii))	Section 4, p. 4:5 Section 5, p. 5:7 Section 6, p. 6:6			
C3. Does the Plan include goals to reduce/avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(i))	Section 7, Mitigation Goals, p. 7:2			
C4. Does the Plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects for each jurisdiction being considered to reduce the effects of hazards, with emphasis on new and existing buildings and infrastructure? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii))	Section 7, starting p. 7:4			
C5. Does the Plan contain an action plan that describes how the actions identified will be prioritized (including cost benefit review), implemented, and administered by each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iv)); (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iii))	Section 7, starting p. 7:13			
C6. Does the Plan describe a process by which local governments will integrate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(ii))	Section 8, "Implementation", p. 8:2			
<u>ELEMENT C: REQUIRED REVISIONS</u>				

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST		Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met	Not Met
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)				
ELEMENT D. PLAN REVIEW, EVALUATION, AND IMPLEMENTATION (applicable to plan updates only)				
D1. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in development? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3))	Sections 3, 5 & 6			
D2. Was the plan revised to reflect progress in local mitigation efforts? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3))	Section 6, p. 6:14 Appendix F			
D3. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3))	Section 7, starting p. 7:13			
<u>ELEMENT D: REQUIRED REVISIONS</u>				
ELEMENT E. PLAN ADOPTION				
E1. Does the Plan include documentation that the plan has been formally adopted by the governing body of the jurisdiction requesting approval? (Requirement §201.6(c)(5))	to be contained in Appendix B			
E2. For multi-jurisdictional plans, has each jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan documented formal plan adoption? (Requirement §201.6(c)(5))	to be contained in Appendix B			
<u>ELEMENT E: REQUIRED REVISIONS</u>				
ELEMENT F. ADDITIONAL STATE REQUIREMENTS (OPTIONAL FOR STATE REVIEWERS ONLY; NOT TO BE COMPLETED BY FEMA)				
F1.				
F2.				
<u>ELEMENT F: REQUIRED REVISIONS</u>				

APPENDIX B

This Appendix provides copies of the resolutions of adoption for this plan, as well as the final approval letter from FEMA Region III.



City of Hampton

22 Lincoln Street
Hampton, VA 23669
www.hampton.gov

Official Record

Resolution: 17-0027

File Number: 17-0027

Resolution Adopting the 2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

WHEREAS, The City of Hampton recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS, undertaking hazard mitigation actions will reduce the potential for harm to people and property from future hazard occurrences; and

WHEREAS, an adopted Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan is required as a condition of future funding for mitigation projects under multiple FEMA pre- and post-disaster mitigation grant programs; and

WHEREAS, the City of Hampton fully participated in the FEMA-prescribed mitigation planning process to prepare this Hazard Mitigation Plan; and "2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan" and approved it contingent upon this official adoption of the participating governments and entities;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the City of Hampton, Virginia, adopts the "2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan" as an official plan; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the City of Hampton will submit this Adoption Resolution to the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials to enable the Plan's final approval.

approved by the Hampton City Council on 2/22/2017.

Aye: 7 Vice Mayor Curtis, Councilmember Gray, Councilmember Hobbs, Councilmember Snead, Councilmember Moffett, Councilmember Schmidt and Mayor Tuck

Signed by:

Donnie R. Tuck

Date

FEB 22 2017

Attested by:

Katherine K. Glass

Date

FEB 22 2017



City of Hampton, Virginia

CERTIFICATE OF THE CLERK OF COUNCIL

VIRGINIA: At a regular meeting of the City Council of Hampton, Virginia, held in the Council Chambers of said City, on Wednesday, February 22, 2017, at 6:30 p.m.

PRESENT: Donnie R. Tuck, Mayor
Linda Curtis, Vice Mayor
Jimmy Gray
W. H. "Billy" Hobbs
Will Moffett
Teresa V. Schmidt
Chris Snead

17-0027 **Resolution Adopting the 2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update**

ACTION: Approved

MOVER: Councilwoman Teresa V. Schmidt

SECONDER: Vice Mayor Linda Curtis

AYES: 7 – Vice Mayor Curtis, Councilmember Gray, Councilmember Hobbs, Councilmember Moffett, Councilmember Schmidt, Councilmember Snead, Mayor Tuck

NAYS: 0

I certify the foregoing to be a true and accurate recorded vote of the City Council of the City of Hampton, Virginia, taken at a regular meeting held February 22, 2017.



Katherine K. Glass
Clerk of Council

Date: February 23, 2017

RESOLUTION NO. 12996-17

A RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE 2017 HAMPTON ROADS HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN.

WHEREAS, the City of Newport News recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS, undertaking hazard mitigation actions will reduce the potential for harm to people and property from future hazard occurrences; and

WHEREAS, an adopted Hazard Mitigation Plan is required as a condition of future funding for mitigation projects under multiple FEMA pre- and post-disaster mitigation grant programs; and

WHEREAS, the Counties of York and James City and the Cities of Hampton, Newport News and Williamsburg partnered to develop a hazard mitigation plan for the peninsula which was adopted in 2006; and

WHEREAS, the Peninsula Hazard Mitigation Plan was updated in 2011; and

WHEREAS, as part of the 2017 update process, six separate hazard mitigation plans, including the Peninsula Hazard Mitigation Plan, have been combined into a single Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan; and

WHEREAS, the City of Newport News fully participated in the FEMA-prescribed mitigation planning process to prepare this Hazard Mitigation Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Regional III officials have reviewed the 2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan and approved it contingent upon this official adoption of the participating governments and entities.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Newport News, Virginia:

1. That it hereby adopts the 2017 Hampton Roads Mitigation Plan as an official plan.
2. That a copy of the 2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan shall be maintained in the Office of the City Clerk.
3. That a copy of this resolution shall be submitted to the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials to enable the Plan's final approval.

4. That this resolution shall be in effect on and after the date of its adoption, January 10, 2017.

PASSED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NEWPORT NEWS ON JANUARY 10, 2017

Mabel Washington Jenkins, MMC
City Clerk

McKinley L. Price, DDS
Mayor

A true copy, teste:

Mabel Washington Jenkins
City Clerk

RESOLUTION NO. 4079

**RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE 2017 HAMPTON ROADS HAZARD MITIGATION
PLAN UPDATE**

WHEREAS, the City of Poquoson, Virginia recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS, undertaking hazard mitigation actions will reduce the potential for harm to people and property from future hazard occurrences; and

WHEREAS, an adopted Hazard Mitigation Plan is required as a condition of future funding for mitigation projects under multiple FEMA pre- and post-disaster mitigation grant programs; and

WHEREAS, the City of Poquoson, Virginia fully participated in the FEMA-prescribed mitigation planning process to prepare this Hazard Mitigation Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials have reviewed the **“2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update”** and approved it contingent upon this official adoption of the participating governments and entities.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Poquoson, Virginia:

Section 1: That the **“2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan”** is hereby adopted as an official regional plan.

Section 2: That this Adoption Resolution will be submitted to the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials to enable the Plan’s final approval.

Section 2: That this resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

ADOPTED: February 27, 2017

TESTE: Judy F. Wiggins
City Clerk



**RESOLUTION #17-01
HAMPTON ROADS HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN**

WHEREAS, the City of Williamsburg recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS, undertaking hazard mitigation actions will reduce the potential for harm to people and property from future hazard occurrences; and

WHEREAS, an adopted Hazard Mitigation Plan is required as a condition of future funding for mitigation projects under multiple FEMA pre- and post-disaster mitigation grant programs; and

WHEREAS, the City of Williamsburg fully participated in the FEMA-prescribed mitigation planning process to prepare this Hazard Mitigation Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials have reviewed the "2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan" and approved it contingent upon this official adoption of the participating governments and entities.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the City Council of Williamsburg adopts the "2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan" as an official plan; and

FURTHER, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Adopted Resolution will be submitted to the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III, to enable the Plan's final approval.

Adopted: January 12, 2017

Attest:

Gerry S. Walton, City Council Clerk

Paul T. Freiling, Mayor

RESOLUTION

HAMPTON ROADS HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN ADOPTION

WHEREAS, James City County Fire Department is seeking the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) approval of a Hazard Mitigation Plan that recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS, undertaking hazard mitigation actions will reduce the potential for harm to people and property from future hazard occurrences; and

WHEREAS, an adopted Hazard Mitigation Plan is required as a condition of future funding for mitigation projects under multiple FEMA pre- and post-disaster mitigation grant programs; and

WHEREAS, James City County Fire Department fully participated in the FEMA-prescribed mitigation planning process to prepare this Hazard Mitigation Plan; and


WHEREAS, the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials have reviewed the "2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update" and approved it contingent upon the official adoption of the participating governments and entities.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of James City County, Virginia, hereby adopts the "2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan" as an official plan.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the James City County Fire Department will submit this Adoption Resolution to the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials to enable the Plan's final approval.


Kevin D. Onizuk
Chairman, Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:


Bryan J. Hill
Clerk to the Board

	VOTES		
	<u>AYE</u>	<u>NAY</u>	<u>ABSTAIN</u>
MCGLENNON	☒	☐	☐
SADLER	☒	☐	☐
HIPPLE	☒	☐	☐
LARSON	☒	☐	☐
ONIZUK	☒	☐	☐

Adopted by the Board of Supervisors of James City County, Virginia, this 14th day of March, 2017.

HRMitigationPln-res

As the Secretary to the Board, and the custodian of the seal of the Board of Supervisors, I, Teresa J. Fellows, hereby certify that this is a true and correct copy of the original document.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YORK
YORKTOWN, VIRGINIA

Resolution

At a regular meeting of the York County Board of Supervisors held in the Board Room, York Hall, Yorktown, Virginia, on the 21st day of March, 2017:

<u>Present</u>	<u>Vote</u>
Sheila S. Noll, Chairman	Yea
Jeffrey D. Wassmer, Vice Chairman	Yea
Walter C. Zaremba	Yea
Thomas G. Shepperd, Jr.	Yea
 <u>Absent</u>	
W. Chad Green	

On motion of Mr. Zaremba, which carried 4:0, the following resolution was adopted:

A RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE 2017 HAMPTON ROADS HAZARD
MITIGATION PLAN UPDATE

WHEREAS, the York County Board of Supervisors recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our County; and

WHEREAS, undertaking hazard mitigation actions will reduce the potential for harm to people and property from future hazard occurrences; and

WHEREAS, an adopted Hazard Mitigation Plan is required as a condition of future funding for mitigation projects under multiple FEMA pre- and post-disaster mitigation grant programs; and

WHEREAS, County agencies and staff participated in the FEMA-prescribed mitigation planning process to prepare the Hazard Mitigation Plan; and


WHEREAS, the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), Region III officials have reviewed the

“2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update” and approved it contingent upon the official adoption by the participating governments;


NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the York County Board of Supervisors this 21st day of March, 2016, that the “2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan” be, and it is hereby, adopted.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the County Administrator and his designee(s) are authorized to maintain and revise the “Hazard Mitigation Plan” as necessitated and/or required by the Commonwealth of Virginia and/or the Federal Government and to promulgate the changes as necessary.

A Copy Teste:



Mary E. Simmons
Deputy Clerk

Form and Correctness Approved: 

By 

Office of the City Attorney

Contents Approved:

By 

DEPT. EOC

NORFOLK, VIRGINIA

Resolution 1,671

R-5

A RESOLUTION APPROVING AND ADOPTING AN UPDATED PLAN ADDRESSING HAZARD MITIGATION ACTIONS TO PROTECT PEOPLE AND PROPERTY KNOWN AS THE "2017 HAMPTON ROADS HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN UPDATE".

- - -

WHEREAS, the City of Norfolk recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community;

WHEREAS, undertaking hazard mitigation actions will reduce the potential for harm to people and property from future hazard occurrences;

WHEREAS, an adopted Hazard Mitigation Plan is required as a condition of future funding for mitigation projects under multiple FEMA pre- and post-disaster mitigation grant programs;

WHEREAS, the City of Norfolk fully participated in the FEMA-prescribed mitigation planning process to prepare this Hazard Mitigation Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials have reviewed the "2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update" and approved it contingent upon official adoption by the participating governments and entities; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Norfolk:

Section 1:- That the "2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan," a complete copy of which (including appendices) is placed on filed with the City's Department of Emergency Preparedness and Response, is hereby approved and adopted.

Section 2:- That the Department of Emergency Preparedness and Response will submit a certified copy of this Resolution to the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and the Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials in furtherance of the Plan's final approval.

Section 3: - That this resolution shall be in effect from the date of its adoption.


Adopted by Council March 28, 2017
Effective March 28, 2017

TRUE COPY

TESTE:

R. BRECKENRIDGE DAUGHTREY, CITY CLERK

BY:


DEPUTY CITY CLERK

A RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE 2017 HAMPTON ROADS HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN TO REDUCE DAMAGES AND SAVE LIVES IN THE EVENT OF A NATURAL DISASTER IN THE REGION.

WHEREAS, the City of Portsmouth, Virginia recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS, undertaking hazard mitigation actions will reduce the potential for harm to people and property from future hazard occurrences; and

WHEREAS, an adopted Hazard Mitigation Plan is required as a condition of future funding for mitigation projects under multiple FEMA pre- and post-disaster mitigation grant programs; and

WHEREAS, by Resolution R-12-08 adopted on February 14, 2012, City Council adopted the 2011 Southside Hampton Roads Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan, which was an update of the original 2006 Southside Hampton Roads Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan; and

WHEREAS, the 2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan updates the 2011 Plan and is the result of a two year long FEMA-prescribed regional planning process which involved representatives from Portsmouth and 21 other localities; and


WHEREAS, the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials have reviewed the 2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan and approved it contingent upon its official adoption by participating governments and entities;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Portsmouth, Virginia, that it hereby adopts the "2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan" as an official plan.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Manager is authorized to submit this Resolution to the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and to Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials to enable the Plan's final approval.

ADOPTED by the Council of the City of Portsmouth, Virginia at a meeting held on February 28, 2017.

Teste:


City Clerk

RESOLUTION NUMBER 17-R-004

**A RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE "2017 HAMPTON ROADS
HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN"**

WHEREAS, the City of Suffolk recognizes the threat that natural hazards posed to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS, undertaking hazard mitigation actions will reduce the potential for harm to people and property from future hazardous occurrences; and

WHEREAS, an adopted Hazard Mitigation Plan is required as a condition of future funding for mitigation projects under multiple FEMA pre and post disaster mitigation grant programs; and


WHEREAS, the City of Suffolk fully participated in the FEMA-prescribed mitigation planning process to prepare this Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials have reviewed the "2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan" and approved it contingent upon the official adoption of the participating governments and entities.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Suffolk, Virginia, that it hereby adopts the "2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan" as an official plan, in substantially the same form as the document on file in the City Clerk's office.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the City of Suffolk will submit this adopted Resolution to the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials to enable the Plan's final approval.

READ AND ADOPTED: FEBRUARY 15, 2017

TESTE: 
Erika S. Dawley, MMC, City Clerk

ORD - 3490H

AN ORDINANCE TO RE-ADOPT THE HAMPTON ROADS REGIONAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN

WHEREAS, in an effort to reduce the Nation's mounting natural disaster losses, the U.S. Congress passed the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 that requires, in part, that state and local governments develop a hazard mitigation plan in order to remain eligible for pre- and post-disaster mitigation funding;

WHEREAS, the City of Virginia Beach sponsored the development of a regional hazard mitigation plan in 2006;

WHEREAS, the regional mitigation plan will meet all applicable Federal regulations per the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 and local planning requirements established by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), as well as local planning requirements for hazard mitigation required by the Virginia Department of Emergency Management;

WHEREAS, a FEMA-approved mitigation plan must be re-adopted by the local governing bodies every five (5) years for local communities to remain eligible for future grant funds made available through FEMA's Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM) program and Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP);

WHEREAS, the Southside Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation plan was updated and re-adopted in 2012;

WHEREAS, in 2016 the City of Virginia Beach, along with the other jurisdictions associated with this plan and in in coordination with the Hampton Roads Planning District Commission (HRPDC) updated the Hampton Roads Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan, which has been approved by the Virginia Department of Emergency Management (VDEM) and FEMA.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF VIRGINIA BEACH, VIRGINIA:

That after the required five (5) year review, the Hampton Roads Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan is hereby approved and re-adopted for the City of Virginia Beach.

Adopted by the Council of the City of Virginia Beach, Virginia this 7th day of March, 2017.

APPROVED AS TO CONTENT:



Steven R. Cover
City Manager's Office

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL SUFFICIENCY:



Elizabeth Bradley DeBarnette
City Attorney's Office

17-R-005

RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE 2017 HAMPTON ROADS HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN

WHEREAS, natural hazards pose a threat to people and property within the City of Chesapeake and to Hampton Roads generally; and

WHEREAS, undertaking hazard mitigation actions will reduce the potential for harm to people and property from future hazard occurrences; and

WHEREAS, the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires that state and local governments develop, adopt, and regularly update hazard mitigation plans in order to remain eligible for pre- and post- disaster mitigation funding; and

WHEREAS, the purpose of a hazard mitigation plan is to assess the risk of vulnerabilities to natural and man-made hazards and to make recommendations for mitigating the effects of such hazards. The plan is intended to recommend local or regional hazard mitigation policies that will promote public safety, protections of natural resources, and public awareness; and

WHEREAS, the City Council adopted the 2014 Chesapeake Hazard Mitigation Plan on May 27, 2014; and

WHEREAS, the City of Chesapeake and other Hampton Roads localities participated with the coordination of the Hampton Roads Planning District Commission in a collaborative effort of integrating and updating separately prepared hazard mitigations plans into a single document, the 2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan ("Updated Hazard Mitigation Plan"); and

WHEREAS, the Chesapeake Natural Event Mitigation Advisory Committee has recommended adoption of the Updated Hazard Mitigation Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Updated Hazard Mitigation Plan has received preliminary review and approval, subject to its adoption by all the participating governing bodies, from the Virginia Department of Emergency Management (VDEM) and Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Chesapeake, Virginia, that the Updated Hazard Mitigation Plan is hereby adopted; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Fire Department's Office of Emergency Management submit the Updated Hazard Mitigation Plan to VDEM and FEMA for final approval.

ADOPTED by the Council of the City of Chesapeake, Virginia, this 14th day of February, 2017.

APPROVED:


Mayor

ATTEST:


Clerk of the Council

7-2017

**RESOLUTION TO ADOPT THE 2017 HAMPTON ROADS HAZARD
MITIGATION PLAN UPDATE**

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of the County of Isle of Wight, Virginia recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and,

WHEREAS, undertaking hazard mitigation actions will reduce the potential for harm to people and property from future hazard occurrences; and,

WHEREAS, an adopted Hazard Mitigation Plan is required as a condition of future funding for mitigation projects under multiple FEMA pre- and post-disaster mitigation grant programs; and,


WHEREAS, the County of Isle of Wight fully participated in the FEMA-prescribed mitigation planning process to prepare this Hazard Mitigation Plan; and,


WHEREAS, the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials have reviewed the "2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update" and approved it contingent upon this official adoption of the participating governments and entities.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors of the County of Isle of Wight, Virginia officially adopts the "2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan" as an official plan.


BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the County Administrator of Isle of Wight County, or his/her designees, is tasked and authorized to maintain and revise, as necessary, this document over the next five-year period or until such time as it is ordered to come before this Board.

Adopted this 16th day of February, 2017.


Carey Mills Storm, Clerk


Rex W. Alphin, Chairman

Approved as to form:


Mark C. Popovich, County Attorney

Resolution # _____

Adopting the 2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Whereas, the Town of Smithfield recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

Whereas, undertaking hazard mitigation actions will reduce the potential for harm to people and property from future hazard occurrences; and

Whereas, an adopted Hazard Mitigation Plan is required as a condition of future funding for mitigation projects under multiple FEMA pre- and post-disaster mitigation grant programs; and

Whereas, the Town of Smithfield fully participated in the FEMA-prescribed mitigation planning process to prepare this Hazard Mitigation Plan; and

Whereas, the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials have reviewed the "2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update" and approved it contingent upon this official adoption of the participating governments and entities;


Now, therefore, be it resolved, that the Town of Smithfield adopts the "2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan" as an official plan; and

Be it further resolved, the Town of Smithfield will submit this Adoption Resolution to the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials to enable the Plan's final approval.

Passed: February 7th, 2017



T. Carter Williams, Mayor



Attested by Lesley G. King, Town Clerk

Resolution #

Adopting the 2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Whereas, the Town of Windsor recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

Whereas, undertaking hazard mitigation actions will reduce the potential for harm to people and property from future hazard occurrences; and

Whereas, an adopted Hazard Mitigation Plan is required as a condition of future funding for mitigation projects under multiple FEMA pre- and post-disaster mitigation grant programs; and


Whereas, the Town of Windsor fully participated in the FEMA-prescribed mitigation planning process to prepare this Hazard Mitigation Plan; and

Whereas, the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials have reviewed the “**2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update**” and approved it contingent upon this official adoption of the participating governments and entities;

Now, therefore, be it resolved, that the Town of Windsor adopts the “**2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan**” as an official plan; and

Be it further resolved, the Town of Windsor will submit this Adoption Resolution to the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials to enable the Plan’s final approval.

Passed: April 25, 2017



Certifying Official

The 2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Whereas, the City of Franklin recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

Whereas, undertaking hazard mitigation actions will reduce the potential for harm to people and property from future hazard occurrences; and

Whereas, an adopted Hazard Mitigation Plan is required as a condition of future funding for mitigation projects under multiple FEMA pre- and post-disaster mitigation grant programs; and

Whereas, the City of Franklin fully participated in the FEMA-prescribed mitigation planning process to prepare this Hazard Mitigation Plan; and


Whereas, the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials have reviewed the "2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update" and approved it contingent upon this official adoption of the participating governments and entities;

Now, therefore, be it resolved, that the City of Franklin adopts the "2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan" as an official plan; and


Be it further resolved, City of Franklin will submit this Adopted Resolution to the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials to enable the Plan's final approval.

Adopted this 27th day of February, 2017 by the City Council of Franklin, Virginia

APPROVED:


MAYOR

ATTEST:


CLERK OF COUNCIL



**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY, VIRGINIA**

RESOLUTION 0117-12

At a regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Southampton County, Virginia, held in the Southampton County Office Center, Board of Supervisors' Meeting Room, 26022 Administration Center Drive, Courtland, Virginia on Monday, January 23, 2017 at 6:00 p.m.

PRESENT

The Honorable Dallas O. Jones, Chairman
The Honorable Ronald M. West, Vice Chairman
The Honorable R. Randolph Cook
The Honorable Alan W. Edwards
The Honorable Carl J. Faison
The Honorable S. Bruce Phillips
The Honorable Barry T. Porter

IN RE: 2017 HAMPTON ROADS HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN UPDATE

Motion by Supervisor West:

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Southampton County, Virginia recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS, undertaking hazard mitigation actions will reduce the potential for harm to people and property from future hazard occurrences; and

WHEREAS, an adopted Hazard Mitigation Plan is required as a condition of future funding for mitigation projects under multiple FEMA pre- and post-disaster mitigation grant programs; and

WHEREAS, Southampton County fully participated in the FEMA-prescribed mitigation planning process to prepare this Hazard Mitigation Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials have reviewed the "2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update" and approved it contingent upon this official adoption of the

participating governments and entities;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors of Southampton County, Virginia hereby adopts the “2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan” as its official plan; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Administrator is hereby authorized and directed to submit this Adoption Resolution to the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials to enable the Plan’s final approval.

Adopted this 23rd day of January, 2017.

Seconded by Supervisor Edwards.

VOTING ON THE ITEM: YES – The Honorable Dallas O. Jones, Chairman
The Honorable Ronald M. West, Vice Chairman
The Honorable R. Randolph Cook
The Honorable Alan W. Edwards
The Honorable Carl J. Faison
The Honorable S. Bruce Phillips
The Honorable Barry T. Porter

NO – None

A COPY TESTE:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael W. Johnson", written over a horizontal line.

Michael W. Johnson, County Administrator/
Clerk, Southampton County Board of Supervisors

Town of Boykins

*Richard S. Edwards, Jr., Mayor
Pat Draper, Town Clerk/Treasurer
George Brozzo – Town Sergeant*



*18206 Virginia Ave.
Boykins, Virginia 23827
Phone: (757) 654-6361*

boykins@townofboykinsva.com

Resolution

At a regularly scheduled meeting of the Town of Boykins Council held at the Boykins Town office, located at 18206 Virginia Ave., Boykins, Virginia on Tuesday, February 14, 2017 at 7:00 pm adopted a resolution concerning the **2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update**.

Whereas, the Town Council for the Town of Boykins, Virginia recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

Whereas, undertaking hazard mitigation actions will reduce the potential for harm to people and property from future hazard occurrences; and

Whereas, an adopted Hazard Mitigation Plan is required as a condition of future funding for mitigation projects under multiple FEMA pre- and post-disaster mitigation grant programs; and

Whereas, the Town of Boykins participated in the FEMA-prescribed mitigation planning process to prepare this Hazard Mitigation Plan; and

Whereas, the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials have reviewed the “**2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update**” and approved it contingent upon this official adoption of the participating governments and entities;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Town Council of Boykins, Virginia hereby: adopts the “**2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan**” as an official plan; and


BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Town of Boykins will submit this Adoption Resolution to the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials to enable the Plan’s final approval.

Resolved by the Boykins Town Council this 14th day February, 2017

Richard S. Edwards Jr.
Richard S. Edwards Jr., Mayor

Attest:

Patricia A. Draper (Seal)
Patricia A. Draper, Town Clerk



Resolution # _____

Adopting the 2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Whereas, the Town of Branchville recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

Whereas, undertaking hazard mitigation actions will reduce the potential for harm to people and property from future hazard occurrences; and

Whereas, an adopted Hazard Mitigation Plan is required as a condition of future funding for mitigation projects under multiple FEMA pre- and post-disaster mitigation grant programs; and

Whereas, the Town of Branchville fully participated in the FEMA-prescribed mitigation planning process to prepare this Hazard Mitigation Plan; and

Whereas, the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials have reviewed the "2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update" and approved it contingent upon this official adoption of the participating governments and entities;

Now, therefore, be it resolved, that the Town of Branchville adopts the "2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan" as an official plan; and

Be it further resolved, the Town Clerk will submit this Adoption Resolution to the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials to enable the Plan's final approval.

Passed: February 20, 2017



Certifying Official

Mayor, 

RESOLUTION ADOPTING
THE 2017 HAMPTON ROADS HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN UPDATE
(Resolution 03.06.2017)

WHEREAS, the Town Council of Capron, Virginia recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS, undertaking hazard mitigation actions will reduce the potential for harm to people and property from future hazard occurrences; and

WHEREAS, an adopted Hazard Mitigation Plan is required as a condition of future funding for mitigation projects under multiple FEMA pre- and post-disaster mitigation grant programs; and

WHEREAS, the Town of Capron participated in the FEMA-prescribed mitigation planning process to prepare this Hazard Mitigation Plan; and


WHEREAS, the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials have reviewed the **"2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update"** and approved it contingent upon this official adoption of the participating governments and entities;

~~**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Capron Town Council for the Town of Capron, Virginia adopts the **"2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan"** as an official plan; and~~

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, The Town of Capron will submit this Adoption Resolution to the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials to enable the Plan's final approval.


Adopted March 6, 2017

TOWN OF CAPRON



W. Nick Kitchen, IV
Mayor

A copy teste:



Jennifer L. Justice
Clerk

Resolution # _____

Adopting the 2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Whereas, the Town Council of the Town of Courtland, Virginia recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

Whereas, undertaking hazard mitigation actions will reduce the potential for harm to people and property from future hazard occurrences; and

Whereas, an adopted Hazard Mitigation Plan is required as a condition of future funding for mitigation projects under multiple FEMA pre- and post-disaster mitigation grant programs; and


Whereas, the Town of Courtland participated in the FEMA-prescribed mitigation planning process to prepare this Hazard Mitigation Plan; and

Whereas, the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials have reviewed the "**2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update**" and approved it contingent upon this official adoption of the participating governments and entities;

Now, therefore, be it resolved, that the Town Council of the Town of Courtland adopts the "**2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan**" as an official plan; and

Be it further resolved, the Town of Courtland will submit this Adoption Resolution to the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials to enable the Plan's final approval.

Passed: February 14, 2017 (date)


Certifying Official

Resolution # N/A

Adopting the 2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Whereas, the Town Council of the Town of Ivor, Virginia recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

Whereas, undertaking hazard mitigation actions will reduce the potential for harm to people and property from future hazard occurrences; and

Whereas, an adopted Hazard Mitigation Plan is required as a condition of future funding for mitigation projects under multiple FEMA pre- and post-disaster mitigation grant programs; and

Whereas, the Town of Ivor participated in the FEMA-prescribed mitigation planning process to prepare this Hazard Mitigation Plan; and

Whereas, the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials have reviewed the "2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update" and approved it contingent upon this official adoption of the participating governments and entities;

Now, therefore, be it resolved, that the Town Council of the Town of Ivor, Virginia adopts the "2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan" as an official plan; and

Be it further resolved, the Town of Ivor will submit this Adoption Resolution to the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials to enable the Plan's final approval.

Passed: Feb. 13, 2017 (date)

Sandy Vick
Certifying Official

Resolution # 5

Adopting the 2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Whereas, the Town of Newsoms recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

Whereas, undertaking hazard mitigation actions will reduce the potential for harm to people and property from future hazard occurrences; and

Whereas, an adopted Hazard Mitigation Plan is required as a condition of future funding for mitigation projects under multiple FEMA pre- and post-disaster mitigation grant programs; and

Whereas, the Town of Newsoms participated in the FEMA-prescribed mitigation planning process to prepare this Hazard Mitigation Plan; and

Whereas, the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials have reviewed the "2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update" and approved it contingent upon this official adoption of the participating governments and entities;

Now, therefore, be it resolved, that the Town of Newsoms adopts the "2017 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan" as an official plan; and

Be it further resolved, the Town Council will submit this Adoption Resolution to the Virginia Department of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region III officials to enable the Plan's final approval.

Passed: March 6, 2017 (date)

Paula Howell Mayor

Certifying Official



FEMA

May 4, 2017

The Honorable Alan P. Krasnoff, Mayor
City of Chesapeake
306 Cedar Road
Chesapeake, Virginia 23322

Municipality: City of Chesapeake, VA
Planning District: Hampton Roads
Adoption Date: February 24, 2017
Date Approved: May 1, 2017
Expiration Date: April 30, 2022

Re: Hazard Mitigation Plan Approval

Dear Mayor Krasnoff:

The Hazard Mitigation Plan for your community has been approved by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Your community is now eligible to apply for federal disaster assistance until the plan expires.

The plan was reviewed and approved based on the criteria contained in 44 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 201, as authorized by the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA2K). These criteria address the planning process, risk assessment (including hazard identification), mitigation strategy and plan maintenance process requirements. Enclosed is a list of specific recommendations for improving the plan. FEMA encourages communities to improve and update their plans as well as take mitigation actions by implementing strategies within the plan. Some resources to assist you include:

Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards
<http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=6938>

Integrating Hazard Mitigation Into Local Planning: Case Studies and Tools for
Community Officials <http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=7130>

If you have questions, please contact Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer, at (804) 897-9766. I commend you for your dedication demonstrated in preparing and adopting a strategy to reduce future disaster losses.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "E. Gruber", is written over a horizontal line.

Eugene K. Gruber, P.E.
Director, Mitigation Division

Enclosure

cc: Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer
Edmund E. Elliott, Fire Chief

Recommendations for Future Improvements
Local Hazard Mitigation Plan
for
City of Chesapeake, VA
Plan Approval Date: 5/1/2017

1. The committee should consider the use of social media sources to promote public awareness of the plan, and seek public comments through these media sources.
2. The planning committee should be increased to include other community organizations and interest such as watershed organizations, business owners, and other entities to provide additional information when the plan is updated next.
3. The lead for the updated plan should attempt to gain more participation from the many stakeholders involved in the planning process. More specifically, attendance by jurisdictions should be improved at committee meetings.
4. The planning team needs to provide specific information on how each jurisdiction participated in the updating of the plan, as well as providing specific information on what they provided for the updated plan.
5. The updated plan should include any documentation of the committee meetings that occurred during the five years of the plan.
6. The updated plan should include any data developed in the five years since the plan was last updated. Information could include lowest floor elevation of vulnerable structures, and other information that could be used in mitigation grant applications. This information could be kept as an appendix to the plan.
7. The updated plan needs to clearly document the status of any mitigation actions that have taken place during the five years of the plan. The committee should consider a narrative that celebrates the success of mitigation in the community.
8. The updated plan should include information on specific projects that the jurisdiction would like to implement. This information could be placed in an appendix that includes specific information on structures. Due to its sensitive nature, this information would not be considered public information, but would be provided to the State and Federal governments.
9. When the plan is updated next, a better integration with existing planning mechanisms needs to be included. For example, the County comprehensive plan should be analyzed to determine how this all hazard Mitigation Plan can be incorporated into that existing planning mechanism and how the comprehensive plan can be incorporated into the local hazard Mitigation Plan.
10. In the next plan update, the plan should include more in-depth information on how the NFIP is managed in each of the jurisdictions. Examples could include: process to ensure new construction is compliant with the local floodplain ordinances; how residents are assisted in mapping issues and how substantially damaged structures are managed to ensure compliance with the latest floodplain ordinance.



FEMA

May 4, 2017

The Honorable W. Eugene Hunt, Mayor
City of Poquoson
500 City Hall Avenue
Poquoson, Virginia 23662

Municipality: City of Poquoson, VA
Planning District: Hampton Roads
Adoption Date: February 27, 2017
Date Approved: May 1, 2017
Expiration Date: April 30, 2022

Re: Hazard Mitigation Plan Approval

Dear Mayor Hunt:

The Hazard Mitigation Plan for your community has been approved by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Your community is now eligible to apply for federal disaster assistance until the plan expires.

The plan was reviewed and approved based on the criteria contained in 44 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 201, as authorized by the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA2K). These criteria address the planning process, risk assessment (including hazard identification), mitigation strategy and plan maintenance process requirements. Enclosed is a list of specific recommendations for improving the plan. FEMA encourages communities to improve and update their plans as well as take mitigation actions by implementing strategies within the plan. Some resources to assist you include:

Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards
<http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=6938>

Integrating Hazard Mitigation Into Local Planning: Case Studies and Tools for
Community Officials <http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=7130>

If you have questions, please contact Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer, at (804) 897-9766. I commend you for your dedication demonstrated in preparing and adopting a strategy to reduce future disaster losses.

Sincerely,

Eugene K. Gruber, P.E.
Director, Mitigation Division

Enclosure

cc: Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer
Michael D. Bryant, Poquoson City Deputy Fire Chief

Recommendations for Future Improvements
Local Hazard Mitigation Plan
for
City of Poquoson, VA
Plan Approval Date: 5/1/2017

1. The committee should consider the use of social media sources to promote public awareness of the plan, and seek public comments through these media sources.
2. The planning committee should be increased to include other community organizations and interest such as watershed organizations, business owners, and other entities to provide additional information when the plan is updated next.
3. The lead for the updated plan should attempt to gain more participation from the many stakeholders involved in the planning process. More specifically, attendance by jurisdictions should be improved at committee meetings.
4. The planning team needs to provide specific information on how each jurisdiction participated in the updating of the plan, as well as providing specific information on what they provided for the updated plan.
5. The updated plan should include any documentation of the committee meetings that occurred during the five years of the plan.
6. The updated plan should include any data developed in the five years since the plan was last updated. Information could include lowest floor elevation of vulnerable structures, and other information that could be used in mitigation grant applications. This information could be kept as an appendix to the plan.
7. The updated plan needs to clearly document the status of any mitigation actions that have taken place during the five years of the plan. The committee should consider a narrative that celebrates the success of mitigation in the community.
8. The updated plan should include information on specific projects that the jurisdiction would like to implement. This information could be placed in an appendix that includes specific information on structures. Due to its sensitive nature, this information would not be considered public information, but would be provided to the State and Federal governments.
9. When the plan is updated next, a better integration with existing planning mechanisms needs to be included. For example, the County comprehensive plan should be analyzed to determine how this all hazard Mitigation Plan can be incorporated into that existing planning mechanism and how the comprehensive plan can be incorporated into the local hazard Mitigation Plan.
10. In the next plan update, the plan should include more in-depth information on how the NFIP is managed in each of the jurisdictions. Examples could include: process to ensure new construction is compliant with the local floodplain ordinances; how residents are assisted in mapping issues and how substantially damaged structures are managed to ensure compliance with the latest floodplain ordinance.



FEMA

May 4, 2017

The Honorable Richard Edwards, Mayor
Town of Boykins
18206 Virginia Avenue
Boykins, Virginia 23827

Municipality: Town of Boykins
County, State: Southampton, VA
Planning District: Hampton Roads
Adoption Date: February 14, 2017
Date Approved: May 1, 2017
Expiration Date: April 30, 2022

Re: Hazard Mitigation Plan Approval

Dear Mayor Edwards:

The Hazard Mitigation Plan for your community has been approved by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Your community is now eligible to apply for federal disaster assistance until the plan expires.

The plan was reviewed and approved based on the criteria contained in 44 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 201, as authorized by the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA2K). These criteria address the planning process, risk assessment (including hazard identification), mitigation strategy and plan maintenance process requirements. Enclosed is a list of specific recommendations for improving the plan. FEMA encourages communities to improve and update their plans as well as take mitigation actions by implementing strategies within the plan. Some resources to assist you include:

Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards
<http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=6938>

Integrating Hazard Mitigation Into Local Planning: Case Studies and Tools for
Community Officials <http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=7130>

If you have questions, please contact Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer, at (804) 897-9766. I commend you for your dedication demonstrated in preparing and adopting a strategy to reduce future disaster losses.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "E. Gruber", with a large loop at the end.

Eugene K. Gruber, P.E.
Director, Mitigation Division

Enclosure

cc: Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer
J.B. Stutts, Emergency Management Coordinator for Southampton County

Recommendations for Future Improvements
Local Hazard Mitigation Plan
for
Town of Boykins, VA
Plan Approval Date: 5/1/2017

1. The committee should consider the use of social media sources to promote public awareness of the plan, and seek public comments through these media sources.
2. The planning committee should be increased to include other community organizations and interest such as watershed organizations, business owners, and other entities to provide additional information when the plan is updated next.
3. The lead for the updated plan should attempt to gain more participation from the many stakeholders involved in the planning process. More specifically, attendance by jurisdictions should be improved at committee meetings.
4. The planning team needs to provide specific information on how each jurisdiction participated in the updating of the plan, as well as providing specific information on what they provided for the updated plan.
5. The updated plan should include any documentation of the committee meetings that occurred during the five years of the plan.
6. The updated plan should include any data developed in the five years since the plan was last updated. Information could include lowest floor elevation of vulnerable structures, and other information that could be used in mitigation grant applications. This information could be kept as an appendix to the plan.
7. The updated plan needs to clearly document the status of any mitigation actions that have taken place during the five years of the plan. The committee should consider a narrative that celebrates the success of mitigation in the community.
8. The updated plan should include information on specific projects that the jurisdiction would like to implement. This information could be placed in an appendix that includes specific information on structures. Due to its sensitive nature, this information would not be considered public information, but would be provided to the State and Federal governments.
9. When the plan is updated next, a better integration with existing planning mechanisms needs to be included. For example, the County comprehensive plan should be analyzed to determine how this all hazard Mitigation Plan can be incorporated into that existing planning mechanism and how the comprehensive plan can be incorporated into the local hazard Mitigation Plan.
10. In the next plan update, the plan should include more in-depth information on how the NFIP is managed in each of the jurisdictions. Examples could include: process to ensure new construction is compliant with the local floodplain ordinances; how residents are assisted in mapping issues and how substantially damaged structures are managed to ensure compliance with the latest floodplain ordinance.



FEMA

May 4, 2017

The Honorable Harold Futrell, Mayor
Town of Branchville
Town of Branchville
Branchville, Virginia 23828

Municipality: Town of Branchville
County, State: Southampton, VA
Planning District: Hampton Roads
Adoption Date: February 20, 2017
Date Approved: May 1, 2017
Expiration Date: April 30, 2022

Re: Hazard Mitigation Plan Approval

Dear Mayor Futrell:

The Hazard Mitigation Plan for your community has been approved by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Your community is now eligible to apply for federal disaster assistance until the plan expires.

The plan was reviewed and approved based on the criteria contained in 44 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 201, as authorized by the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA2K). These criteria address the planning process, risk assessment (including hazard identification), mitigation strategy and plan maintenance process requirements. Enclosed is a list of specific recommendations for improving the plan. FEMA encourages communities to improve and update their plans as well as take mitigation actions by implementing strategies within the plan. Some resources to assist you include:

Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards
<http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=6938>

Integrating Hazard Mitigation Into Local Planning: Case Studies and Tools for
Community Officials <http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=7130>

If you have questions, please contact Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer, at (804) 897-9766. I commend you for your dedication demonstrated in preparing and adopting a strategy to reduce future disaster losses.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "E. Gruber", with a large, stylized loop at the end.

Eugene K. Gruber, P.E.
Director, Mitigation Division

Enclosure

cc: Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer
J.B. Stutts, Emergency Management Coordinator

Recommendations for Future Improvements
Local Hazard Mitigation Plan
for
Town of Branchville, VA
Plan Approval Date: 5/1/2017

1. The committee should consider the use of social media sources to promote public awareness of the plan, and seek public comments through these media sources.
2. The planning committee should be increased to include other community organizations and interest such as watershed organizations, business owners, and other entities to provide additional information when the plan is updated next.
3. The lead for the updated plan should attempt to gain more participation from the many stakeholders involved in the planning process. More specifically, attendance by jurisdictions should be improved at committee meetings.
4. The planning team needs to provide specific information on how each jurisdiction participated in the updating of the plan, as well as providing specific information on what they provided for the updated plan.
5. The updated plan should include any documentation of the committee meetings that occurred during the five years of the plan.
6. The updated plan should include any data developed in the five years since the plan was last updated. Information could include lowest floor elevation of vulnerable structures, and other information that could be used in mitigation grant applications. This information could be kept as an appendix to the plan.
7. The updated plan needs to clearly document the status of any mitigation actions that have taken place during the five years of the plan. The committee should consider a narrative that celebrates the success of mitigation in the community.
8. The updated plan should include information on specific projects that the jurisdiction would like to implement. This information could be placed in an appendix that includes specific information on structures. Due to its sensitive nature, this information would not be considered public information, but would be provided to the State and Federal governments.
9. When the plan is updated next, a better integration with existing planning mechanisms needs to be included. For example, the County comprehensive plan should be analyzed to determine how this all hazard Mitigation Plan can be incorporated into that existing planning mechanism and how the comprehensive plan can be incorporated into the local hazard Mitigation Plan.
10. In the next plan update, the plan should include more in-depth information on how the NFIP is managed in each of the jurisdictions. Examples could include: process to ensure new construction is compliant with the local floodplain ordinances; how residents are assisted in mapping issues and how substantially damaged structures are managed to ensure compliance with the latest floodplain ordinance.



FEMA

May 4, 2017

The Honorable W. Nick Kitchen, Mayor
Town of Capron
City of Chesapeake, Municipal Center
306 Cedar Road
Chesapeake, Virginia 23322

Municipality: Town of Capron
County, State: Southampton, VA
Planning District: Hampton Roads
Adoption Date: March 6, 2017
Date Approved: May 1, 2017
Expiration Date: April 30, 2022

Re: Hazard Mitigation Plan Approval

Dear Mayor Kitchen:

The Hazard Mitigation Plan for your community has been approved by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Your community is now eligible to apply for federal disaster assistance until the plan expires.

The plan was reviewed and approved based on the criteria contained in 44 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 201, as authorized by the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA2K). These criteria address the planning process, risk assessment (including hazard identification), mitigation strategy and plan maintenance process requirements. Enclosed is a list of specific recommendations for improving the plan. FEMA encourages communities to improve and update their plans as well as take mitigation actions by implementing strategies within the plan. Some resources to assist you include:

Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards
<http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=6938>

Integrating Hazard Mitigation Into Local Planning: Case Studies and Tools for
Community Officials <http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=7130>

If you have questions, please contact Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer, at (804) 897-9766. I commend you for your dedication demonstrated in preparing and adopting a strategy to reduce future disaster losses.

Sincerely,

Eugene K. Gruber, P.E.
Director, Mitigation Division

Enclosure

cc: Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer
J.B. Stutts, Emergency Management Coordinator

Recommendations for Future Improvements
Local Hazard Mitigation Plan
for
Town of Capron, VA
Plan Approval Date: 5/1/2017

1. The committee should consider the use of social media sources to promote public awareness of the plan, and seek public comments through these media sources.
2. The planning committee should be increased to include other community organizations and interest such as watershed organizations, business owners, and other entities to provide additional information when the plan is updated next.
3. The lead for the updated plan should attempt to gain more participation from the many stakeholders involved in the planning process. More specifically, attendance by jurisdictions should be improved at committee meetings.
4. The planning team needs to provide specific information on how each jurisdiction participated in the updating of the plan, as well as providing specific information on what they provided for the updated plan.
5. The updated plan should include any documentation of the committee meetings that occurred during the five years of the plan.
6. The updated plan should include any data developed in the five years since the plan was last updated. Information could include lowest floor elevation of vulnerable structures, and other information that could be used in mitigation grant applications. This information could be kept as an appendix to the plan.
7. The updated plan needs to clearly document the status of any mitigation actions that have taken place during the five years of the plan. The committee should consider a narrative that celebrates the success of mitigation in the community.
8. The updated plan should include information on specific projects that the jurisdiction would like to implement. This information could be placed in an appendix that includes specific information on structures. Due to its sensitive nature, this information would not be considered public information, but would be provided to the State and Federal governments.
9. When the plan is updated next, a better integration with existing planning mechanisms needs to be included. For example, the County comprehensive plan should be analyzed to determine how this all hazard Mitigation Plan can be incorporated into that existing planning mechanism and how the comprehensive plan can be incorporated into the local hazard Mitigation Plan.
10. In the next plan update, the plan should include more in-depth information on how the NFIP is managed in each of the jurisdictions. Examples could include: process to ensure new construction is compliant with the local floodplain ordinances; how residents are assisted in mapping issues and how substantially damaged structures are managed to ensure compliance with the latest floodplain ordinance.



FEMA

May 4, 2017

The Honorable Danny Williams, Mayor
Town of Courtland
PO Box 39
Courtland, Virginia 23837

Municipality: Town of Courtland
County, State: Southampton, VA
Planning District: Hampton Roads
Adoption Date: February 14, 2017
Date Approved: May 1, 2017
Expiration Date: April 30, 2022

Re: Hazard Mitigation Plan Approval

Dear Mayor Williams:

The Hazard Mitigation Plan for your community has been approved by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Your community is now eligible to apply for federal disaster assistance until the plan expires.

The plan was reviewed and approved based on the criteria contained in 44 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 201, as authorized by the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA2K). These criteria address the planning process, risk assessment (including hazard identification), mitigation strategy and plan maintenance process requirements. Enclosed is a list of specific recommendations for improving the plan. FEMA encourages communities to improve and update their plans as well as take mitigation actions by implementing strategies within the plan. Some resources to assist you include:

Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards
<http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=6938>

Integrating Hazard Mitigation Into Local Planning: Case Studies and Tools for
Community Officials <http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=7130>

If you have questions, please contact Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer, at (804) 897-9766. I commend you for your dedication demonstrated in preparing and adopting a strategy to reduce future disaster losses.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "E. Gruber", with a large loop at the end.

Eugene K. Gruber, P.E.
Director, Mitigation Division

Enclosure

cc: Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer
J.B. Stutts, Emergency Management Coordinator

Recommendations for Future Improvements
Local Hazard Mitigation Plan
for
Town of Courtland, VA
Plan Approval Date: 5/1/2017

1. The committee should consider the use of social media sources to promote public awareness of the plan, and seek public comments through these media sources.
2. The planning committee should be increased to include other community organizations and interest such as watershed organizations, business owners, and other entities to provide additional information when the plan is updated next.
3. The lead for the updated plan should attempt to gain more participation from the many stakeholders involved in the planning process. More specifically, attendance by jurisdictions should be improved at committee meetings.
4. The planning team needs to provide specific information on how each jurisdiction participated in the updating of the plan, as well as providing specific information on what they provided for the updated plan.
5. The updated plan should include any documentation of the committee meetings that occurred during the five years of the plan.
6. The updated plan should include any data developed in the five years since the plan was last updated. Information could include lowest floor elevation of vulnerable structures, and other information that could be used in mitigation grant applications. This information could be kept as an appendix to the plan.
7. The updated plan needs to clearly document the status of any mitigation actions that have taken place during the five years of the plan. The committee should consider a narrative that celebrates the success of mitigation in the community.
8. The updated plan should include information on specific projects that the jurisdiction would like to implement. This information could be placed in an appendix that includes specific information on structures. Due to its sensitive nature, this information would not be considered public information, but would be provided to the State and Federal governments.
9. When the plan is updated next, a better integration with existing planning mechanisms needs to be included. For example, the County comprehensive plan should be analyzed to determine how this all hazard Mitigation Plan can be incorporated into that existing planning mechanism and how the comprehensive plan can be incorporated into the local hazard Mitigation Plan.
10. In the next plan update, the plan should include more in-depth information on how the NFIP is managed in each of the jurisdictions. Examples could include: process to ensure new construction is compliant with the local floodplain ordinances; how residents are assisted in mapping issues and how substantially damaged structures are managed to ensure compliance with the latest floodplain ordinance.



FEMA

May 4, 2017

The Honorable Frank Rabil, Mayor
City of Franklin
207 W. 2nd Ave.
Franklin, Virginia 23851

Municipality: City of Franklin, VA
Planning District: Hampton Roads
Adoption Date: February 27, 2017
Date Approved: May 1, 2017
Expiration Date: April 30, 2022

Re: Hazard Mitigation Plan Approval

Dear Mayor Rabil:

The Hazard Mitigation Plan for your community has been approved by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Your community is now eligible to apply for federal disaster assistance until the plan expires.

The plan was reviewed and approved based on the criteria contained in 44 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 201, as authorized by the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA2K). These criteria address the planning process, risk assessment (including hazard identification), mitigation strategy and plan maintenance process requirements. Enclosed is a list of specific recommendations for improving the plan. FEMA encourages communities to improve and update their plans as well as take mitigation actions by implementing strategies within the plan. Some resources to assist you include:

Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards
<http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=6938>

Integrating Hazard Mitigation Into Local Planning: Case Studies and Tools for
Community Officials <http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=7130>

If you have questions, please contact Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer, at (804) 897-9766. I commend you for your dedication demonstrated in preparing and adopting a strategy to reduce future disaster losses.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "E. Gruber", with a large loop at the end.

Eugene K. Gruber, P.E.
Director, Mitigation Division

Enclosure

cc: Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer
Vince Holt, Fire Chief

Recommendations for Future Improvements
Local Hazard Mitigation Plan
for
City of Franklin, VA
Plan Approval Date: 5/1/2017

1. The committee should consider the use of social media sources to promote public awareness of the plan, and seek public comments through these media sources.
2. The planning committee should be increased to include other community organizations and interest such as watershed organizations, business owners, and other entities to provide additional information when the plan is updated next.
3. The lead for the updated plan should attempt to gain more participation from the many stakeholders involved in the planning process. More specifically, attendance by jurisdictions should be improved at committee meetings.
4. The planning team needs to provide specific information on how each jurisdiction participated in the updating of the plan, as well as providing specific information on what they provided for the updated plan.
5. The updated plan should include any documentation of the committee meetings that occurred during the five years of the plan.
6. The updated plan should include any data developed in the five years since the plan was last updated. Information could include lowest floor elevation of vulnerable structures, and other information that could be used in mitigation grant applications. This information could be kept as an appendix to the plan.
7. The updated plan needs to clearly document the status of any mitigation actions that have taken place during the five years of the plan. The committee should consider a narrative that celebrates the success of mitigation in the community.
8. The updated plan should include information on specific projects that the jurisdiction would like to implement. This information could be placed in an appendix that includes specific information on structures. Due to its sensitive nature, this information would not be considered public information, but would be provided to the State and Federal governments.
9. When the plan is updated next, a better integration with existing planning mechanisms needs to be included. For example, the County comprehensive plan should be analyzed to determine how this all hazard Mitigation Plan can be incorporated into that existing planning mechanism and how the comprehensive plan can be incorporated into the local hazard Mitigation Plan.
10. In the next plan update, the plan should include more in-depth information on how the NFIP is managed in each of the jurisdictions. Examples could include: process to ensure new construction is compliant with the local floodplain ordinances; how residents are assisted in mapping issues and how substantially damaged structures are managed to ensure compliance with the latest floodplain ordinance.



FEMA

May 4, 2017

The Honorable Donnie Tuck, Mayor
City of Hampton
22 Lincoln Street
Hampton, Virginia 23669

Municipality: City of Hampton, VA
Planning District: Hampton Roads
Adoption Date: February 22, 2017
Date Approved: May 1, 2017
Expiration Date: April 30, 2022

Re: Hazard Mitigation Plan Approval

Dear Mayor Tuck:

The Hazard Mitigation Plan for your community has been approved by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Your community is now eligible to apply for federal disaster assistance until the plan expires.

The plan was reviewed and approved based on the criteria contained in 44 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 201, as authorized by the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA2K). These criteria address the planning process, risk assessment (including hazard identification), mitigation strategy and plan maintenance process requirements. Enclosed is a list of specific recommendations for improving the plan. FEMA encourages communities to improve and update their plans as well as take mitigation actions by implementing strategies within the plan. Some resources to assist you include:

Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards
<http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=6938>

Integrating Hazard Mitigation Into Local Planning: Case Studies and Tools for
Community Officials <http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=7130>

If you have questions, please contact Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer, at (804) 897-9766. I commend you for your dedication demonstrated in preparing and adopting a strategy to reduce future disaster losses.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "E. Gruber", with a large loop at the end.

Eugene K. Gruber, P.E.
Director, Mitigation Division

Enclosure

cc: Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer
Hui-Shan Walker, Emergency Management Coordinator

Recommendations for Future Improvements
Local Hazard Mitigation Plan
for
City of Hampton, VA
Plan Approval Date: 5/1/2017

1. The committee should consider the use of social media sources to promote public awareness of the plan, and seek public comments through these media sources.
2. The planning committee should be increased to include other community organizations and interest such as watershed organizations, business owners, and other entities to provide additional information when the plan is updated next.
3. The lead for the updated plan should attempt to gain more participation from the many stakeholders involved in the planning process. More specifically, attendance by jurisdictions should be improved at committee meetings.
4. The planning team needs to provide specific information on how each jurisdiction participated in the updating of the plan, as well as providing specific information on what they provided for the updated plan.
5. The updated plan should include any documentation of the committee meetings that occurred during the five years of the plan.
6. The updated plan should include any data developed in the five years since the plan was last updated. Information could include lowest floor elevation of vulnerable structures, and other information that could be used in mitigation grant applications. This information could be kept as an appendix to the plan.
7. The updated plan needs to clearly document the status of any mitigation actions that have taken place during the five years of the plan. The committee should consider a narrative that celebrates the success of mitigation in the community.
8. The updated plan should include information on specific projects that the jurisdiction would like to implement. This information could be placed in an appendix that includes specific information on structures. Due to its sensitive nature, this information would not be considered public information, but would be provided to the State and Federal governments.
9. When the plan is updated next, a better integration with existing planning mechanisms needs to be included. For example, the County comprehensive plan should be analyzed to determine how this all hazard Mitigation Plan can be incorporated into that existing planning mechanism and how the comprehensive plan can be incorporated into the local hazard Mitigation Plan.
10. In the next plan update, the plan should include more in-depth information on how the NFIP is managed in each of the jurisdictions. Examples could include: process to ensure new construction is compliant with the local floodplain ordinances; how residents are assisted in mapping issues and how substantially damaged structures are managed to ensure compliance with the latest floodplain ordinance.



FEMA

May 4, 2017

Rex Alphin, Chairman
Isle of Wight County
26196 River Run Trail
Zuni, Virginia 23898

Municipality: Isle of Wight County, VA
Planning District: Hampton Roads
Adoption Date: February 16, 2017
Date Approved: May 1, 2017
Expiration Date: March 28, 2022

Re: Hazard Mitigation Plan Approval

Dear Chairman Alphin:

The Hazard Mitigation Plan for your community has been approved by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Your community is now eligible to apply for federal disaster assistance until the plan expires.

The plan was reviewed and approved based on the criteria contained in 44 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 201, as authorized by the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA2K). These criteria address the planning process, risk assessment (including hazard identification), mitigation strategy and plan maintenance process requirements. Enclosed is a list of specific recommendations for improving the plan. FEMA encourages communities to improve and update their plans as well as take mitigation actions by implementing strategies within the plan. Some resources to assist you include:

Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards
<http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=6938>

Integrating Hazard Mitigation Into Local Planning: Case Studies and Tools for
Community Officials <http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=7130>

If you have questions, please contact Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer, at (804) 897-9766. I commend you for your dedication demonstrated in preparing and adopting a strategy to reduce future disaster losses.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "E. Gruber", with a large loop at the end.

Eugene K. Gruber, P.E.
Director, Mitigation Division

Enclosure

cc: Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer
Jeff Terwilliger, Chief of Emergency Services for Isle of Wight County

Recommendations for Future Improvements
Local Hazard Mitigation Plan
for
Isle of Wight County, VA
Plan Approval Date: 5/1/2017

1. The committee should consider the use of social media sources to promote public awareness of the plan, and seek public comments through these media sources.
2. The planning committee should be increased to include other community organizations and interest such as watershed organizations, business owners, and other entities to provide additional information when the plan is updated next.
3. The lead for the updated plan should attempt to gain more participation from the many stakeholders involved in the planning process. More specifically, attendance by jurisdictions should be improved at committee meetings.
4. The planning team needs to provide specific information on how each jurisdiction participated in the updating of the plan, as well as providing specific information on what they provided for the updated plan.
5. The updated plan should include any documentation of the committee meetings that occurred during the five years of the plan.
6. The updated plan should include any data developed in the five years since the plan was last updated. Information could include lowest floor elevation of vulnerable structures, and other information that could be used in mitigation grant applications. This information could be kept as an appendix to the plan.
7. The updated plan needs to clearly document the status of any mitigation actions that have taken place during the five years of the plan. The committee should consider a narrative that celebrates the success of mitigation in the community.
8. The updated plan should include information on specific projects that the jurisdiction would like to implement. This information could be placed in an appendix that includes specific information on structures. Due to its sensitive nature, this information would not be considered public information, but would be provided to the State and Federal governments.
9. When the plan is updated next, a better integration with existing planning mechanisms needs to be included. For example, the County comprehensive plan should be analyzed to determine how this all hazard Mitigation Plan can be incorporated into that existing planning mechanism and how the comprehensive plan can be incorporated into the local hazard Mitigation Plan.
10. In the next plan update, the plan should include more in-depth information on how the NFIP is managed in each of the jurisdictions. Examples could include: process to ensure new construction is compliant with the local floodplain ordinances; how residents are assisted in mapping issues and how substantially damaged structures are managed to ensure compliance with the latest floodplain ordinance.



FEMA

May 4, 2017

The Honorable Sandra Vick, Mayor
Town of Ivor
8430 Bell Avenue
Ivor, Virginia 23866

Municipality: Town of Ivor
County, State: Southampton, VA
Planning District: Hampton Roads
Adoption Date: February 13, 2017
Date Approved: May 1, 2017
Expiration Date: April 30, 2022

Re: Hazard Mitigation Plan Approval

Dear Mayor Vick:

The Hazard Mitigation Plan for your community has been approved by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Your community is now eligible to apply for federal disaster assistance until the plan expires.


The plan was reviewed and approved based on the criteria contained in 44 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 201, as authorized by the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA2K). These criteria address the planning process, risk assessment (including hazard identification), mitigation strategy and plan maintenance process requirements. Enclosed is a list of specific recommendations for improving the plan. FEMA encourages communities to improve and update their plans as well as take mitigation actions by implementing strategies within the plan. Some resources to assist you include:

Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards
<http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=6938>

Integrating Hazard Mitigation Into Local Planning: Case Studies and Tools for
Community Officials <http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=7130>

If you have questions, please contact Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer, at (804) 897-9766. I commend you for your dedication demonstrated in preparing and adopting a strategy to reduce future disaster losses.

Sincerely,



Eugene K. Gruber, P.E.
Director, Mitigation Division

Enclosure

cc: Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer
J.B. Stutts, Emergency Management Coordinator

Recommendations for Future Improvements
Local Hazard Mitigation Plan
for
Town of Ivor, VA
Plan Approval Date: 5/1/2017

1. The committee should consider the use of social media sources to promote public awareness of the plan, and seek public comments through these media sources.
2. The planning committee should be increased to include other community organizations and interest such as watershed organizations, business owners, and other entities to provide additional information when the plan is updated next.
3. The lead for the updated plan should attempt to gain more participation from the many stakeholders involved in the planning process. More specifically, attendance by jurisdictions should be improved at committee meetings.
4. The planning team needs to provide specific information on how each jurisdiction participated in the updating of the plan, as well as providing specific information on what they provided for the updated plan.
5. The updated plan should include any documentation of the committee meetings that occurred during the five years of the plan.
6. The updated plan should include any data developed in the five years since the plan was last updated. Information could include lowest floor elevation of vulnerable structures, and other information that could be used in mitigation grant applications. This information could be kept as an appendix to the plan.
7. The updated plan needs to clearly document the status of any mitigation actions that have taken place during the five years of the plan. The committee should consider a narrative that celebrates the success of mitigation in the community.
8. The updated plan should include information on specific projects that the jurisdiction would like to implement. This information could be placed in an appendix that includes specific information on structures. Due to its sensitive nature, this information would not be considered public information, but would be provided to the State and Federal governments.
9. When the plan is updated next, a better integration with existing planning mechanisms needs to be included. For example, the County comprehensive plan should be analyzed to determine how this all hazard Mitigation Plan can be incorporated into that existing planning mechanism and how the comprehensive plan can be incorporated into the local hazard Mitigation Plan.
10. In the next plan update, the plan should include more in-depth information on how the NFIP is managed in each of the jurisdictions. Examples could include: process to ensure new construction is compliant with the local floodplain ordinances; how residents are assisted in mapping issues and how substantially damaged structures are managed to ensure compliance with the latest floodplain ordinance.



FEMA

May 4, 2017

Kevin Onizuk, Chairman
James City County
James City County Government Center
101 Mounts Bay Road
Williamsburg, Virginia 23185

Municipality: James City County, VA
Planning District: Hampton Roads
Adoption Date: March 14, 2017
Date Approved: May 1, 2017
Expiration Date: April 30, 2022

Re: Hazard Mitigation Plan Approval

Dear Chairman Onizuk:

The Hazard Mitigation Plan for your community has been approved by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Your community is now eligible to apply for federal disaster assistance until the plan expires.


The plan was reviewed and approved based on the criteria contained in 44 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 201, as authorized by the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA2K). These criteria address the planning process, risk assessment (including hazard identification), mitigation strategy and plan maintenance process requirements. Enclosed is a list of specific recommendations for improving the plan. FEMA encourages communities to improve and update their plans as well as take mitigation actions by implementing strategies within the plan. Some resources to assist you include:

Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards
<http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=6938>

Integrating Hazard Mitigation Into Local Planning: Case Studies and Tools for
Community Officials <http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=7130>

If you have questions, please contact Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer, at (804) 897-9766. I commend you for your dedication demonstrated in preparing and adopting a strategy to reduce future disaster losses.

Sincerely,



Eugene K. Gruber, P.E.
Director, Mitigation Division

Enclosure

cc: Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer
Sara Ruch, James City County Emergency Management

Recommendations for Future Improvements
Local Hazard Mitigation Plan
for
James City County, VA
Plan Approval Date: 5/1/2017

1. The committee should consider the use of social media sources to promote public awareness of the plan, and seek public comments through these media sources.
2. The planning committee should be increased to include other community organizations and interest such as watershed organizations, business owners, and other entities to provide additional information when the plan is updated next.
3. The lead for the updated plan should attempt to gain more participation from the many stakeholders involved in the planning process. More specifically, attendance by jurisdictions should be improved at committee meetings.
4. The planning team needs to provide specific information on how each jurisdiction participated in the updating of the plan, as well as providing specific information on what they provided for the updated plan.
5. The updated plan should include any documentation of the committee meetings that occurred during the five years of the plan.
6. The updated plan should include any data developed in the five years since the plan was last updated. Information could include lowest floor elevation of vulnerable structures, and other information that could be used in mitigation grant applications. This information could be kept as an appendix to the plan.
7. The updated plan needs to clearly document the status of any mitigation actions that have taken place during the five years of the plan. The committee should consider a narrative that celebrates the success of mitigation in the community.
8. The updated plan should include information on specific projects that the jurisdiction would like to implement. This information could be placed in an appendix that includes specific information on structures. Due to its sensitive nature, this information would not be considered public information, but would be provided to the State and Federal governments.
9. When the plan is updated next, a better integration with existing planning mechanisms needs to be included. For example, the County comprehensive plan should be analyzed to determine how this all hazard Mitigation Plan can be incorporated into that existing planning mechanism and how the comprehensive plan can be incorporated into the local hazard Mitigation Plan.
10. In the next plan update, the plan should include more in-depth information on how the NFIP is managed in each of the jurisdictions. Examples could include: process to ensure new construction is compliant with the local floodplain ordinances; how residents are assisted in mapping issues and how substantially damaged structures are managed to ensure compliance with the latest floodplain ordinance.



FEMA

May 4, 2017

The Honorable McKinley Price, Mayor
City of Newport News
2400 Washington Avenue
Newport News, Virginia 23607

Municipality: City of Newport News, VA
Planning District: Hampton Roads
Adoption Date: January 10, 2017
Date Approved: May 1, 2017
Expiration Date: April 30, 2022

Re: Hazard Mitigation Plan Approval

Dear Mayor Price:

The Hazard Mitigation Plan for your community has been approved by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Your community is now eligible to apply for federal disaster assistance until the plan expires.

The plan was reviewed and approved based on the criteria contained in 44 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 201, as authorized by the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA2K). These criteria address the planning process, risk assessment (including hazard identification), mitigation strategy and plan maintenance process requirements. Enclosed is a list of specific recommendations for improving the plan. FEMA encourages communities to improve and update their plans as well as take mitigation actions by implementing strategies within the plan. Some resources to assist you include:

Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards
<http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=6938>

Integrating Hazard Mitigation Into Local Planning: Case Studies and Tools for
Community Officials <http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=7130>

If you have questions, please contact Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer, at (804) 897-9766. I commend you for your dedication demonstrated in preparing and adopting a strategy to reduce future disaster losses.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "E. Gruber", with a large loop at the end.

Eugene K. Gruber, P.E.
Director, Mitigation Division

Enclosure

cc: Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer
George T. Glazner, Newport News Deputy Coordinator

Recommendations for Future Improvements
Local Hazard Mitigation Plan
for
City of Newport News, VA
Plan Approval Date: 5/1/2017

1. The committee should consider the use of social media sources to promote public awareness of the plan, and seek public comments through these media sources.
2. The planning committee should be increased to include other community organizations and interest such as watershed organizations, business owners, and other entities to provide additional information when the plan is updated next.
3. The lead for the updated plan should attempt to gain more participation from the many stakeholders involved in the planning process. More specifically, attendance by jurisdictions should be improved at committee meetings.
4. The planning team needs to provide specific information on how each jurisdiction participated in the updating of the plan, as well as providing specific information on what they provided for the updated plan.
5. The updated plan should include any documentation of the committee meetings that occurred during the five years of the plan.
6. The updated plan should include any data developed in the five years since the plan was last updated. Information could include lowest floor elevation of vulnerable structures, and other information that could be used in mitigation grant applications. This information could be kept as an appendix to the plan.
7. The updated plan needs to clearly document the status of any mitigation actions that have taken place during the five years of the plan. The committee should consider a narrative that celebrates the success of mitigation in the community.
8. The updated plan should include information on specific projects that the jurisdiction would like to implement. This information could be placed in an appendix that includes specific information on structures. Due to its sensitive nature, this information would not be considered public information, but would be provided to the State and Federal governments.
9. When the plan is updated next, a better integration with existing planning mechanisms needs to be included. For example, the County comprehensive plan should be analyzed to determine how this all hazard Mitigation Plan can be incorporated into that existing planning mechanism and how the comprehensive plan can be incorporated into the local hazard Mitigation Plan.
10. In the next plan update, the plan should include more in-depth information on how the NFIP is managed in each of the jurisdictions. Examples could include: process to ensure new construction is compliant with the local floodplain ordinances; how residents are assisted in mapping issues and how substantially damaged structures are managed to ensure compliance with the latest floodplain ordinance.



FEMA

May 4, 2017

The Honorable Harvey Porter, Mayor
Town of Newsoms
29056 Everett Street
Newsoms, Virginia 23874

Municipality: Town of Newsoms
County, State: Southampton, VA
Planning District: Hampton Roads
Adoption Date: March 6, 2017
Date Approved: May 1, 2017
Expiration Date: April 30, 2022

Re: Hazard Mitigation Plan Approval

Dear Mayor Porter:

The Hazard Mitigation Plan for your community has been approved by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Your community is now eligible to apply for federal disaster assistance until the plan expires.

The plan was reviewed and approved based on the criteria contained in 44 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 201, as authorized by the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA2K). These criteria address the planning process, risk assessment (including hazard identification), mitigation strategy and plan maintenance process requirements. Enclosed is a list of specific recommendations for improving the plan. FEMA encourages communities to improve and update their plans as well as take mitigation actions by implementing strategies within the plan. Some resources to assist you include:

Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards
<http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=6938>

Integrating Hazard Mitigation Into Local Planning: Case Studies and Tools for
Community Officials <http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=7130>

If you have questions, please contact Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer, at (804) 897-9766. I commend you for your dedication demonstrated in preparing and adopting a strategy to reduce future disaster losses.

Sincerely,

Eugene K. Gruber, P.E.
Director, Mitigation Division

Enclosure

cc: Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer
J.B. Stutts, Emergency Management Coordinator

Recommendations for Future Improvements
Local Hazard Mitigation Plan
for
Town of Newsoms, VA
Plan Approval Date: 5/1/2017

1. The committee should consider the use of social media sources to promote public awareness of the plan, and seek public comments through these media sources.
2. The planning committee should be increased to include other community organizations and interest such as watershed organizations, business owners, and other entities to provide additional information when the plan is updated next.
3. The lead for the updated plan should attempt to gain more participation from the many stakeholders involved in the planning process. More specifically, attendance by jurisdictions should be improved at committee meetings.
4. The planning team needs to provide specific information on how each jurisdiction participated in the updating of the plan, as well as providing specific information on what they provided for the updated plan.
5. The updated plan should include any documentation of the committee meetings that occurred during the five years of the plan.
6. The updated plan should include any data developed in the five years since the plan was last updated. Information could include lowest floor elevation of vulnerable structures, and other information that could be used in mitigation grant applications. This information could be kept as an appendix to the plan.
7. The updated plan needs to clearly document the status of any mitigation actions that have taken place during the five years of the plan. The committee should consider a narrative that celebrates the success of mitigation in the community.
8. The updated plan should include information on specific projects that the jurisdiction would like to implement. This information could be placed in an appendix that includes specific information on structures. Due to its sensitive nature, this information would not be considered public information, but would be provided to the State and Federal governments.
9. When the plan is updated next, a better integration with existing planning mechanisms needs to be included. For example, the County comprehensive plan should be analyzed to determine how this all hazard Mitigation Plan can be incorporated into that existing planning mechanism and how the comprehensive plan can be incorporated into the local hazard Mitigation Plan.
10. In the next plan update, the plan should include more in-depth information on how the NFIP is managed in each of the jurisdictions. Examples could include: process to ensure new construction is compliant with the local floodplain ordinances; how residents are assisted in mapping issues and how substantially damaged structures are managed to ensure compliance with the latest floodplain ordinance.



FEMA

May 4, 2017

The Honorable Kenneth Cooper Alexander,
Mayor
City of Norfolk
810 Union Street
Norfolk, Virginia 23510

Municipality: City of Norfolk, VA
Planning District: Hampton Roads
Adoption Date: March 28, 2017
Date Approved: May 1, 2017
Expiration Date: April 30, 2022

Re: Hazard Mitigation Plan Approval

Dear Mayor Alexander:

The Hazard Mitigation Plan for your community has been approved by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Your community is now eligible to apply for federal disaster assistance until the plan expires.

The plan was reviewed and approved based on the criteria contained in 44 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 201, as authorized by the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA2K). These criteria address the planning process, risk assessment (including hazard identification), mitigation strategy and plan maintenance process requirements. Enclosed is a list of specific recommendations for improving the plan. FEMA encourages communities to improve and update their plans as well as take mitigation actions by implementing strategies within the plan. Some resources to assist you include:

Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards
<http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=6938>

Integrating Hazard Mitigation Into Local Planning: Case Studies and Tools for
Community Officials <http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=7130>

If you have questions, please contact Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer, at (804) 897-9766. I commend you for your dedication demonstrated in preparing and adopting a strategy to reduce future disaster losses.

Sincerely,

Eugene K. Gruber, P.E.
Director, Mitigation Division

Enclosure

cc: Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer
Jim Redick, City of Norfolk Emergency Management

Recommendations for Future Improvements
Local Hazard Mitigation Plan
for
City of Norfolk, VA
Plan Approval Date: 5/1/2017

1. The committee should consider the use of social media sources to promote public awareness of the plan, and seek public comments through these media sources.
2. The planning committee should be increased to include other community organizations and interest such as watershed organizations, business owners, and other entities to provide additional information when the plan is updated next.
3. The lead for the updated plan should attempt to gain more participation from the many stakeholders involved in the planning process. More specifically, attendance by jurisdictions should be improved at committee meetings.
4. The planning team needs to provide specific information on how each jurisdiction participated in the updating of the plan, as well as providing specific information on what they provided for the updated plan.
5. The updated plan should include any documentation of the committee meetings that occurred during the five years of the plan.
6. The updated plan should include any data developed in the five years since the plan was last updated. Information could include lowest floor elevation of vulnerable structures, and other information that could be used in mitigation grant applications. This information could be kept as an appendix to the plan.
7. The updated plan needs to clearly document the status of any mitigation actions that have taken place during the five years of the plan. The committee should consider a narrative that celebrates the success of mitigation in the community.
8. The updated plan should include information on specific projects that the jurisdiction would like to implement. This information could be placed in an appendix that includes specific information on structures. Due to its sensitive nature, this information would not be considered public information, but would be provided to the State and Federal governments.
9. When the plan is updated next, a better integration with existing planning mechanisms needs to be included. For example, the County comprehensive plan should be analyzed to determine how this all hazard Mitigation Plan can be incorporated into that existing planning mechanism and how the comprehensive plan can be incorporated into the local hazard Mitigation Plan.
10. In the next plan update, the plan should include more in-depth information on how the NFIP is managed in each of the jurisdictions. Examples could include: process to ensure new construction is compliant with the local floodplain ordinances; how residents are assisted in mapping issues and how substantially damaged structures are managed to ensure compliance with the latest floodplain ordinance.



FEMA

May 4, 2017

The Honorable John Rowe Jr., Mayor
City of Portsmouth
P. O. Box 820
Portsmouth, Virginia 23705

Municipality: City of Portsmouth, VA
Planning District: Hampton Roads
Adoption Date: February 28, 2017
Date Approved: May 1, 2017
Expiration Date: April 30, 2022

Re: Hazard Mitigation Plan Approval

Dear Mayor Rowe:

The Hazard Mitigation Plan for your community has been approved by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Your community is now eligible to apply for federal disaster assistance until the plan expires.

The plan was reviewed and approved based on the criteria contained in 44 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 201, as authorized by the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA2K). These criteria address the planning process, risk assessment (including hazard identification), mitigation strategy and plan maintenance process requirements. Enclosed is a list of specific recommendations for improving the plan. FEMA encourages communities to improve and update their plans as well as take mitigation actions by implementing strategies within the plan. Some resources to assist you include:

Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards
<http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=6938>

Integrating Hazard Mitigation Into Local Planning: Case Studies and Tools for
Community Officials <http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=7130>

If you have questions, please contact Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer, at (804) 897-9766. I commend you for your dedication demonstrated in preparing and adopting a strategy to reduce future disaster losses.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "E. Gruber", with a large loop at the end.

Eugene K. Gruber, P.E.
Director, Mitigation Division

Enclosure

cc: Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer
Justin Arnold, City of Portsmouth Emergency Management

Recommendations for Future Improvements
Local Hazard Mitigation Plan
for
City of Portsmouth, VA
Plan Approval Date: 5/1/2017

1. The committee should consider the use of social media sources to promote public awareness of the plan, and seek public comments through these media sources.
2. The planning committee should be increased to include other community organizations and interest such as watershed organizations, business owners, and other entities to provide additional information when the plan is updated next.
3. The lead for the updated plan should attempt to gain more participation from the many stakeholders involved in the planning process. More specifically, attendance by jurisdictions should be improved at committee meetings.
4. The planning team needs to provide specific information on how each jurisdiction participated in the updating of the plan, as well as providing specific information on what they provided for the updated plan.
5. The updated plan should include any documentation of the committee meetings that occurred during the five years of the plan.
6. The updated plan should include any data developed in the five years since the plan was last updated. Information could include lowest floor elevation of vulnerable structures, and other information that could be used in mitigation grant applications. This information could be kept as an appendix to the plan.
7. The updated plan needs to clearly document the status of any mitigation actions that have taken place during the five years of the plan. The committee should consider a narrative that celebrates the success of mitigation in the community.
8. The updated plan should include information on specific projects that the jurisdiction would like to implement. This information could be placed in an appendix that includes specific information on structures. Due to its sensitive nature, this information would not be considered public information, but would be provided to the State and Federal governments.
9. When the plan is updated next, a better integration with existing planning mechanisms needs to be included. For example, the County comprehensive plan should be analyzed to determine how this all hazard Mitigation Plan can be incorporated into that existing planning mechanism and how the comprehensive plan can be incorporated into the local hazard Mitigation Plan.
10. In the next plan update, the plan should include more in-depth information on how the NFIP is managed in each of the jurisdictions. Examples could include: process to ensure new construction is compliant with the local floodplain ordinances; how residents are assisted in mapping issues and how substantially damaged structures are managed to ensure compliance with the latest floodplain ordinance.



FEMA

May 4, 2017

Dallas Jones, Chairman
Southampton County
26022 Administration Center Drive
Courtland, Virginia 23837

Municipality: Southampton County, VA
Planning District: Hampton Roads
Adoption Date: January 23, 2017
Date Approved: May 1, 2017
Expiration Date: April 30, 2022

Re: Hazard Mitigation Plan Approval

Dear Chairman Jones:

The Hazard Mitigation Plan for your community has been approved by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Your community is now eligible to apply for federal disaster assistance until the plan expires.

The plan was reviewed and approved based on the criteria contained in 44 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 201, as authorized by the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA2K). These criteria address the planning process, risk assessment (including hazard identification), mitigation strategy and plan maintenance process requirements. Enclosed is a list of specific recommendations for improving the plan. FEMA encourages communities to improve and update their plans as well as take mitigation actions by implementing strategies within the plan. Some resources to assist you include:

Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards
<http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=6938>

Integrating Hazard Mitigation Into Local Planning: Case Studies and Tools for
Community Officials <http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=7130>

If you have questions, please contact Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer, at (804) 897-9766. I commend you for your dedication demonstrated in preparing and adopting a strategy to reduce future disaster losses.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "E. Gruber", with a large loop at the end.

Eugene K. Gruber, P.E.
Director, Mitigation Division

Enclosure

cc: Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer
J.B. Stutts, Emergency Management Coordinator

Recommendations for Future Improvements
Local Hazard Mitigation Plan
for
Southampton County, VA
Plan Approval Date: 5/1/2017

1. The committee should consider the use of social media sources to promote public awareness of the plan, and seek public comments through these media sources.
2. The planning committee should be increased to include other community organizations and interest such as watershed organizations, business owners, and other entities to provide additional information when the plan is updated next.
3. The lead for the updated plan should attempt to gain more participation from the many stakeholders involved in the planning process. More specifically, attendance by jurisdictions should be improved at committee meetings.
4. The planning team needs to provide specific information on how each jurisdiction participated in the updating of the plan, as well as providing specific information on what they provided for the updated plan.
5. The updated plan should include any documentation of the committee meetings that occurred during the five years of the plan.
6. The updated plan should include any data developed in the five years since the plan was last updated. Information could include lowest floor elevation of vulnerable structures, and other information that could be used in mitigation grant applications. This information could be kept as an appendix to the plan.
7. The updated plan needs to clearly document the status of any mitigation actions that have taken place during the five years of the plan. The committee should consider a narrative that celebrates the success of mitigation in the community.
8. The updated plan should include information on specific projects that the jurisdiction would like to implement. This information could be placed in an appendix that includes specific information on structures. Due to its sensitive nature, this information would not be considered public information, but would be provided to the State and Federal governments.
9. When the plan is updated next, a better integration with existing planning mechanisms needs to be included. For example, the County comprehensive plan should be analyzed to determine how this all hazard Mitigation Plan can be incorporated into that existing planning mechanism and how the comprehensive plan can be incorporated into the local hazard Mitigation Plan.
10. In the next plan update, the plan should include more in-depth information on how the NFIP is managed in each of the jurisdictions. Examples could include: process to ensure new construction is compliant with the local floodplain ordinances; how residents are assisted in mapping issues and how substantially damaged structures are managed to ensure compliance with the latest floodplain ordinance.



FEMA

May 4, 2017

The Honorable T. Carter Williams, Mayor
Town of Smithfield
PO Box 246
Smithfield, Virginia 23431

Municipality: Town of Smithfield
County, State: Isle of Wight, VA
Planning District: Hampton Roads
Adoption Date: February 7, 2017
Date Approved: May 1, 2017
Expiration Date: April 30, 2022

Re: Hazard Mitigation Plan Approval

Dear Mayor Williams:

The Hazard Mitigation Plan for your community has been approved by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Your community is now eligible to apply for federal disaster assistance until the plan expires.

The plan was reviewed and approved based on the criteria contained in 44 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 201, as authorized by the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA2K). These criteria address the planning process, risk assessment (including hazard identification), mitigation strategy and plan maintenance process requirements. Enclosed is a list of specific recommendations for improving the plan. FEMA encourages communities to improve and update their plans as well as take mitigation actions by implementing strategies within the plan. Some resources to assist you include:

Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards
<http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=6938>

Integrating Hazard Mitigation Into Local Planning: Case Studies and Tools for
Community Officials <http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=7130>

If you have questions, please contact Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer, at (804) 897-9766. I commend you for your dedication demonstrated in preparing and adopting a strategy to reduce future disaster losses.

Sincerely,

Eugene K. Gruber, P.E.
Director, Mitigation Division

Enclosure

cc: Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer
Jeff Terwilliger, Chief of Emergency Services for Isle of Wight County

Recommendations for Future Improvements
Local Hazard Mitigation Plan
for
Town of Smithfield, VA
Plan Approval Date: 5/1/2017

1. The committee should consider the use of social media sources to promote public awareness of the plan, and seek public comments through these media sources.
2. The planning committee should be increased to include other community organizations and interest such as watershed organizations, business owners, and other entities to provide additional information when the plan is updated next.
3. The lead for the updated plan should attempt to gain more participation from the many stakeholders involved in the planning process. More specifically, attendance by jurisdictions should be improved at committee meetings.
4. The planning team needs to provide specific information on how each jurisdiction participated in the updating of the plan, as well as providing specific information on what they provided for the updated plan.
5. The updated plan should include any documentation of the committee meetings that occurred during the five years of the plan.
6. The updated plan should include any data developed in the five years since the plan was last updated. Information could include lowest floor elevation of vulnerable structures, and other information that could be used in mitigation grant applications. This information could be kept as an appendix to the plan.
7. The updated plan needs to clearly document the status of any mitigation actions that have taken place during the five years of the plan. The committee should consider a narrative that celebrates the success of mitigation in the community.
8. The updated plan should include information on specific projects that the jurisdiction would like to implement. This information could be placed in an appendix that includes specific information on structures. Due to its sensitive nature, this information would not be considered public information, but would be provided to the State and Federal governments.
9. When the plan is updated next, a better integration with existing planning mechanisms needs to be included. For example, the County comprehensive plan should be analyzed to determine how this all hazard Mitigation Plan can be incorporated into that existing planning mechanism and how the comprehensive plan can be incorporated into the local hazard Mitigation Plan.
10. In the next plan update, the plan should include more in-depth information on how the NFIP is managed in each of the jurisdictions. Examples could include: process to ensure new construction is compliant with the local floodplain ordinances; how residents are assisted in mapping issues and how substantially damaged structures are managed to ensure compliance with the latest floodplain ordinance.



FEMA

May 4, 2017

The Honorable Linda T. Johnson, Mayor
City of Suffolk
442 West Washington Street
Suffolk, Virginia 23434

Municipality: City of Suffolk, VA
Planning District: Hampton Roads
Adoption Date: February 15, 2017
Date Approved: May 1, 2017
Expiration Date: April 30, 2022

Re: Hazard Mitigation Plan Approval

Dear Mayor Johnson:

The Hazard Mitigation Plan for your community has been approved by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Your community is now eligible to apply for federal disaster assistance until the plan expires.

The plan was reviewed and approved based on the criteria contained in 44 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 201, as authorized by the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA2K). These criteria address the planning process, risk assessment (including hazard identification), mitigation strategy and plan maintenance process requirements. Enclosed is a list of specific recommendations for improving the plan. FEMA encourages communities to improve and update their plans as well as take mitigation actions by implementing strategies within the plan. Some resources to assist you include:

Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards
<http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=6938>

Integrating Hazard Mitigation Into Local Planning: Case Studies and Tools for
Community Officials <http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=7130>

If you have questions, please contact Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer, at (804) 897-9766. I commend you for your dedication demonstrated in preparing and adopting a strategy to reduce future disaster losses.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "E. Gruber", with a large loop at the end.

Eugene K. Gruber, P.E.
Director, Mitigation Division

Enclosure

cc: Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer
Richard Stephens, Deputy Coordinator

Recommendations for Future Improvements
Local Hazard Mitigation Plan
for
City of Suffolk, VA
Plan Approval Date: 5/1/2017

1. The committee should consider the use of social media sources to promote public awareness of the plan, and seek public comments through these media sources.
2. The planning committee should be increased to include other community organizations and interest such as watershed organizations, business owners, and other entities to provide additional information when the plan is updated next.
3. The lead for the updated plan should attempt to gain more participation from the many stakeholders involved in the planning process. More specifically, attendance by jurisdictions should be improved at committee meetings.
4. The planning team needs to provide specific information on how each jurisdiction participated in the updating of the plan, as well as providing specific information on what they provided for the updated plan.
5. The updated plan should include any documentation of the committee meetings that occurred during the five years of the plan.
6. The updated plan should include any data developed in the five years since the plan was last updated. Information could include lowest floor elevation of vulnerable structures, and other information that could be used in mitigation grant applications. This information could be kept as an appendix to the plan.
7. The updated plan needs to clearly document the status of any mitigation actions that have taken place during the five years of the plan. The committee should consider a narrative that celebrates the success of mitigation in the community.
8. The updated plan should include information on specific projects that the jurisdiction would like to implement. This information could be placed in an appendix that includes specific information on structures. Due to its sensitive nature, this information would not be considered public information, but would be provided to the State and Federal governments.
9. When the plan is updated next, a better integration with existing planning mechanisms needs to be included. For example, the County comprehensive plan should be analyzed to determine how this all hazard Mitigation Plan can be incorporated into that existing planning mechanism and how the comprehensive plan can be incorporated into the local hazard Mitigation Plan.
10. In the next plan update, the plan should include more in-depth information on how the NFIP is managed in each of the jurisdictions. Examples could include: process to ensure new construction is compliant with the local floodplain ordinances; how residents are assisted in mapping issues and how substantially damaged structures are managed to ensure compliance with the latest floodplain ordinance.



FEMA

May 4, 2017

The Honorable William Sessoms, Mayor
City of Virginia Beach
2401 Courthouse Drive
Virginia Beach, Virginia 23456

Municipality: City of Virginia Beach, VA
Planning District: Hampton Roads
Adoption Date: February 7, 2017
Date Approved: May 1, 2017
Expiration Date: April 30, 2022

Re: Hazard Mitigation Plan Approval

Dear Mayor Sessoms:

The Hazard Mitigation Plan for your community has been approved by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Your community is now eligible to apply for federal disaster assistance until the plan expires.

The plan was reviewed and approved based on the criteria contained in 44 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 201, as authorized by the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA2K). These criteria address the planning process, risk assessment (including hazard identification), mitigation strategy and plan maintenance process requirements. Enclosed is a list of specific recommendations for improving the plan. FEMA encourages communities to improve and update their plans as well as take mitigation actions by implementing strategies within the plan. Some resources to assist you include:

Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards
<http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=6938>

Integrating Hazard Mitigation Into Local Planning: Case Studies and Tools for
Community Officials <http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=7130>

If you have questions, please contact Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer, at (804) 897-9766. I commend you for your dedication demonstrated in preparing and adopting a strategy to reduce future disaster losses.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "E. Gruber", with a large loop at the end.

Eugene K. Gruber, P.E.
Director, Mitigation Division

Enclosure

cc: Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer
Stephen Williams, Director of Emergency Communications & Citizen Services

Recommendations for Future Improvements
Local Hazard Mitigation Plan
for
City of Virginia Beach, VA
Plan Approval Date: 5/1/2017

1. The committee should consider the use of social media sources to promote public awareness of the plan, and seek public comments through these media sources.
2. The planning committee should be increased to include other community organizations and interest such as watershed organizations, business owners, and other entities to provide additional information when the plan is updated next.
3. The lead for the updated plan should attempt to gain more participation from the many stakeholders involved in the planning process. More specifically, attendance by jurisdictions should be improved at committee meetings.
4. The planning team needs to provide specific information on how each jurisdiction participated in the updating of the plan, as well as providing specific information on what they provided for the updated plan.
5. The updated plan should include any documentation of the committee meetings that occurred during the five years of the plan.
6. The updated plan should include any data developed in the five years since the plan was last updated. Information could include lowest floor elevation of vulnerable structures, and other information that could be used in mitigation grant applications. This information could be kept as an appendix to the plan.
7. The updated plan needs to clearly document the status of any mitigation actions that have taken place during the five years of the plan. The committee should consider a narrative that celebrates the success of mitigation in the community.
8. The updated plan should include information on specific projects that the jurisdiction would like to implement. This information could be placed in an appendix that includes specific information on structures. Due to its sensitive nature, this information would not be considered public information, but would be provided to the State and Federal governments.
9. When the plan is updated next, a better integration with existing planning mechanisms needs to be included. For example, the County comprehensive plan should be analyzed to determine how this all hazard Mitigation Plan can be incorporated into that existing planning mechanism and how the comprehensive plan can be incorporated into the local hazard Mitigation Plan.
10. In the next plan update, the plan should include more in-depth information on how the NFIP is managed in each of the jurisdictions. Examples could include: process to ensure new construction is compliant with the local floodplain ordinances; how residents are assisted in mapping issues and how substantially damaged structures are managed to ensure compliance with the latest floodplain ordinance.



FEMA

May 4, 2017

The Honorable Paul T. Freiling, Mayor
Williamsburg City Municipal Building
401 Lafayette Street
Williamsburg, Virginia 23185

Municipality: City of Williamsburg, VA
Planning District: Hampton Roads
Adoption Date: January 12, 2017
Date Approved: May 1, 2017
Expiration Date: April 30, 2022

Re: Hazard Mitigation Plan Approval

Dear Mayor Freiling:

The Hazard Mitigation Plan for your community has been approved by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Your community is now eligible to apply for federal disaster assistance until the plan expires.


The plan was reviewed and approved based on the criteria contained in 44 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 201, as authorized by the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA2K). These criteria address the planning process, risk assessment (including hazard identification), mitigation strategy and plan maintenance process requirements. Enclosed is a list of specific recommendations for improving the plan. FEMA encourages communities to improve and update their plans as well as take mitigation actions by implementing strategies within the plan. Some resources to assist you include:

Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards
<http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=6938>

Integrating Hazard Mitigation Into Local Planning: Case Studies and Tools for
Community Officials <http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=7130>

If you have questions, please contact Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer, at (804) 897-9766. I commend you for your dedication demonstrated in preparing and adopting a strategy to reduce future disaster losses.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "E. Gruber", with a large loop at the end.

Eugene K. Gruber, P.E.
Director, Mitigation Division

Enclosure

cc: Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer
William P. Dent, City of Williamsburg Department of Emergency Management

Recommendations for Future Improvements
Local Hazard Mitigation Plan
for
City of Williamsburg, VA
Plan Approval Date: 5/1/2017

1. The committee should consider the use of social media sources to promote public awareness of the plan, and seek public comments through these media sources.
2. The planning committee should be increased to include other community organizations and interest such as watershed organizations, business owners, and other entities to provide additional information when the plan is updated next.
3. The lead for the updated plan should attempt to gain more participation from the many stakeholders involved in the planning process. More specifically, attendance by jurisdictions should be improved at committee meetings.
4. The planning team needs to provide specific information on how each jurisdiction participated in the updating of the plan, as well as providing specific information on what they provided for the updated plan.
5. The updated plan should include any documentation of the committee meetings that occurred during the five years of the plan.
6. The updated plan should include any data developed in the five years since the plan was last updated. Information could include lowest floor elevation of vulnerable structures, and other information that could be used in mitigation grant applications. This information could be kept as an appendix to the plan.
7. The updated plan needs to clearly document the status of any mitigation actions that have taken place during the five years of the plan. The committee should consider a narrative that celebrates the success of mitigation in the community.
8. The updated plan should include information on specific projects that the jurisdiction would like to implement. This information could be placed in an appendix that includes specific information on structures. Due to its sensitive nature, this information would not be considered public information, but would be provided to the State and Federal governments.
9. When the plan is updated next, a better integration with existing planning mechanisms needs to be included. For example, the County comprehensive plan should be analyzed to determine how this all hazard Mitigation Plan can be incorporated into that existing planning mechanism and how the comprehensive plan can be incorporated into the local hazard Mitigation Plan.
10. In the next plan update, the plan should include more in-depth information on how the NFIP is managed in each of the jurisdictions. Examples could include: process to ensure new construction is compliant with the local floodplain ordinances; how residents are assisted in mapping issues and how substantially damaged structures are managed to ensure compliance with the latest floodplain ordinance.



FEMA

May 4, 2017

The Honorable Carita Richardson, Mayor
Town of Windsor
8 East Windsor Boulevard
Windsor, Virginia 23487

Municipality: Town of Windsor
County, State: Isle of Wight, VA
Planning District: Hampton Roads
Adoption Date: April 25, 2017
Date Approved: May 1, 2017
Expiration Date: April 30, 2022

Re: Hazard Mitigation Plan Approval

Dear Mayor Richardson:

The Hazard Mitigation Plan for your community has been approved by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Your community is now eligible to apply for federal disaster assistance until the plan expires.


The plan was reviewed and approved based on the criteria contained in 44 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 201, as authorized by the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA2K). These criteria address the planning process, risk assessment (including hazard identification), mitigation strategy and plan maintenance process requirements. Enclosed is a list of specific recommendations for improving the plan. FEMA encourages communities to improve and update their plans as well as take mitigation actions by implementing strategies within the plan. Some resources to assist you include:

Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards
<http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=6938>

Integrating Hazard Mitigation Into Local Planning: Case Studies and Tools for
Community Officials <http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=7130>

If you have questions, please contact Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer, at (804) 897-9766. I commend you for your dedication demonstrated in preparing and adopting a strategy to reduce future disaster losses.

Sincerely,



Eugene K. Gruber, P.E.
Director, Mitigation Division

Enclosure

cc: Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer
Jeff Terwilliger, Chief of Emergency Services for Isle of Wight County

Recommendations for Future Improvements
Local Hazard Mitigation Plan
for
Town of Windsor, VA
Plan Approval Date: 5/1/2017

1. The committee should consider the use of social media sources to promote public awareness of the plan, and seek public comments through these media sources.
2. The planning committee should be increased to include other community organizations and interest such as watershed organizations, business owners, and other entities to provide additional information when the plan is updated next.
3. The lead for the updated plan should attempt to gain more participation from the many stakeholders involved in the planning process. More specifically, attendance by jurisdictions should be improved at committee meetings.
4. The planning team needs to provide specific information on how each jurisdiction participated in the updating of the plan, as well as providing specific information on what they provided for the updated plan.
5. The updated plan should include any documentation of the committee meetings that occurred during the five years of the plan.
6. The updated plan should include any data developed in the five years since the plan was last updated. Information could include lowest floor elevation of vulnerable structures, and other information that could be used in mitigation grant applications. This information could be kept as an appendix to the plan.
7. The updated plan needs to clearly document the status of any mitigation actions that have taken place during the five years of the plan. The committee should consider a narrative that celebrates the success of mitigation in the community.
8. The updated plan should include information on specific projects that the jurisdiction would like to implement. This information could be placed in an appendix that includes specific information on structures. Due to its sensitive nature, this information would not be considered public information, but would be provided to the State and Federal governments.
9. When the plan is updated next, a better integration with existing planning mechanisms needs to be included. For example, the County comprehensive plan should be analyzed to determine how this all hazard Mitigation Plan can be incorporated into that existing planning mechanism and how the comprehensive plan can be incorporated into the local hazard Mitigation Plan.
10. In the next plan update, the plan should include more in-depth information on how the NFIP is managed in each of the jurisdictions. Examples could include: process to ensure new construction is compliant with the local floodplain ordinances; how residents are assisted in mapping issues and how substantially damaged structures are managed to ensure compliance with the latest floodplain ordinance.



FEMA

May 4, 2017

Sheila Noll, Chairman
York County
224 Ballard Street
Yorktown, Virginia 23690

Municipality: York County, VA
Planning District: Hampton Roads
Adoption Date: March 21, 2017
Date Approved: May 1, 2017
Expiration Date: April 30, 2022

Re: Hazard Mitigation Plan Approval

Dear Chairman Noll:

The Hazard Mitigation Plan for your community has been approved by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Your community is now eligible to apply for federal disaster assistance until the plan expires.

The plan was reviewed and approved based on the criteria contained in 44 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 201, as authorized by the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA2K). These criteria address the planning process, risk assessment (including hazard identification), mitigation strategy and plan maintenance process requirements. Enclosed is a list of specific recommendations for improving the plan. FEMA encourages communities to improve and update their plans as well as take mitigation actions by implementing strategies within the plan. Some resources to assist you include:

Mitigation Ideas: A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards
<http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=6938>

Integrating Hazard Mitigation Into Local Planning: Case Studies and Tools for
Community Officials <http://www.fema.gov/library/viewRecord.do?id=7130>

If you have questions, please contact Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer, at (804) 897-9766. I commend you for your dedication demonstrated in preparing and adopting a strategy to reduce future disaster losses.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "E. Gruber", with a large loop at the end.

Eugene K. Gruber, P.E.
Director, Mitigation Division

Enclosure

cc: Robbie Coates, State Hazard Mitigation Officer
Stephen P. Kopczynski, York County Department of Emergency Management

Recommendations for Future Improvements

Local Hazard Mitigation Plan

for

York County, VA

Plan Approval Date: 5/1/2017

1. The committee should consider the use of social media sources to promote public awareness of the plan, and seek public comments through these media sources.
2. The planning committee should be increased to include other community organizations and interest such as watershed organizations, business owners, and other entities to provide additional information when the plan is updated next.
3. The lead for the updated plan should attempt to gain more participation from the many stakeholders involved in the planning process. More specifically, attendance by jurisdictions should be improved at committee meetings.
4. The planning team needs to provide specific information on how each jurisdiction participated in the updating of the plan, as well as providing specific information on what they provided for the updated plan.
5. The updated plan should include any documentation of the committee meetings that occurred during the five years of the plan.
6. The updated plan should include any data developed in the five years since the plan was last updated. Information could include lowest floor elevation of vulnerable structures, and other information that could be used in mitigation grant applications. This information could be kept as an appendix to the plan.
7. The updated plan needs to clearly document the status of any mitigation actions that have taken place during the five years of the plan. The committee should consider a narrative that celebrates the success of mitigation in the community.
8. The updated plan should include information on specific projects that the jurisdiction would like to implement. This information could be placed in an appendix that includes specific information on structures. Due to its sensitive nature, this information would not be considered public information, but would be provided to the State and Federal governments.
9. When the plan is updated next, a better integration with existing planning mechanisms needs to be included. For example, the County comprehensive plan should be analyzed to determine how this all hazard Mitigation Plan can be incorporated into that existing planning mechanism and how the comprehensive plan can be incorporated into the local hazard Mitigation Plan.

In the next plan update, the plan should include more in-depth information on how the NFIP is managed in each of the jurisdictions. Examples could include: process to ensure new construction is compliant with the local floodplain ordinances; how residents are assisted in mapping issues and how substantially damaged structures are managed to ensure compliance with the latest floodplain ordinance.

APPENDIX C: HMPC MEETINGS

This section of the Plan includes the Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee and Public Meeting advertisements, minutes, notes, attendance sheets and photographs collected during the process of updating this Plan.

**SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY COMMUNITIES
PHONE/CORRESPONDENCE LOG**

Note: This log does not include email correspondence as that is documented elsewhere in this appendix. It is intended only to show special actions taken to correspond with town staff and elected officials who had not responded to emails and whose participation was necessary in the planning process.

Community	Date	Contact & Correspondence Type	Notes
Courtland	9/24/15	Letter to Mayor Danny Williams	Letter outlined planning process and dates of upcoming meetings
Newsoms	9/24/15	Letter to Mayor Harvey Porter	Letter outlined planning process and dates of upcoming meetings
Courtland	7/21/16	Left message at Town Hall; received phone call from Vice Mayor Stan Piersa	Lengthy discussion of planning process, current status and need for his review. He had reviewed plan and all public input and determined that he had no comments on the plan.
Branchville	7/21/16	Left message for Mayor Casper	
Newsoms	7/21/16	Left message with Ruth in Town Hall	
Boykins	7/22/16	Spoke with Pat, Town Clerk.	She indicated Vice Mayor Beatty would return call and/or followup the following week.
Boykins	7/25/16	Spoke with Mayor Edwards.	He indicated that he has had health problems and has not been involved. Indicated Vice Mayor Beatty would followup.
Boykins	9/26/16	Spoke with Pat, Town Clerk, and then called Vice Mayor Linda Beatty	Outlined planning process. She indicated that she had been getting emails and following the process and reviewing documents. Requested email with the specific pages outlining Boykins mitigation actions for their review. Emailed out same day.
Ivor	9/26/16	Spoke with Jennifer, Town Clerk.	Outlined planning process. She indicated that she had been getting emails and following the process and reviewing documents. Requested a hard copy of the document be sent to

			the Town Hall when it's ready for adoption.
Capron	9/26/16	Mayor Nick Kitchen returned voicemail.	Outlined planning process. They will review and adopt it. Received email from Mayor 10/4/16 indicating no recommendations for changes.
Branchville	9/26/16	Spoke with Town Clerk, Kayre Harrup.	They had been getting emails and were aware of process. Town has a new mayor as of Jan 2016, Mayor Jonathan Casper. Called his cell phone and left detailed message outlining planning process, requesting comments.
Courtland	9/26/16	Left message for Vice Mayor Stan Piersa	Requested any additional feedback on latest round of public comments and told him about Feedback Forum.
Newsoms	9/26/16	Spoke with Ruth in Town Hall. She provided new Mayor's cell phone number. Left message with Mayor Vanless Whirl and he called back.	Discussed planning process, invited him to Feedback Forum, requested his input. He attended Feedback Forum and we discussed NFIP participation and other drainage issues the town has.

ATTENTION CITIZENS OF:

The Cities of Chesapeake, Franklin, Hampton,
Newport News, Norfolk, Portsmouth, Poquoson, Suffolk,
Virginia Beach, and Williamsburg.



The Counties of Isle of Wight, James City, Southampton,
and York.

The incorporated Towns of Boykins, Branchville, Capron,
Courtland, Ivor, Newsoms, Smithfield and Windsor.

Notice of Public Meetings Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Share your local knowledge and thoughts on the
Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Process at any of
these convenient meeting locations.

Location: Hampton
Date: Thursday, February 26, 2015
Time: 6:30pm
Where: Sandy Bottom Nature Park
1255 Big Bethel Road
Hampton, VA 23666

Location: Smithfield
Date: Monday, March 2, 2015
Time: 6:30pm
Where: The Smithfield Center
220 North Church Street
Smithfield, VA 23430

Location: Norfolk
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2015
Time: 6:30pm
Where: The Slover Public Library
235 East Plume Street
Norfolk, VA 23510
(for parking validation, you must park in City's Main Street Garage)

For More Information Visit:

<http://www.hrpdcva.gov/departments/emergency-management/hampton-roads-hazard-mitigation-plan/>

Or Contact: HRPDC Emergency Management Department (757) 420-8300

The HRPDC will strive to provide reasonable accommodations and services for persons who require special assistance to participate in this public involvement opportunity. Contact Dawn Brantley, Regional Inclusive Emergency Planner at (757) 420-8300 for more information.



Staff photo by Diana McFarland

Hayden Pittman helps clear the sidewalks Tuesday at Bank of Southside Virginia after a winter storm dumped several inches of snow in Smithfield.

Snow

• Continued from p. 1

Today is forecasted to reach 34 degrees, with highs dropping to 19 on Thursday and 20 on Friday, according to NWS Wakefield.

Overnight Thursday, temperatures could dip as low as 3 degrees.

The weekend will see a slight warm up, with Satur-

day having a high of 39 and Sunday reaching 43.

The snow's effects began as early as 3 o'clock Monday when reports came in to the county's 911-dispatch center for cars sliding into roadside ditches and over-turning.

From 4 p.m. Monday through 4 a.m. Tuesday,

Virginia State Police troopers responded to 212 traffic crashes and 97 disabled vehicles in its Division V service area, which includes Hampton Roads, Tidewater, Eastern Shore, Williamsburg, Franklin and Emporia.

Virginia Department of Transportation deployed crews as early as Sunday

afternoon to pretreat major roadways.

VDOT says it expects to have all state-maintained roads passable within 48 hours of the storm's ending.

All Isle of Wight and Surry schools were closed Tuesday, as were many government offices and businesses.

460

• Continued from p. 1

al park, as well as working with property owners.

So far, VDOT said it appears it can conceptually accommodate Isle of Wight's needs, Oliver said.

With no other options, the Board felt it was best to come up with some criteria that maybe VDOT could work with, Darden said.

Access to the intermodal park is "critical," Seward said, as well as a four-way interchange at Route 258 and the proposed bypass.

This preferred alignment was not the Board's decision, said Alphin.

Windsor resident Frank Grubbs called the proposed project "a road to nowhere." He was particularly critical of plans to open seven

lanes westward during an evacuation, only to have the highway reduced back to the existing lanes outside of Zuni.

It won't take long for backups to develop, he said.

Farmer Billy Gwaltney, who may be impacted twice by the bypass at his farm and at his residence, was far more critical.

"460 has been the most mismanaged road project in the history of Virginia," he said.

So far, the state has spent about \$300 million on the project without taking one shovelful of dirt. The original alignment was a 55-mile tolled limited access highway that was to run south of the existing Route 460, as well as Windsor.

That alignment also lined up with the development of Isle of Wight's intermodal park, which is located south of the existing Route 460.

However, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers put a stop to the project last year due to potential impacts on more than 600 acres of wetlands and ordered a supplemental environmental impact statement.

The "hybrid" preferred alignment is just 17 miles long — from the Route 460-58 interchange in Suffolk to just west of Zuni. Plans also include a bridge in Zuni to alleviate chronic flooding in the area. The preferred alignment is expected to impact just 52 acres of wetlands.

Darden said she's been told that it's unlikely the remaining 35 miles from Zuni to Petersburg will be constructed due to wetlands.

The preferred alternative is expected to cost from \$375 million to \$425 million and is fully funded. However, if the CTB votes against proceeding with the plan, the money will likely go to other road projects in the state, Darden said.

Improving Route 460 is expected to address three main concerns — increasing capacity for truck traffic coming from an expanded Port of Virginia, providing an enhanced evacuation route for Southside Hampton Roads and increase safety by separating regional and local traffic.

When buying or selling, try...
The Smithfield Times Classified
Call now for information! 357-3288

JOHN GRAHAM

Cell:
(757)572-3092



The Man With a Plan And the Team to Make It Work!!

Have You Heard:

“Being deeply loved by someone gives you strength, while loving someone deeply gives you courage.”

- Lao Tzu


BERKSHIRE HATHAWAY
HomeServices
 Towne Realty  **Smithfield Sales Center**
319-C Main Street, Smithfield, VA 23430
(757) 356-5541
Johng550@gmail.com

Prudential Towne Realty is an affiliate of Towne Bank. An independently owned and operated member of Prudential Real Estate Affiliates, Inc.

Need a Helping Hand?

Beale & Curran, P.C.
Certified Public Accountants

Diana F. Beale, CPA
Deborah A. Curran, CPA

Let us help you keep and grow your hard earned money

Corporate, Partnership, Individual
Trust and Estate / Tax, Accounting,
Planning, Payroll, and Bookkeeping Services

757-357-3861

1801 S. Church Street, Smithfield
Visit us on the web at
www.bealeandcurran.com

Pay

• Continued from p. 1

A concrete finisher that made \$14 per hour will now receive restitution to bring him or her to the \$20 per hour federal wage plus \$3.08 per hour in required fringe benefits.

Restitution pay ranges from \$34 to \$43,460 for individual employees, with most receiving between \$1,000 and \$10,000.

Several of the school division's federal bond documents reference the Davis-Bacon requirement, including a compliance agreement signed by school and county officials in 2011, but Davis-Bacon was omitted in the Sept. 13, 2012 construction contract between the School Board and Ritchie-Curbow.

The School Board unanimously approved an amendment in June 2014 to add the Davis-Bacon Act language to the contract.

Alabama dean's list

Brittany Simmons of Smithfield made the dean's list at the University of Alabama for the fall 2014 semester. She was invited to join the Phi Eta Sigma national freshman honor society. She is the daughter of John and Jeanette Simmons.



ATTENTION CITIZENS OF:

The Cities of Chesapeake, Franklin, Hampton, Newport News, Norfolk, Portsmouth, Poquoson, Suffolk, Virginia Beach, and Williamsburg.

The Counties of Isle of Wight, James City, Southampton, and York.

The incorporated Towns of Boykins, Branchville, Capron, Courtland, Ivor, Newsoms, Smithfield and Windsor.

Notice of Public Meetings Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Share your local knowledge and thoughts on the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Process at any of these convenient meeting locations.

Location: Hampton
Date: Thursday, February 26, 2015
Time: 6:30pm
Where: Sandy Bottom Nature Park
1255 Big Bethel Rd.
Hampton, VA 23666

Location: Smithfield
Date: Monday, March 2, 2015
Time: 6:30pm
Where: The Smithfield Center
220 North Church St.
Smithfield, VA 23430

Location: Norfolk
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2015
Time: 6:30pm
Where: The Slover Public Library
235 East Plume St.
Norfolk, VA 23510 (for parking validation, you must park in City's Main Street Garage)

For More Information Visit:
<http://www.hrpdcva.gov/departments/emergency-management/hampton-roads-hazard-mitigation-plan/>
Or Contact: HRPDC Emergency Management Department (757) 420-8300

The HRPDC will strive to provide reasonable accommodations and services for persons who require special assistance to participate in this public involvement opportunity. Contact Dawn Brantley, Regional Inclusive Emergency Planner at (757) 420-8300 for more information.

Make Your Next
Yard Sale a Success
Call 357-3288
and ask for a
SMITHFIELD TIMES
Classified

REVIEW | "SISTER ACT"



"Sister Act" show will have you praying for the end

By Rachel O'Brien | The Virginian-Pilot

A MUSICAL COMEDY ADAPTATION of "Sister Act," the 1992 movie starring Whoopi Goldberg, is a grand idea on paper. Indeed, the show about a hapless lounge singer on the run from her mobster boyfriend taking refuge in a convent has been a hit in London, on Broadway and elsewhere.

VIRGINIAN-PILOT SPELLING BEE



Grace Wilson, left, outpaces Sophia Wilson to win top honors in The Virginian-Pilot Spelling Bee on Saturday, when the two sisters are just winners.

Homeschooler ends contest by means of "perestroika"

It took a few rounds, but a word from Russia's hopeful spelled a winner for Grace Wilson in The Virginian-Pilot Spelling Bee. Wilson, 12, correctly spelled "perestroika" to break a tense final-round battle and take the crown. Her older sister, Caroline, and her cousin, Sophia, are past winners of the contest.

Wilson, who is homeschooled in conjunction with the Kingdom Education for Young Scholars program, edged Bella Pinkel of the Kibitz Goldenrover Middle School in Newport News. "Perestroika" stems from the reform movement led by former Soviet Union leader Mikhail Gorbachev (whose name would have made a fine tiebreaker). Bella faced a series of difficult words in the final and could not come up with the spelling for "schrodinger" (a weird German poetry) and "warrior" (one

who drives a camel). The Pilot has sponsored the event for 15 years, the last eight of which it was aired live on WHPD public television. The battle for third place also featured some fine spelling, as Finn Hulse of Berkeley Middle School spelled "mitochondria" to edge Seaghan Scithlamar of Great Neck Middle School.

— John Holland, The Pilot

But the production that opened at Chrysler Hall in Norfolk on Friday night is a vocal riot. Despite its busy staging and glitzy gowns, the assembly's exclamationary show was bland and draining, full of eye-roll-inducing dink and songs that slip in one ear and out the other.

Kristina Arrington, making her national touring debut with "Sister Act," plays Deloris, the role Goldberg originated. The story opens in Philadelphia, 1972. Deloris wants to be the next Donna Summer, a dream that's dashed after she sees her boyfriend kill a guy and has to go into witness protection. The moment Arrington hits the stage, belting and writhing in a club scene, everything about her is overdrive. Every line and gesture is amplified. She's an over-the-top parody of Wilona Woods, the sexy neighbor on the '70s sitcom "Good Times," says the irony. It's

clear after the first 15 minutes that she's overcompensating for flat dinking and almost laughably canted-sounding music.

But others in the production bring a sense of no-nonsense professionalism to the roles, particularly Maggie Clemens Roberg as the icy Mother Superior who oversees the rundown convent where Deloris hides out. Roberg's comical timing — her disapproving face, a quick arch of an eyebrow — is effective and spot-on, a welcome counterpoint to Arrington's obnoxiousness. Kolby Kinkadee, who plays Deloris' mobster boyfriend, is so wooden and self-aware you wonder whether it's his first time on a stage. The three guys playing his goons, all smartly costumed in period gear, are as hammy and precious as their performance ever was "Sweetie Street."

But the ensemble numbers with the men, featuring the show's musical songs and better acting, are enjoyable. The quick-witted interplay is similar to what was seen in the original movie and its bombastic 1993 sequel, which featured a young Laryn Hill. It's too bad the songs in these films aren't in the musical. Adapted by the

musical arranger, writer, scores as Sister way. Connoisseurs in one current year of "Sister Act" can just perform a come down at Canyon see in Norfolk.

book writers Cheri and Bill Steinbocker and scored by Alan Menken (composer) and Glenn Slater (lyricist), the tale of a strict life-loving "bad girl" teaching the devout sisters how to shake their funky ass is trite.

In the movie, vibrant adaptations of classics such as "Oh Happy Day" by the Edwin Hawkins Singers and "Get Up Offa That Thing" by James Brown helped carry the story. On stage, the songs, several of which imitatively quibble the tenets of faith, leave no impression. The soaring notes — and there are plenty — struggle to give wind to songs that never really fly. The glitzy and shimmer of "Sister Act," especially during the closing numbers, distracts and may be over-the-top. But it leaves nothing for your soul.

It also did not, 750-44-6471, as the do this up to the line. can. The do it. That's it. the go it up to the line only. the do it. That's it.

ATTENTION JOBSEEKERS!

The Job Market is Improving...

Don't miss this opportunity to meet face to face with employers ready to hire as well as schools offering specialized training to further prepare you for a well paying sustainable career!

Career Fair & Education Expo

WHEN Wednesday, February 25th
10am to 2pm

WHERE Chesapeake Conference Center
700 Conference Center Dr.
Chesapeake, VA 23320

SPONSORING PARTNERS:

FREE
To Jobseekers & Onsite Parking
Please bring plenty of resumes.



ATTENTION CITIZENS OF:

- The Cities of Chesapeake, Franklin, Hampton, Newport News, Norfolk, Portsmouth, Poquoson, Suffolk, Virginia Beach and Williamsburg
- The Counties of Isle of Wight, James City, Southampton and York
- The Incorporated Towns of Boykins, Branchville, Capron, Courthouse, Inver, Newcomb, Smithfield and Windsor

NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETINGS

HAMPTON ROADS HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN UPDATE

Share your local knowledge and thoughts on the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Process at any of these convenient meeting locations:

Location: Hampton
Date: Thursday February 26, 2015
Time: 6:30pm
Where: Sandy Bottom Nature Park
1255 Big Bethel Road
Hampton, VA 23068

Location: Smithfield
Date: Monday, March 2, 2015
Time: 6:30pm
Where: The Smithfield Center
220 North Church Street
Smithfield, VA 23430

Location: Norfolk
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2015
Time: 6:30pm
Where: The Glover Public Library
235 East Plume Street
Norfolk, VA 23510
(For parking validation, you must park in City's Meter Zone Garage)

FOR MORE INFORMATION VISIT:

<http://www.hrpdc.gov/departments/emergency-management/hampton-roads-hazard-mitigation-plan/>
Or Contact: HRPDC Emergency Management Department (757) 420-8300

The HRPDC will strive to provide reasonable accommodations and services for persons who require special assistance to participate in this public involvement opportunity. Contact David Bentley, Regional Inclusive Emergency Planner at (757) 420-8300 for more information.



Freedom and Justice

By Brenda H. Andrews

I reported last week that I was headed to Athens, Ohio for a Black History Month program focusing on the Black Press. I ended my column by noting I expected an informative and COLD visit.

This week, I report that both expectations were met. The weather was so COLD that my Black Press program scheduled for Thursday night was canceled when the entire Ohio University campus was shut down. With air temps below 0 degrees (without the wind chill factor), the environment was too frigid even for those who were accustomed to the cold.

But informative the visit was. The Wednesday night program featured Charlene Hunter Gault. For those of us from the Civil Rights Movement era, we remember her as one of two African Americans to desegregate under court order the University of Georgia in 1961.

She also is a noted American journalist, author and former foreign correspondent for National Public Radio, and the Public Broadcasting Service. An accomplished former correspondent for the MacNeil/Lehrer Report, she is highly recognized in the field of journalism and has won two Emmys, and a Peabody for excellence in broadcast journalism. She also worked in Johannesburg, South Africa, as National Public Radio's chief correspondent and CNN's Johannesburg bureau chief and correspondent and today regularly appears as an African specialist. Her accolades abound. Hunter Gault was at the university to receive the Ohio University Scripps Howard School of Journalism's highest award.

Among the many gems I gleaned from her presentation in which she took her audience back to the days of the Civil Rights Movement and forward to today, was her query of what has happened to the movement's focus on "freedom and justice."

In recounting efforts to end the injustices associated with racial segregation that drove the Civil Rights Movement in the 1960s, Hunter Gault said we no longer talk enough about "freedom and justice" in America when we talk about equal and fair opportunities for people of color, women and other historically oppressed

segments of society. Instead, she said, that dynamic duo—freedom and justice—which drove the movement's activism and passion has been replaced by the terms "diversity and inclusiveness."

Hunter Gault reminded us that becoming a diverse and inclusive nation—while admirable in its own right—is not what drove people of goodwill to march and protest racial injustices of the 50s and 60s. A diverse and inclusive nation is not the same as one that promotes freedom and justice in how it performs and responds to its varied populations, especially those who historically have been victimized by policies and practices that were (and often remain) unjust.

She was right. We are doing a better job in America of diversification. We operate in more diverse settings, our job market is more diverse today than in the past. We have more women and people of color in positions of leadership, directing school divisions, police departments and managing city and state governments. We especially have more Black-elected officials making laws today than at any other time in history. We even have a Black man who sits in the seat of power as President of the United States.

Yes, we are winning the diverse and inclusive battles to embrace people who have been invisible and excluded from positions of power in the past. But the question raised by Hunter Gault is where are we as a nation on the issues of freedom and justice, especially for those still invisible and without a voice or power?

How "just" is our society where the incarceration rate among Black men and women is inordinately higher than its overall population?

How "just" is our nation's commitment to public schools that are failing miserably to educate low income children compared to children from middle class neighborhoods?

What does it mean to be "free" to vote when restrictive laws are being concocted to control who gets into elected offices where more laws and policies are made for enforcement?

Common and John Legend, the two musicians who wrote the song "Glory" that is sung in the movie "Selma", brought home that same message about "freedom and justice" to a larger audience recently at the Academy Awards.

...see Publisher, page 3

PROFILE: MAYOR KENNETH WRIGHT

Portsmouth mayor embraces his challenges

By Rosaland Tyler
Associate Editor
New Journal and Guide

Portsmouth Mayor Kenny Wright could feel like a victim, if he actually had time to read the less-than-flattering headlines that have been written since he assumed office in 2010.

The problem is the mayor, age 51, visits four Portsmouth churches each Sunday. As mayor, he works about 100 hours a week. He talks to about 2,000 people a week. And he and his wife, Thomasina, have four daughters that range in age from 17 to 10. His oldest daughter was recently accepted into the engineering program at Georgia Tech. For 15 years, he and his wife have owned and operated Wright's Engineering and Design in Portsmouth.

"We are delivering on our promises," Wright said. "There is no greater reward than to make a contribution at home. That was one of the reasons why I ran for office. I was raised in Portsmouth. This is an opportunity for me to give back."

Like many opportunities, the mayor's first term came in the form of a crisis. Elected in 2010 after former Portsmouth Mayor James W. Holley III was forced out following a petition drive, Wright said he has made good on several campaign promises but is still trying to solve three challenges he said he inherited.

First, there is the challenge of communicating. "It was something I inherited," Wright said. "Mayor Holley was a great communicator. But jealousy and backbiting, no matter how great a communicator you are. They don't want you to get the credit. City Hall used to be a place where great ideas would go to die."

Wright said the second challenge is economic development. The third challenge is trying to create a new future for an old city. Scoring his efforts since he took office in 2010, Wright said, "I'd say we are at 70 percent (on economic development). I would give the third challenge (creating a new future for an old city) 80 percent."

"It is hard trying to share a vision because people have been so disappointed in the past," Wright said. "Politicians have taken office and never delivered. People have become so upset. It is hard to convince them that everyone is not like that."

"I took office about two days after I was elected," Wright explained. "I was sworn in two days later, because they had recalled Mayor Holley."

"Since I assumed office we have built a \$78 million courthouse — we built it two years ago," Wright said. "It is a state-of-the-art facility. We were being sued for having inadequate facilities. We also completed a \$14 million renovation of the Children's Museum. It has about 100,000-200,000 visitors each year."

"When I took office 10 locations of prime real estate were idle," Wright continued.



Mayor Wright and Family

"We have developed a new Kroger, a Chick-fil-A and other projects. The Kroger site will yield about \$1 million in additional revenue. T. J. Maxx is coming to the Kroger site. We have a sporting goods store coming in Cato Fashions is coming. We are going to build a Wawa on another idle property site. We have opened four CVS stores."


There is also a new Harris Teeter in Chatham. Upscale apartment units have been built in two different locations where old buildings were razed. Royal Farms which held its ribbon-cutting ceremony on Dec. 19 at its new location at 3701 Victory Blvd., presented a \$500 check to representatives

from some local charities that serve residents living near the new restaurant. John Kemp, president of Royal Farms, attended the grand opening. Launched in 1959, Royal Farms operates about 200 convenience stores in Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia. Meanwhile, a new 7-11 Store is being built.

"Major economic growth was needed in our city," Wright explained. "This is all brand-new revenue coming in since I took office. I ran on economic development. We are delivering on our promises."

But, this is the point where the less-than-flattering headlines come in.

...see Wright, page 5



NEW JOURNAL AND GUIDE

P.O. Box 209,
Norfolk, VA 23501
Phone: (757) 543-6531
Fax: (757) 543-7620

PUBLISHER AND
EDITOR-IN-CHIEF:
Brenda H. Andrews

ASSOCIATE EDITOR:
Rosaland Tyler

CHIEF REPORTER:
Leonard E. Colvin

PRODUCTION
ASSISTANT:
Desmond Perkins

New Journal and Guide (USPS 0277560/ISSN 8096) is published weekly on Thursday for \$36.95 per year, \$22 per year for six months by New Journal and Guide Publishing, Incorporated, 5127 East Va Beach Blvd., Suite 100, Norfolk, VA 23510. Periodicals postage paid at Norfolk, VA 23501. Postmaster: Send address changes to New Journal and Guide, P.O. Box 209, Norfolk, VA 23501. The New Journal and Guide is not responsible for any unsolicited manuscripts, photographs or related materials.

ATTENTION CITIZENS OF:

The Cities of Chesapeake, Franklin, Hampton, Newport News, Norfolk, Portsmouth, Poquoson, Suffolk, Virginia Beach, and Williamsburg.

The Counties of Isle of Wight, James City, Southampton, and York.

The Incorporated Towns of Boykins, Branchville, Capron, Courtland, Ivor, Newsoms, Smithfield and Windsor.



Notice of Public Meetings Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Share your local knowledge and thoughts on the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Process at any of these convenient meeting locations.

Location: Hampton Date: Thursday, February 26, 2015 Time: 6:30pm Where: Sandy Bottom Nature Park 1255 Big Bethel Road Hampton, VA 23666	Location: Smithfield Date: Monday, March 2, 2015 Time: 6:30pm Where: The Smithfield Center 220 North Church Street Smithfield, VA 23430
Location: Norfolk Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2015 Time: 6:30pm Where: The Slover Public Library 235 East Plume Street Norfolk, VA 23510 (for parking validation, you must park in City's Main Street Garage)	

For More Information Visit:
<http://www.hrpdcva.gov/departments/emergency-management/hampton-roads-hazard-mitigation-plan/>


Or Contact: HRPDC Emergency Management Department (757) 420-8300

The HRPDC will strive to provide reasonable accommodations and services for persons who require special assistance to participate in this public involvement opportunity. Contact Dawn Brantley, Regional Inclusive Emergency Planner at (757) 420-8300 for more information.

SEND US AN EMAIL NJGUIDE@GMAIL.COM



Charlene Hunter-Gault talks to Ohio University students after her speech



Frederick Douglass

Writer, Orator & Abolitionist

is portrayed by Poet/Author
Nathan M. Richardson

2015 Schedule of Appearance

Churchland Branch Library
Saturday, Feb. 14th, 12-1pm

Burrowsville Library
Friday, Feb. 20th, 6pm

Cradock Branch Library, Portsmouth
Saturday, Feb. 21st, 11 - 12pm

Portsmouth Main Public Library
Saturday, Feb. 21st, 2-3pm

Galatians United Church of God in Christ
Sunday, Feb. 22nd, 11:30am

Manor Branch Library, Portsmouth
Saturday, Feb. 28th, 12:30 - 1pm

2015 Africana Festival
Virginia Beach Oceanfront
Aug. 28-30, 2015

For more details and booking information
contact Nathan M. Richardson
(757) 535-1503/richnthn@aol.com

FEBRUARY IS HEART HEALTH MONTH

PROFILE: DR. KEITH NEWBY

Local Cardiologist Is Medical Doctor and Businessman

By Rosalind Tyler
Associate Editor
New Journal and Guide

Dr. Keith Newby could have thought like a victim at age 7 when his father passed away at age 41, but the survivor's mindset ran too deep inside of him.

The evidence is in the deft juggling act he performs seven days a week. For example, he sees 50-60 patients seven days a week in his office or at the hospital. In addition to running a busy practice, he runs an urgent care center, owns two restaurants, and operates a nearly 5-year-old foundation that honors his father, Dr. James E. Newby Jr. He also hosts two radio talk shows. He and his wife, Peggy, have three children.

"Part of my survivor's mindset obviously came from my mother, Clayte B. Newby," he explained. This does not mean he was not touched by the death of his father, who was diagnosed with a primary cardiac tumor in June 1970 and passed away six months later in January 1971.

Instead, it means his father's example, high expectations from his mother and relatives touched him more. "My mom would push me and say, 'Don't feel sorry for yourself.' She would not let us be a victim. Some people are victims because they are allowed to be victims. But I was brought up to believe that obstacles are something you are supposed to get around."

Newby, a noted cardiologist, is devoted to raising awareness about heart disease, especially during Heart Health Month which is observed in February. Blacks disproportionately experience heart failure, and it develops at a younger age, according to a 2009 study published in the New England Journal of Medicine. Heart failure means that the heart isn't able to pump blood as well as it should.

Blacks experience heart failure at a rate that is 20 times higher than whites before age 50, according to the study. Four risk factors are the strongest predictors of heart failure: high blood pressure (hypertension), chronic kidney disease, obesity, and low levels of good cholesterol.

At least 200,000 deaths from heart disease and stroke

are preventable, according to the Centers for Disease Control. Blacks are nearly twice as likely as whites to die from preventable heart disease and stroke, and more than half of preventable heart disease and stroke deaths occur before age 65.

Newby also spreads the word on WHRV 89.5. The fourth Friday of each month, he is a guest on Another View, which is hosted by Barbara Hamm Lee.

"I took an oath," Newby said. "I have a certain responsibility. Namely, to be a good doctor. That is what drives me. I raised my hand and took an oath."

While his mother did not push him to become a doctor, "she was elated when I went into medicine," said Newby who finished Eastern Virginia Medical School in 1990 after earning his bachelor's degree at Norfolk State University in 1986. He did his internship in Internal Medicine at Emory University Medical School in Atlanta, followed by a fellowship in cardiology with emphasis in invasive electrophysiology at Duke University Medical Center in Durham.


"I knew I had a lot to live up to," Newby explained in a 2014 interview in Hampton Roads Physician. "I knew I had to strive to be my best."

Does this mean thinking like a victim did not occur to Newby who is the founder and president of Fort Norfolk Plaza and Fort Norfolk Medical? The answer surfaces as you examine the chain-of-events he set in motion several years before he opened his new facility that he wanted to be accessible to doctors who treat Medicaid and Medicare patients. Look closely. Not one trait that experts say victims possess surfaced.

While victims believe outcomes swing on fate, luck, and powerful others. Victims share one belief: I'm helpless.

None of these traits surface in news reports. For example, one city council member did not favor the project after Newby approached city officials around 2003 in an effort to launch his modern medical office building in the Fort Norfolk area off West Brambleton Avenue.

Still, in February 2007, about four years later Norfolk City Council approved the \$85 million project. His



Dr. Keith Newby

"Many people feel when you are a doctor that is all you should do. Or people believe all doctors play golf. I believe you should be versatile. You should always ask, 'What can I contribute to the world?'"

proposed medical center would be located across the street from a medical complex that includes Sentara Norfolk General Hospital, Eastern Virginia Medical School and Children's Hospital of The King's Daughter.

Here, Newby faced another (potential) obstacle. The city still did not have the land from the state for the medical facility. While the subprime mortgage crisis could have been an additional obstacle, Newby went back to the

drawing board and redesigned his project.

"People have told me that from all accounts this thing should have been dead a long time ago because of the time it's taken to get the land," he said in a March 23, 2008 interview in The Virginian-Pilot. "But I am 110 percent committed to getting this thing going because it's just too important a project to just let it go by the wayside."

This means in the end, Newby triumphed.

Specifically, in 2010, Newby opened his facility about seven years after he set a long chain-of-events in motion. His facility includes a medical building, jazz club, parking deck, and retail shops. In 2012, a fire caused major smoke damage. But Newby moved into the Wainwright building at 229 W. Bute St. in Norfolk.

"It was a challenge every step of the way," he said. "Some people wait for things to happen. I try to make

things happen."

"What drives me?" he asked pausing to reflect on his hectic schedule and multiple obligations. Once, for example, he worked 22 days straight without taking a day off. And he serves on several boards including Towne Bank and is a member of several professional groups including the Medical Society of Virginia and the American Medical Association. He is a member of Omega Psi Phi Fraternity.

Recently, he spoke at his church, First Baptist of Bute Street, where he is a deacon. That same weekend he spoke at a gala. And he wants more people to tune into his weekly call-in health show, Let's Talk Health, which airs on NSU's radio station, each Monday from 7 a.m.-8 a.m.

"All of this is what the oath I took was about," he said. "I am trying to make changes so I can get home to my family earlier. But I have to work toward that goal."

"Many people feel when you are a doctor that is all you should do. Or people believe all doctors play golf. I believe you should be versatile. You should always ask, 'What can I contribute to the world?'"

Recently, on my radio show, a psychologist and I discussed stress and heart disease. I think it is a good show. I volunteer my time for that. I would like to have more listeners tune in. I am doing this for the community. No one else is doing a medical talk show. I like being a pioneer."

"Be the difference in your community"

NORFOLK POLICE DEPARTMENT

APPLY ONLINE

**Starting Salary \$37,975 for Police Recruits
Upon Graduation \$40,981**

www.Norfolk.gov/police for information on becoming a Norfolk Police Officer

- G.I. Bill during the Academy and Field Training Period
- Uniform and equipment provided
- Paid Leave: Vacation, Holiday, Compensatory & Sick leave

- Supplemental Pay for College Degrees and certain Language Skills
- Medical and Dental Plan offered
- Excellent Retirement Plan

The City of Norfolk Is An Equal Opportunity Employer And Is A V3 Certified Employer

Brown v. Board of Education Scholarship Committee Virginia General Assembly

The Brown v. Board of Education Scholarship Committee of the Virginia General Assembly announces the availability of applications for the 2015-2016 academic year. The Brown Scholarship Program was established by the Virginia General Assembly in 2004 to provide educational opportunities for persons who were denied a public education in the localities of Arlington, Charlottesville, Norfolk, Prince Edward County, and Warren County, due to the closing of the public schools to avoid desegregation during Massive Resistance between 1954 and 1964. Eligible persons may live anywhere in Virginia, however, they must be current domiciled residents of the Commonwealth of Virginia at the time of application and must have been a student in one of the named school divisions during Massive Resistance. The scholarship program is available to all eligible Virginians without regard to gender or race. Scholarships may be used to cover the costs of tuition, fees, and textbooks at accredited Virginia schools and public and private institutions of higher education, and nonprofit career and technical schools, as approved by the Committee.

Eligible persons may use the scholarship for:

- Transitional education programs to prepare for success in GED and adult education programs
- GED certificate
- Adult high school diploma
- College Level Examination Program (CLEP) credit
- Career-technical skills training at accredited public two-year colleges or nonprofit career and technical schools
- Two-year or four-year undergraduate degree programs at accredited Virginia public and private institutions, part-time or full-time
- Master's, doctoral, and professional degree programs, as approved by the Committee

Application Deadline: March 1, 2015

Applications and the Committee's Policies may be downloaded at <http://brownscholarship.virginia.gov> or obtained upon request by contacting Mrs. Brenda H. Edwards at Division of Legislative Services, General Assembly Building, 2nd Floor, 201 North Ninth Street, Richmond, VA 23219, (804) 786-3591, ext. 232, or bedwards@dis.virginia.gov. Application packets are also available at the following locations. Other sites may be added.

Prince Edward County

The Farmville Herald
114 North Street
Farmville, VA 23901

Prince George County

Carl U. Eggleston Funeral Home
914 South Main Street
P.O. Box 581
Farmville, VA 23901

Warren County

Reverend Alfred Woods, Pastor
Mount Vernon Baptist Church
240 Church Street
Front Royal, VA 22630

Prince Edward County

Robert Russa Moton Museum
900 Griffin Boulevard
Farmville, VA 23901

Warren County

Warren County School Board Office
210 North Commerce Avenue
Front Royal, VA 22630

Hampton Roads

Karen Mundon
Tidewater Community College, Portsmouth Campus
120 Campus Drive, A 156
Portsmouth, VA 23701

ATTENTION CITIZENS OF:

The Cities of Chesapeake, Franklin, Hampton, Newport News, Norfolk, Portsmouth, Poquoson, Suffolk, Virginia Beach, and Williamsburg.

The Counties of Isle of Wight, James City, Southampton, and York.

The Incorporated Towns of Boykins, Branchville, Capron, Courtland, Ivor, Newsoms, Smithfield and Windsor.

Notice of Public Meetings

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Share your local knowledge and thoughts on the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Process at any of these convenient meeting locations.

Location: Hampton
Date: Thursday, February 26, 2015
Time: 6:30pm
Where: Sandy Bottom Nature Park
1255 Big Bethel Road
Hampton, VA 23666

Location: Smithfield
Date: Monday, March 2, 2015
Time: 6:30pm
Where: The Smithfield Center
220 North Church Street
Smithfield, VA 23430

Location: Norfolk
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2015
Time: 6:30pm
Where: The Slover Public Library
235 East Plum Street
Norfolk, VA 23510
(for parking validation, you must park in City's Main Street Garage)

For More Information Visit:
<http://www.hrpdcva.gov/departments/emergency-management/hampton-roads-hazard-mitigation-plan/>

Or Contact: HRPDC Emergency Management Department (757) 420-8300

The HRPDC will strive to provide reasonable accommodations and services for persons who require special assistance to participate in this public involvement opportunity. Contact Dawn Brantley, Regional Inclusive Emergency Planner at (757) 420-8300 for more information.

CRIME REPORTS

FRANKLIN POLICE DEPARTMENT ARREST LOG

2/1/15 to 2/12/15
 Offender, Jesse C., 26, 7100
 blk. Old South Quay Road,
 Suffolk, on a charge of hit

and run.
 Lassiter, Jason D., 21, 700
 blk. Pace Street, Franklin, on
 capias
 Phelps, Randy L., 34, 1600
 blk. Antimony Drive, Franklin,
 on charges of abduction,
 rape, malicious wounding,

strangle another causing in-
 jury and assault and battery
 of a law enforcement officer
 Day, Jennifer B., 46, 3800 blk.
 Bess Farm Road, Greenville,
 North Carolina, on capias
 Jolley, Tina E., 29, 400 blk.
 S. High Street, Franklin, on
 capias

Jordan, Wayne A., 57, 28000
 blk. Artis Circle, Franklin, on
 charges of fail to register as
 a sex offender and possession
 of heroin
 Brown, Tyrell D., 35, 300 blk.
 Hogart Street, Franklin,
 on charges of assault and
 battery

Jones, Anthony L., 26, 26000
 blk. Sunset Drive, Wind-
 sor, credit card fraud and
 embezzlement
 Newsome, Davon M., 24, 300
 blk. Harrison Street, Franklin,
 on capias

Harris, Curtis J., 45, 1100 blk.
 South Street, Franklin, on
 charges of shoplifting
 Sykes, Rana, L., 28, 100 blk.
 Navin, Portsmouth, on charge
 of drunk in public and posses-
 sion of cocaine

Jones, Frank Jr., 35, 400 blk.
 Tara Court, Newport News,
 on charges of grand larceny
 and possession of marijuana
 Sykes, Gillette C., 55, 600
 blk. Oak Street, Franklin, on
 charges of driving with a re-
 voked or suspended license

Holden, Michael D., 21, 200
 blk. Bracey Street, Franklin,
 on charges of assault and
 battery

Boone, Kiera, 20, 100 blk.
 Holland Circle, Franklin, on
 charges of trespassing
 Everett, Leon Jr., no age
 listed, 200 blk. Cobb Street,
 Franklin, on charges of as-
 sault and battery

Sykes, Darell L., 26, 500 blk.
 W. Third Avenue, Franklin,
 on charges of assault and
 battery
 Murphy, Phillip J., 41, 400
 blk. Morton Street, Franklin,
 on charges of possession of
 marijuana

Johnson, James Jr., 38, 22000
 blk. Bateman Street, Frank-
 lin, on charges of shoplifting
 Bowers, L-Shonda D., 22, 800
 blk. Fontaine Street, Frank-
 lin, on charges of shoplifting

Hinson, Joshua S., 30, 200
 blk. Church Street, Conway,
 North Carolina, on charges of
 forgery

Boone, Mary A., 43, 500 blk.
 Bank Street, Franklin, on
 capias
 Trotman, Michael C., 24,

700 blk. W. Second Avenue,
 Franklin, on charges of
 murder
 Hunter, Talletha E., 38, 600
 blk. Dutch Road, Suffolk,
 on charges of assault and
 battery

Copeland, Annette, 43, 8200
 blk. South Quay Road, Sul-
 folk, on charges of assault
 and battery
 King, Dominique A., 20, 100
 blk. Holland Circle, Franklin,
 on charges of annoying
 telephone calls

FRANKLIN POLICE DEPARTMENT CALL LOG

2/11/15 to 2/15/15
 Simple assault on South Street
 All other larceny on Council
 Drive, Walmart
 Annoying Phone Calls on Hol-
 land Circle
 Motor vehicle theft on
 Dorchester Street
 Breaking and entering with
 intent on Ashton Avenue

SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE ARREST LOG

2/9/2015
 Holliman, Lamont, 42, Five
 Forks Road, Windsor, on
 charges of driving with a re-
 voked or suspended license

Artis, Tyrus Jaquan, 21,
 Greenbrier Avenue, Norfolk,
 on charges of failure to
 appear on a misdemeanor
 charge

Fowler, John Wayne Sr., 53,
 Plank Road, Courtland, on
 charges of receiving stolen
 goods

Bethea, Calvin Nathaniel,
 43, 36th Street, Newport
 News, on charges of operat-
 ing a motor vehicle under
 the influence as a habitual
 offender

2/10/2015
 Boone, Mary Ann, 43, Orchard
 Street, Franklin, on charges
 of violation of probation

Brown, Lindsay Marie,
 23, Marine Drive, Bristol,
 Tennessee, as a fugitive of
 justice

Beale, Taylor McClane, 20,
 Bobwhite Lane, Franklin, on
 charge of driving with a sus-
 pended or revoked license

2/11/2015
 Sneed, Damien Axton, 25,
 Britton Street, Colerain,

North Carolina, on charges of
 driving under the influence
 Fekete, Steve Paul Jr., 44,
 Plank Road, Courtland, on
 charges of driving under the
 influence for the third time in
 10 years

Pitt, Holly, 30, North Main
 Street, Newsoms, on charges
 of issuing bad checks <\$200
 Hawkins, Tonio, 27, Pace
 Forest Court, Raleigh, North
 Carolina, on charges of
 failure to pay costs and resti-
 tution

2/13/2015

Woodley, Devontae
 Rodriquez, 27, Old Place
 Road, Capron, on charges of
 failure to appear
 Artis, Francois Desear, 40,
 Pretlow Road, Franklin, on
 charges of assault and bat-
 tery of a family member

2/14/2015

Wiggins, Dominic Deme-
 trius, 33, Alexander Street,
 Norfolk, on second offense
 of charges of driving while
 intoxicated, refusal of blood
 or breath test, and driving a
 motor vehicle with a revoked
 or suspended license

2/15/2015

Seabron, Edward, 55, Charles
 Street, Franklin, on charges
 of assault and battery
 Bryant, Gillette T. III, 38, Clay
 Street, Franklin, on charges
 of trespassing

SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE CALL LOG

2/13/2015
 All other larceny on South-
 ampton Parkway
 Motor vehicle accident on
 Southampton Parkway
 Motor vehicle accident on
 Southampton Parkway
 Disturbance on Wilson Street

Destruction of property on
 Darden Street
 Brush/Woods fire on Seacock
 Chapel

Domestic trouble on Charles
 Street
 Destruction/Damage/Vandal-
 ism on Old Bedford Road

Disturbance on South Quay
 Road
 Noise complaint on Branch
 Bridge Street

Motor vehicle accident on
 Southampton Parkway

2/14/2015

Domestic trouble on Pretlow
 Road
 Destruction/Damage/Vandal-
 ism on Oak Trail

Motor vehicle accident on
 Turner Road
 Brush/Woods fire on South-
 ampton Parkway

Officer complaint on South-
 ampton Parkway
 Structure fire on Country Club
 Road

All other larceny on Dogwood
 Send Road
 Domestic trouble on Martin
 Street

Brush/Woods fire on Popes
 Station Road
 Destruction/Damage/Vandal-
 ism on Johnsons Mill Road

All other larceny on Virginia
 Avenue
 Alarm on Popes Station Road
 Traffic hazard on Scottswood
 Drive

Traffic hazard on Rose Valley
 Road
 Alarm on North Main Street
 Structure fire on Pinecrest
 Street

2/15/2015

Brush/Woods fire on Ferguson
 Drive
 Traffic hazard on Ivor Road
 Traffic hazard on Sunnyside
 Road

Brush/Woods fire on Johnsons
 Mill Road
 Structure fire on Ivor Road
 Intimidation on Charles Street

Destruction/Damage/Vandal-
 ism on Charles Street
 Disturbance on Dogwood Bend
 Road

Disturbance on Clay Street
 All other larceny on New Road
 Domestic trouble on Delaware
 Road

Investigate suspicious vehicle
 on Main Street
 Assault on Southampton
 Parkway

2/16/2015

Domestic trouble on Oak Trail
 Court
 Motor vehicle accident on First
 Street

Structure fire on Broadwater
 Road
 Structure fire on Broadwater
 Road

2/18/2015

Structure fire on Old Belfield
 Road
 Alarm on Wakefield Road
 Harassing phone calls on Jeru-
 salem Road

Domestic trouble on Gene
 Circle
 Counterfeiting/Forgery on
 Gene Circle

Animal control case on John-
 sons Mill Road
 Domestic trouble on Delaware
 Road

DISPOSITIONS

ISLE OF WIGHT COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT

Carlos Jermaine Jones,
 Portsmouth, one year proba-
 tion; and \$631 in costs for
 violation of parole (distribution
 of heroin, possession of cocaine)

Shawndell Dawon Felton,
 Chesapeake, six months in
 penitentiary; three years
 probation; and \$656 in costs
 for violation of parole (selling
 cocaine)

Kristie Nicole Harrison, Sul-
 folk, 12 months in jail; and
 \$130 in costs for violation of
 parole (petit larceny)

Richard Antonio Cutler,
 Smithfield, one year in
 penitentiary; three years pro-
 bation; and \$1,583 in costs for
 petit larceny <\$5 pickpocket
 and an attempt to extort
 money

Edmond Antonio White,
 Franklin, two years in
 penitentiary; 30 days in
 jail; three years probation;
 and \$2,382.50 in costs for
 unlawful wounding and petit
 larceny

Christopher M. Ramey,
 Franklin, three years proba-
 tion; and \$2,087.75 in costs
 for strangulation/assault and
 abduction by force

Christian Samuel King,
 Smithfield, eight months in
 penitentiary; five years pro-
 bation; six months suspend-
 ed license; and \$1,709 in costs
 for distribution of marijuana,
 possession with intent to sell
 marijuana and possession of
 a controlled substance

Dijon Q. Elliott, Suffolk, five
 years probation; and \$271 in

On-Line Only Feb. 26 - March 5 ESTATE AUCTION

Very fine Riverview Estate featuring quality Antiques,
 Furniture, Bags, China & Glassware, Collectibles, Tools &
 Woodworking equip., Coins & jewelry (held off premises)



708 E. Riverview Dr., Suffolk, VA 23434

ON-SITE PREVIEW SAT. FEB 28 - 10AM-2PM

ABCole.com - 539-9866


United Country A.B. Cole & Associates
 Auction & Realty, LLC
 200 Harbor Rd., Suite 200, VA 23462

Soak Yourself In Luxury!

Visit our showroom today.
 Our in-house design experts will
 work with you to create
 the dream bath you've always wanted.



Call today to schedule your Free Home Consultation!



757-569-8152
 201 North Main Street,
 Franklin, VA 23851
 www.floorceilingva.com



ATTENTION CITIZENS OF:

The Cities of Chesapeake, Franklin, Hampton, Newport News,
 Norfolk, Portsmouth, Poquoson, Suffolk, Virginia Beach, and Williamsburg.

The Counties of Isle of Wight, James City, Southampton, and York.

The incorporated Towns of Boykins, Branchville, Capron,
 Courtland, Ivor, Newsoms, Smithfield and Windsor.

Notice of Public Meetings

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Share your local knowledge and thoughts on the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation
 Plan Update Process at any of these convenient meeting locations.

Location: Hampton
 Date: Thursday, February 26, 2015
 Time: 6:30pm
 Where: Sandy Bottom Nature Park
 1255 Big Bethel Rd.
 Hampton, VA 23666

Location: Smithfield
 Date: Monday, March 2, 2015
 Time: 6:30pm
 Where: The Smithfield Center
 220 North Church St.
 Smithfield, VA 23430

Location: Norfolk
 Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2015
 Time: 6:30pm
 Where: The Slover Public Library
 235 East Plume St.
 Norfolk, VA 23510

(for parking validation, you must park in City's Main Street Garage)


For More Information Visit:

<http://www.hrpdcva.gov/departments/emergency-management/hampton-roads-hazard-mitigation-plan/>

Or Contact: HRPDC Emergency Management Department (757) 420-8300

The HRPDC will strive to provide reasonable accommodations and services for persons who require special
 assistance to participate in this public involvement opportunity. Contact Dawn Brantley, Regional Inclusive
 Emergency Planner at (757) 420-8300 for more information.

Tearsheet



High School in 2002. He continued his education at the Crater Criminal Justice Training Academy in 2006. He is employed as a detective with the Emporia Police Department.

A June 13 wedding is planned.


a master of science degree in secondary education in 2012 from Old Dominion University. She is employed as a biology teacher at Greenville County High School in Emporia.

The groom-to-be graduated from Greenville County




BETTER SPORTSWEAR FROM CROWN & NY™, STATEMENTS, RAFAELLA AND MORE
Shown, *Rafaella* knit top & pants
Orig. 58.00 ea., Sale 39.99 ea.
Also in petites* & today's woman.
Today's woman at slightly higher prices.

MEN'S CASUAL & DRESS PANTS
BY SAVANE®, ZOO, HAGGAR®,
LOUIS RAPHAEL, MADISON AND
SADDLEBRED®, Orig. 58.00-75.00
Also in Big & Tall sizes at slightly
higher prices

[illegible]

Local news is a click away at
www.tidewaternews.com



Mr. and Mrs. Thomas Barksdale of Courtland are pleased to announce the engagement of their son, Robert Paxton Barksdale, to Ashley Jenal McCage, daughter of Mr. and Mrs. Joseph McCage of Denton, Texas, and the late Jennifer McCage who lived in Fauquier County.

Ashley is a 2013 graduate of Radford University with a degree in therapeutic recreation, and is employed by Ashland Nursing and Rehabilitation in Ashland as recreation director.

Robert is a 2012 graduate of Radford University with a degree in environmental biology, and is employed by Virginia Department of Corrections in Richmond as an environmental scientist.

The wedding will take place May 30, 2015.

The Cities of Chesapeake, Franklin, Hampton, Newport News, Norfolk, Portsmouth, Poquoson, Suffolk, Virginia Beach, and Williamsburg.

The Counties of Isle of Wight, James City, Southampton, and York.

The incorporated Towns of Boykins, Branchville, Capron,
Courtland, Ivor, Newsoms, Smithfield and Windsor.

Notice of Public Meetings Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Share your local knowledge and thoughts on the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Process at any of these convenient meeting locations.

Location: Hampton
Date: Thursday, February 26, 2015
Time: 6:30pm
Where: Sandy Bottom Nature Park
1255 Big Bethel Rd.
Hampton, VA 23666

Location: Smithfield
Date: Monday, March 2, 2015
Time: 6:30pm
Where: The Smithfield Center
220 North Church St.
Smithfield, VA 23430

Location: Norfolk
Date: Tuesday, March 3, 2015
Time: 6:30pm
Where: The Slover Public Library
235 East Plume St.
Norfolk, VA 23510

(for parking validation, you must park in City's Main Street Garage)

For More Information Visit:

<http://www.hrpdcva.gov/departments/emergency-management/transportation-roads-hazard-mitigation-plan/>

Or Contact: HRPDC Emergency Management Department (757) 420-8300

The HRPDC will strive to provide reasonable accommodations and services for persons who require special assistance to participate in this public involvement opportunity. Contact Dawn Brantley, Regional Inclusive Emergency Planner at (757) 420-8300 for more information.

Chowan University's psychology society inducts 20 members


BY J.E. BARKER
UNIVERSITY RELATIONS
Special to The Tidewater News

MURFREESBORO, N.C.

The Chowan University Department of Psychology's Psi Chi National Honor Society chapter inducted 20 new members and elected new officers during a special ceremony took place in the Vaughan Auditorium on Feb. 22.

2015 Psi Chi local inductees

- Sandra Lopez (Senior — Natural Science Interdisciplinary Studies — Suffolk)
- Shameka Person (Senior — Social Sciences — Franklin)
- Lauren Rice (Sophomore — Alcohol/Drug Studies Psychology — Suffolk)



Hampton Roads

Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Public Meeting #1 Minutes

Thursday, February 26, 2015 at 6:30pm
Sandy Bottom Park, Hampton, VA

AGENDA

- Opening Remarks and Introductions
- Review of Planning Process and Progress
- Critical and Non-Critical Hazards
- Next Meetings
- Discussion and Comment
- Collection of Questionnaires from Participants

MEETING NOTES

- MEETING CANCELLED AND FACILITY CLOSED DUE TO INCLEMENT WEATHER (SNOW STORM)

INVITEES

Email invitations were issued 1/30/15, 2/20/15 and 2/25/15 to each of the following individuals, as well as previous participants in the Poquoson and Chesapeake plans. In addition, each primary contact for the communities forwarded the message and made additional phone calls to their staff and interested persons.

- John Sadler, HRPDC
- Sara Kidd, HRPDC
- Dawn Brantley, HRPDC
- Matt Wall, Va Dept of Emergency Management
- Charley Banks, Va Dept of Conservation and Recreation
- Joe Turner, HRPDC
- Ben McFarlane, HRPDC
- Matt McCullough, FEMA Region III
- Paulette McWaters, Va Dept of Emergency Management
- Michelle Hamor, US Army Corps of Engineers, Norfolk
- Skip Stiles, Wetlands Watch
- Mary-Carson Stiff, Wetlands Watch
- Shannon Hulst, Wetlands Watch
- Jim Judkins, Suffolk
- Andrea Clontz, Isle of Wight
- Michael Stallings, Town of Windsor
- Paul Long, York County
- Gwen Pointer, Hampton
- Sara Ruch, Hampton
- Hui-Shan Walker, Hampton
- Pat Dent, Williamsburg
- Vince Holt, Franklin
- Spence Campbell, Portsmouth
- Jim Redick, Norfolk
- Rob Braidwood, Chesapeake
- Martha Burns, Chesapeake
- Mary Moneyppenny, Chesapeake
- Erin Sutton, Virginia Beach
- George Glazner, Newport News
- Louis Bott, Newport News
- Kate Hale, James City County
- Michael Bryant, Poquoson
- "William" Saunders, Smithfield
- Josiah Jendrey, Smithfield
- Beth Lewis, Southampton County
- Town of Ivor, Sandy Vick, Mayor
- Town of Boykins, R.Spier Edwards, Jr., Mayor
- Town of Newsoms, Kenneth Cooke, Mayor
- Town of Courtland, Danny Williams, Mayor
- Town of Branchville, Preston Futrell, Mayor
- Town of Capron, Nick Kitchen, Mayor
- John Hutcheson, Fort Monroe Authority
- Suzen Collins, Virginia State Police
- Tim Davis, Suffolk Planning & Community Development

- Val Calderon, Cox Communications
- J.R. Vick, Sentara
- Wayne Whitehurst, Suffolk Planning & Community Development
- Walter Bell, Portsmouth
- Scott Mills, Suffolk Planning & Community Development
- Eric Nielsen, Suffolk Public Works
- (James R.) Randy Vick, Sentara Obici
- Erin Girardi, HRSD
- Keith Joyner, IVOR
- Donald Goodwin, Franklin Community Development
- Russ Pace, Franklin Public Works
- Michael Stoneham, Franklin Power & Light
- Mark Carr, Franklin Fire & Rescue
- Tim Whitt, Franklin Police
- Jeff Dodson, Franklin Power and Light
- Steve Watson, Franklin Power and Light
- Cedric Pollard, Franklin Community Development
- Phil Sherman, Community Development
- Walter Cobb, Franklin Power & Light
- Patrick Wilson, Franklin Police Dept

Leigh Morgan Chapman

From: Leigh Morgan Chapman <leigh.morgan2@verizon.net>
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 1:56 PM
To: 'judkins@suffolkva.us'; 'aclontz@islesofwightus.net'; 'tristian.barnes@iwus.net'; 'longn@yorkcounty.gov'; 'gpointe@hampton.gov'; 'sruch@hampton.gov'; 'hwalker@hampton.gov'; 'wdent@williamsburgva.gov'; 'vholt@franklinva.com'; 'campbells@portsmouthva.gov'; 'james.redick@norfolk.gov'; 'rbraidwood@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'mfburns@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'eesutton@vbgov.com'; 'gglaizer@nnva.com'; 'kathleen.hale@jamescitycountyva.gov'; 'Michael.Bryant@poquoson-va.gov'; 'wsaunders@smithfieldva.gov'; 'blewis@co.southampton.state.va.us'; 'office@townofivor.com'; 'boykins@townofboykinsva.com'; 'spiritoftherock1@aol.com'; 'jhutcheson@fmauthority.com'; 'mstallings@windsor-va.gov'; 'lbott@nngov.com'; John Sadler (jsadler@hrpdva.gov); 'Sara Kidd'; Dawn Brantley (dbrantley@hrpdva.gov); 'matthew.wall@vdm.virginia.gov'; Charley Banks (Charley.Banks@dcr.virginia.gov); Stroud, David A (david.stroud@amecfw.com); 'McWaters, Paulette'; 'McCullough, Matthew'
Cc:
Subject: RE: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Good day, team!

I'm happy to announce that we have our 3 public meeting locations firmed up and reserved. Please mark your calendars and plan to attend AT LEAST ONE of the meetings. You'll get a good feel for the process, meet the primary players, and we can begin to get down to the work at hand.

HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN UPDATE – PUBLIC KICKOFF MEETINGS

Hampton – Thursday, February 26, 2015 at 6:30pm – Sandy Bottom Nature Park (near Newport News)

Smithfield – Monday, March 2, 2015 at 6:30pm – The Smithfield Center (downtown)

Norfolk – Tuesday, March 3, 2015 at 6:30pm – The new Slover Public Library (downtown)

I'll work with the PDC in the next few days to put out notices to the public and you will receive the text for those notices. I'd like for you all to also consider how best to advertise these meetings within your community. Web pages, social media, (electronic) bulletin boards, libraries, etc. Please keep and provide copies of any notices you make so that we can include those in the final plan. Please also be thinking about other stakeholders to invite to the meetings. It would be great to see primary committee members from each community. We also need to invite other state agencies, utility providers, hospital reps, military installation representatives, non-profits and aid agencies like the American Red Cross.

Also, as I'm sure Dawn and John would like me to remind you, be sure to record ANY time you spend on this project so that it can be counted toward the in-kind match on this project's grant.

Thanks for your participation.

Leigh

Leigh Morgan Chapman

From: Leigh Morgan Chapman <leigh.morgan2@verizon.net>
Sent: Friday, February 20, 2015 2:54 PM
To: 'judkins@suffolkva.us'; 'adontz@isleafwights.net'; 'longr@yorkcounty.gov'; 'gpointen@hampton.gov'; 'sruch@hampton.gov'; 'walker@hampton.gov'; 'wden@williamsburgva.gov'; 'vholt@franklinva.com'; 'campbells@portsmouthva.gov'; 'james.redick@norfolk.gov'; 'braidwood@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'mfburns@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'eesutton@vbgov.com'; 'kathleen.hale@jamescitycountyva.gov'; 'Michael.Bryant@poquoson-va.gov'; 'blewis@co.southampton.state.va.us'; 'office@townofivor.com'; 'boykins@townofboykinsva.com'; 'spiritoftherock1@aol.com'; 'ggazner@nnva.gov'; 'louis.bott@lbtott@nn.gov.com'; 'skip.stiles@skip.stiles@wetlandswatch.org'; 'Mary-Carson Stiff <mcsstiff@wetlandswatch.org>'; 'mcsstiff@wetlandswatch.org'; 'Shannon Hulst'; 'bradley@suffolkva.us'; 'hfinch@isleafwights.net'; 'dalbom.phillips@yorkcounty.gov'; 'alambert@hampton.gov'; 'pattens@williamsburgva.gov'; 'sayesc@portsmouthva.gov'; 'fraser.picard@norfolk.gov'; 'jessien@vbgov.com'; 'mhargrave@nn.gov.com'; 'shazelwood@james-cityva.us'; 'ellen.roberts@poquoson-va.gov'; 'wsaunders@smithfieldva.gov'; 'splyer@southamptoncounty.org'; 'mmoneype@CityOfChesapeake.Net'; 'jhutcheson@fmauthority.com'; 'susan.collins@vsp.virginia.gov'; 'jdavis@city.suffolk.va.us'; 'Vidal Calderon@cox.com'; 'Tgurn@CityOfChesapeake.Net'; 'Shapiro, Steve'; 'wwhitehurst@suffolkva.us'; 'wjbelt@earthlink.net'; 'smills@suffolkva.us'; 'enielsen@suffolkva.us'; 'jrvick@sentara.com'; 'egirardi@hrsd.com'; 'office@townofivor.com'; 'dgoodwin@franklinva.com'; 'rpacer@franklinva.com'; 'mstoneham@franklinva.com'; 'mcarri@franklinva.com'; 'twitt@franklinpolice.org'; 'jdodson@franklinva.com'; 'swatson@franklinva.com'; 'cpollard@franklinva.com'; 'pshearn@franklinva.com'; 'wcobb@franklinva.com'; 'twilson@franklinpolice.org'; 'towners@poquoson-va.gov'; 'cbowen@poquoson-va.gov'; 'holloway@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Jerry.Cagle@poquoson-va.gov'; 'jbeach@ci.poquoson-va.gov'; 'nspeech@poquoson-va.gov'; 'angela.costello@post.harvard.edu'; 'Amy.Blow@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Randy.Wheeler@poquoson-va.gov'; 'rbellamy@poquoson-va.gov'; 'occmapple6@cox.net'; 'Debbie.Vest@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Karen.Holloway@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Lisa.Holloway@poquoson-va.gov'; 'John.Young@poquoson-va.gov'; 'ctvmi@cox.net'; 'dlenagel1@verizon.net'; 'ksomerset@poquoson-va.gov'; 'pward@poquoson-va.gov'; 'disastersc@ypredcross.org'; 'kmoore@poquoson-va.gov'; 'John Sadler (sadler@hrpdva.gov); 'Sara Kidd; Dawn Brantley (dbrantley@hrpdva.gov); 'matthew.wall@dem.virginia.gov'; 'Charley Banks (Charley.Banks@dc.virginia.gov); 'Stroud, David A (david.stroud@amefcw.com); 'Joe Turner; 'bmcfarlane@hrpdva.gov'; 'McCullough, Matthew'; 'McWaters, Paulette'; 'michelle.l.hamor (michelle.l.hamor@usace.army.mil)
Subject: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - Public Meetings begin next week
Attachments: HR-HazMitgMtgFebruary2015 public notice ad.pdf
Importance: High

Hello everyone. I am certain that most of you, definitely the emergency managers amongst you, have had quite an action-packed week! But I hope I can convince you to take just 5 to 10 minutes this afternoon and forward this public meeting notice far and wide. We are kicking off the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update process with 3 public meetings across the region starting next week. Pertinent details are summarized below and shown in the attached notice. These are primarily listening sessions where I will introduce the planning process and then solicit input.

1

from attendees on pertinent hazards, mitigation ideas and their personal/professional experiences with various hazards.

HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN UPDATE - PUBLIC KICKOFF MEETINGS

Hampton - Thursday, February 26, 2015 at 6:30pm - Sandy Bottom Nature Park
Smithfield - Monday, March 2, 2015 at 6:30pm - The Smithfield Center (downtown)
Norfolk - Tuesday, March 3, 2015 at 6:30pm - The New Slower Public Library (downtown) - for parking to be validated in Norfolk, they must park in the nearby City's Main Street Garage

Please take a few minutes to do the following:

1. **Spread the word!**
 - a. Use your community's social media resources and Public Information professionals (Facebook pages, Twitter accounts, web pages) to get the word out to your citizens and stakeholders. Physically posting the notice in a library or workspace would also be great.
 - b. Who do you work with on a regular basis who has a stake in how hazards are managed? Let them know! CERT teams and other interested citizens, your community floodplain managers, American Red Cross, universities, military stations, hospitals, police/fire/rescue, Building department/code enforcement, Engineering, Land use planning/zoning, Public works, Emergency management/public safety, Public information, Environmental protection/public health, Parks/recreation, an interested city manager or council member, Housing/community development, developers/contractors/real estate agents, civic groups, environmental organizations, academia, non-profit organizations, major employers, staff from other governmental agencies, neighboring communities. My address list on this email is far from complete. I need your help!
2. **Mark these dates on your calendar** and strive to have a community representative present at the meeting closest to your community to help me answer questions that may come up.
3. **Keep records!**
 - a. If you post the notice on social media or elsewhere, let me know so I can get a screen shot, or send me a screen shot. We need to collect these tidbits of info that show how we got the word out and how we included the public in the process.
 - b. Keep notes on your total community staff time spent on this effort. It is important for the grant matching.
 - c. Please look for the public notice publication in your newspaper; cut it out and send to me either digitally or at the meeting.

Thank you, and I look forward to seeing all of you at one of the upcoming meetings. Let me know if you have questions or concerns.

Leigh


Salter's Creek
CONSULTING
LEIGH CHAPMAN, CFM
1 Peak Street | Hampton VA 23669
Phone (757) 726-9540 | Mobile (757) 593-0250

2

Leigh Morgan Chapman

From: Leigh Morgan Chapman <leigh.morgan2@verizon.net>
Sent: Wednesday, February 25, 2015 11:50 AM
To: 'judkins@suffolkva.us'; 'adontz@isleafwights.net'; 'longr@yorkcounty.gov'; 'gpointen@hampton.gov'; 'sruch@hampton.gov'; 'walker@hampton.gov'; 'wden@williamsburgva.gov'; 'vholt@franklinva.com'; 'campbells@portsmouthva.gov'; 'james.redick@norfolk.gov'; 'braidwood@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'mfburns@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'eesutton@vbgov.com'; 'kathleen.hale@jamescitycountyva.gov'; 'Michael.Bryant@poquoson-va.gov'; 'blewis@co.southampton.state.va.us'; 'office@townofivor.com'; 'boykins@townofboykinsva.com'; 'spiritoftherock1@aol.com'; 'ggazner@nnva.gov'; 'louis.bott@lbtott@nn.gov.com'; 'skip.stiles@skip.stiles@wetlandswatch.org'; 'Mary-Carson Stiff <mcsstiff@wetlandswatch.org>'; 'mcsstiff@wetlandswatch.org'; 'Shannon Hulst'; 'bradley@suffolkva.us'; 'hfinch@isleafwights.net'; 'dalbom.phillips@yorkcounty.gov'; 'alambert@hampton.gov'; 'pattens@williamsburgva.gov'; 'sayesc@portsmouthva.gov'; 'fraser.picard@norfolk.gov'; 'jessien@vbgov.com'; 'mhargrave@nn.gov.com'; 'shazelwood@james-cityva.us'; 'ellen.roberts@poquoson-va.gov'; 'wsaunders@smithfieldva.gov'; 'splyer@southamptoncounty.org'; 'mmoneype@CityOfChesapeake.Net'; 'jhutcheson@fmauthority.com'; 'susan.collins@vsp.virginia.gov'; 'jdavis@city.suffolk.va.us'; 'Vidal Calderon@cox.com'; 'Tgurn@CityOfChesapeake.Net'; 'Shapiro, Steve'; 'wwhitehurst@suffolkva.us'; 'wjbelt@earthlink.net'; 'smills@suffolkva.us'; 'enielsen@suffolkva.us'; 'jrvick@sentara.com'; 'egirardi@hrsd.com'; 'office@townofivor.com'; 'dgoodwin@franklinva.com'; 'rpacer@franklinva.com'; 'mstoneham@franklinva.com'; 'mcarri@franklinva.com'; 'twitt@franklinpolice.org'; 'jdodson@franklinva.com'; 'swatson@franklinva.com'; 'cpollard@franklinva.com'; 'pshearn@franklinva.com'; 'wcobb@franklinva.com'; 'twilson@franklinpolice.org'; 'towners@poquoson-va.gov'; 'cbowen@poquoson-va.gov'; 'holloway@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Jerry.Cagle@poquoson-va.gov'; 'jbeach@ci.poquoson-va.gov'; 'nspeech@poquoson-va.gov'; 'angela.costello@post.harvard.edu'; 'Amy.Blow@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Randy.Wheeler@poquoson-va.gov'; 'rbellamy@poquoson-va.gov'; 'occmapple6@cox.net'; 'Debbie.Vest@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Karen.Holloway@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Lisa.Holloway@poquoson-va.gov'; 'John.Young@poquoson-va.gov'; 'ctvmi@cox.net'; 'dlenagel1@verizon.net'; 'ksomerset@poquoson-va.gov'; 'pward@poquoson-va.gov'; 'disastersc@ypredcross.org'; 'kmoore@poquoson-va.gov'; 'John Sadler (sadler@hrpdva.gov); 'Sara Kidd; Dawn Brantley (dbrantley@hrpdva.gov); 'matthew.wall@dem.virginia.gov'; 'Charley Banks (Charley.Banks@dc.virginia.gov); 'Stroud, David A (david.stroud@amefcw.com); 'Joe Turner; 'bmcfarlane@hrpdva.gov'; 'McCullough, Matthew'; 'McWaters, Paulette'; 'michelle.l.hamor (michelle.l.hamor@usace.army.mil)
Subject: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - Public Meetings - HAMPTON MEETING CANCELLED
Importance: High

Good morning,

A decision has been made to cancel the public meeting scheduled for tomorrow night in Hampton due to expected weather and road conditions. The meeting will not be rescheduled as there are two other meetings that everyone is urged to attend.

1

From: Leigh Morgan Chapman
To: 'james.redick@norfolk.gov'; 'braidwood@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'mfburns@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'eesutton@vbgov.com'; 'kathleen.hale@jamescitycountyva.gov'; 'Michael.Bryant@poquoson-va.gov'; 'blewis@co.southampton.state.va.us'; 'office@townofivor.com'; 'boykins@townofboykinsva.com'; 'spiritoftherock1@aol.com'; 'ggazner@nnva.gov'; 'louis.bott@lbtott@nn.gov.com'; 'skip.stiles@skip.stiles@wetlandswatch.org'; 'Mary-Carson Stiff <mcsstiff@wetlandswatch.org>'; 'mcsstiff@wetlandswatch.org'; 'Shannon Hulst'; 'bradley@suffolkva.us'; 'hfinch@isleafwights.net'; 'dalbom.phillips@yorkcounty.gov'; 'alambert@hampton.gov'; 'pattens@williamsburgva.gov'; 'sayesc@portsmouthva.gov'; 'fraser.picard@norfolk.gov'; 'jessien@vbgov.com'; 'mhargrave@nn.gov.com'; 'shazelwood@james-cityva.us'; 'ellen.roberts@poquoson-va.gov'; 'wsaunders@smithfieldva.gov'; 'splyer@southamptoncounty.org'; 'mmoneype@CityOfChesapeake.Net'; 'jhutcheson@fmauthority.com'; 'susan.collins@vsp.virginia.gov'; 'jdavis@city.suffolk.va.us'; 'Vidal Calderon@cox.com'; 'Tgurn@CityOfChesapeake.Net'; 'Shapiro, Steve'; 'wwhitehurst@suffolkva.us'; 'wjbelt@earthlink.net'; 'smills@suffolkva.us'; 'enielsen@suffolkva.us'; 'jrvick@sentara.com'; 'egirardi@hrsd.com'; 'office@townofivor.com'; 'dgoodwin@franklinva.com'; 'rpacer@franklinva.com'; 'mstoneham@franklinva.com'; 'mcarri@franklinva.com'; 'twitt@franklinpolice.org'; 'jdodson@franklinva.com'; 'swatson@franklinva.com'; 'cpollard@franklinva.com'; 'pshearn@franklinva.com'; 'wcobb@franklinva.com'; 'twilson@franklinpolice.org'; 'towners@poquoson-va.gov'; 'cbowen@poquoson-va.gov'; 'holloway@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Jerry.Cagle@poquoson-va.gov'; 'jbeach@ci.poquoson-va.gov'; 'nspeech@poquoson-va.gov'; 'angela.costello@post.harvard.edu'; 'Amy.Blow@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Randy.Wheeler@poquoson-va.gov'; 'rbellamy@poquoson-va.gov'; 'occmapple6@cox.net'; 'Debbie.Vest@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Karen.Holloway@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Lisa.Holloway@poquoson-va.gov'; 'John.Young@poquoson-va.gov'; 'ctvmi@cox.net'; 'dlenagel1@verizon.net'; 'ksomerset@poquoson-va.gov'; 'pward@poquoson-va.gov'; 'disastersc@ypredcross.org'; 'kmoore@poquoson-va.gov'; 'John Sadler (sadler@hrpdva.gov); 'Sara Kidd; Dawn Brantley (dbrantley@hrpdva.gov); 'matthew.wall@dem.virginia.gov'; 'Charley Banks (Charley.Banks@dc.virginia.gov); 'Stroud, David A (david.stroud@amefcw.com); 'Joe Turner; 'bmcfarlane@hrpdva.gov'; 'McCullough, Matthew'; 'McWaters, Paulette'; 'michelle.l.hamor (michelle.l.hamor@usace.army.mil)
Subject: HAMPTON - Poquoson Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting and Public Meeting TODAY!
Date: Monday, June 15, 2014 10:17:00 AM
Attachments: jmc061514.doc

Hazard Mitigation Planning Meeting today:

VERY BRIEF PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING - 2PM - COUNCIL CHAMBERS - We will review the mitigation actions in the attached Section 7 of the plan to be presented to the public at 4pm. Please review prior to the meeting if possible. Please pay particular attention to yellow highlighted missing info and to the action priorities.

PUBLIC MEETING - 4PM - COUNCIL CHAMBERS - if any of the committee members can be present, your support would be appreciated.

Hope to see you!

Leigh


Salter's Creek
CONSULTING
LEIGH CHAPMAN, CFM
1 Peak Street | Hampton VA 23669
Phone (757) 726-9540 | Mobile (757) 593-0250

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Public Meeting #2 Minutes

Monday, March 2, 2015 at 6:30pm
The Smithfield Center, Smithfield, VA

AGENDA

- Opening Remarks and Introductions
- Review of Planning Process and Progress
- Critical and Non-Critical Hazards
- Next Meetings
- Discussion and Comment
- Collection of Questionnaires from Participants

MEETING NOTES

- Public comment: Smithfield Villas, Gatling Point, Battery Park in danger; Carrsville is isolated; Pagan River marsh grasses/wetlands are endangered. Discussed how shorelines adjust to rising sea levels.
- Public comment: Isle of Wight County growth; comprehensive development plan density limitations should be honored; mitigation needs to intersect with comprehensive plan
- Need to examine growth areas & conservation resource area in Isle of Wight County
- Emergency management is involved in development reviews; need to also involve planning department
- Public comment regarding natural hazards: Under tropical storms, we're seeing more Category 1 & 2 storms -- not 100-year storms but still pretty bad; different communities have different hazards due to infrastructure; Suffolk/Isle of Wight face different problems than Virginia Beach due to infrastructure
- Increased rabies risk needs to be investigated for inclusion
- For diverse communities, discussed resource allocation. Discussed capability analysis and how it helps identify mitigation actions moving forward.

INVITEES

Email invitations were issued 1/30/15, 2/20/15 and 2/25/15 to each of the following individuals, as well as previous participants in the Poquoson and Chesapeake plans. In addition, each primary contact for the communities forwarded the message and made additional phone calls to their staff and interested persons.

- | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| • John Sadler, HRPDC | • Mary-Carson Stiff, Wetlands Watch |
| • Sara Kidd, HRPDC | • Shannon Hulst, Wetlands Watch |
| • Dawn Brantley, HRPDC | • Jim Judkins , Suffolk |
| • Matt Wall, Va Dept of Emergency Management | • Andrea Clontz, Isle of Wight |
| • Charley Banks, Va Dept of Conservation and Recreation | • Michael Stallings, Town of Windsor |
| • Joe Turner, HRPDC | • Paul Long, York County |
| • Ben McFarlane, HRPDC | • Gwen Pointer , Hampton |
| • Matt McCullough, FEMA Region III | • Sara Ruch , Hampton |
| • Paulette McWaters, Va Dept of Emergency Management | • Hui-Shan Walker, Hampton |
| • Michelle Hamor, US Army Corps of Engineers, Norfolk | • Pat Dent , Williamsburg |
| • Skip Stiles, Wetlands Watch | • Vince Holt, Franklin |
| | • Spence Campbell , Portsmouth |
| | • Jim Redick , Norfolk |
| | • Rob Braidwood , Chesapeake |
| | • Martha Burns, Chesapeake |

- Mary Money Penny, Chesapeake
- Erin Sutton, Virginia Beach
- George Glazner, Newport News
- Louis Bott, Newport News
- Kate Hale, James City County
- Michael Bryant, Poquoson
- "William" Saunders, Smithfield
- Josiah Jendrey, Smithfield
- Beth Lewis, Southampton County
- Town of Ivor, Sandy Vick, Mayor
- Town of Boykins, R. Spier Edwards, Jr., Mayor
- Town of Newsoms, Kenneth Cooke, Mayor
- Town of Courtland, Danny Williams, Mayor
- Town of Branchville, Preston Futrell, Mayor
- Town of Capron, Nick Kitchen, Mayor
- John Hutcheson, Fort Monroe Authority
- Suzen Collins, Virginia State Police
- Tim Davis, Suffolk Planning & Community Development
- Val Calderon, Cox Communications
- J.R. Vick, Sentara
- Wayne Whitehurst, Suffolk Planning & Community Development
- Walter Bell, Portsmouth
- Scott Mills, Suffolk Planning & Community Development
- Eric Nielsen, Suffolk Public Works
- (James R.) Randy Vick, Sentara Obici
- Erin Girardi, HRSD
- Keith Joyner, IVOR
- Donald Goodwin, Franklin Community Development
- Russ Pace, Franklin Public Works
- Michael Stoneham, Franklin Power & Light
- Mark Carr, Franklin Fire & Rescue
- Tim Whitt, Franklin Police
- Jeff Dodson, Franklin Power and Light
- Steve Watson, Franklin Power and Light
- Cedric Pollard, Franklin Community Development
- Phil Sherman, Community Development
- Walter Cobb, Franklin Power & Light
- Patrick Wilson, Franklin Police Dept

Leigh Morgan Chapman

From: Leigh Morgan Chapman <leighmorgan2@verizon.net>
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 1:56 PM
To: 'judkins@suffolkva.us'; 'aclontz@isleofwightus.net'; 'tristian.barnes@iwus.net'; 'longin@yorkcounty.gov'; 'gpointer@hampton.gov'; 'sruch@hampton.gov'; 'hwalker@hampton.gov'; 'wident@williamsburgva.gov'; 'vholt@franklinva.com'; 'campbells@portsmouthva.gov'; 'james.redick@norfolk.gov'; 'rbraidwood@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'mfburns@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'eesutton@vb.gov.com'; 'ggglazner@nnva.com'; 'kathleen.hale@jamescitycountyva.gov'; 'Michael.Bryant@poquoson-va.gov'; 'wsaunders@smithfieldva.gov'; 'blewis@co.southampton.state.va.us'; 'office@townofivor.com'; 'boykins@townofboykinsva.com'; 'spiritoftherock1@aol.com'; 'jhutcheson@fmauthority.com'; 'mstallings@windsor-va.gov'; 'lbott@nngov.com'
Cc: John Sadler (jsadler@hrpdva.gov); 'Sara Kidd'; Dawn Brantley (dbrantley@hrpdva.gov); 'matthew.wall@vdcem.virginia.gov'; Charley Banks (Charley.Banks@dcv.virginia.gov); Stroud, David A (david.stroud@amecfw.com); 'McWaters, Paulette'; 'McCullough, Matthew'
Subject: RE: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Good day, team!

I'm happy to announce that we have our 3 public meeting locations firmed up and reserved. Please mark your calendars and plan to attend AT LEAST ONE of the meetings. You'll get a good feel for the process, meet the primary players, and we can begin to get down to the work at hand.

HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN UPDATE – PUBLIC KICKOFF MEETINGS

Hampton – Thursday, February 26, 2015 at 6:30pm – Sandy Bottom Nature Park (near Newport News)

Smithfield – Monday, March 2, 2015 at 6:30pm – The Smithfield Center (downtown)

Norfolk – Tuesday, March 3, 2015 at 6:30pm – The new Slover Public Library (downtown)

I'll work with the PDC in the next few days to put out notices to the public and you will receive the text for those notices. **I'd like for you all to also consider how best to advertise these meetings within your community.** Web pages, social media, (electronic) bulletin boards, libraries, etc. Please keep and provide copies of any notices you make so that we can include those in the final plan. **Please also be thinking about other stakeholders to invite to the meetings.** It would be great to see primary committee members from each community. We also need to invite other state agencies, utility providers, hospital reps, military installation representatives, non-profits and aid agencies like the American Red Cross.

Also, as I'm sure Dawn and John would like me to remind you, be sure to record ANY time you spend on this project so that it can be counted toward the in-kind match on this project's grant.

Thanks for your participation.

Leigh

Leigh Morgan Chapman

From: Leigh Morgan Chapman <leigh.morgan2@verizon.net>
Sent: Friday, February 20, 2015 2:54 PM
To: 'juddkins@folkva.us'; 'adontz@isleafwights.net'; 'long@yorkcount.gov'; 'gpointer@hampton.gov'; 'sruch@hampton.gov'; 'hwalker@hampton.gov'; 'wden@williamsburgva.gov'; 'vholt@franklinva.com'; 'campbells@portsmouthva.gov'; 'james.redick@norfolk.gov'; 'braidwood@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'mfburns@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'eesutton@vbgov.com'; 'kathleen.hale@jamescitycountyva.gov'; 'Michael.Bryant@poquoson-va.gov'; 'blewis@co.southampton.state.va.us'; 'office@townofnorfolk.com'; 'boykins@townofboykinsva.com'; 'spiritoftherock1@aol.com'; 'ggazner@nnva.gov'; 'louis.bott@lbt@nnvgov.com'; 'skip.stiles (skip.stiles@wetlandswatch.org)'; 'Mary-Carson Stiff <mcstiff@wetlandswatch.org>'; 'mcstiff@wetlandswatch.org'; 'Shannon Hulst'; 'bradley@suffolkva.us'; 'hfinch@isleafwights.net'; 'daiborn.phillips@yorkcount.gov'; 'alambert@hampton.gov'; 'rpatten@williamsburgva.gov'; 'saylesc@portsmouthva.gov'; 'fraser.picard@norfolk.gov'; 'jjesen@vbgov.com'; 'mhargrave@nnvgov.com'; 'khazewood@james-cityva.us'; 'ellen.roberts@poquoson-va.gov'; 'wsaunders@smithfieldva.gov'; 'splyler@southamptoncounty.org'; 'mmoneype@CityOfChesapeake.Net'; 'jhutcheson@fmauthority.com'; 'susan.collins@vsp.virginia.gov'; 'jtdavis@city.suffolk.va.us'; 'Vidal Calderon@cox.com'; 'lgunn@CityOfChesapeake.Net'; 'Shapiro, Steve'; 'wwhitehurst@suffolkva.us'; 'wjbelle@earthlink.net'; 'smills@suffolkva.us'; 'enielsen@suffolkva.us'; 'jrvick@sentara.com'; 'legiard@hrsd.com'; 'office@townofnorfolk.com'; 'dgoodwin@franklinva.com'; 'rpacer@franklinva.com'; 'mstoneham@franklinva.com'; 'mcarr@franklinva.com'; 'twitt@franklinpolice.org'; 'jtdodson@franklinva.com'; 'swatson@franklinva.com'; 'cpollard@franklinva.com'; 'jsherman@franklinva.com'; 'wcobb@franklinva.com'; 'pwlison@franklinpolice.org'; 'towns@poquoson-va.gov'; 'cbowen@poquoson-va.gov'; 'rholloway@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Jerry.Cagle@poquoson-va.gov'; 'jbeach@ci.poquoson-va.gov'; 'rspeech@poquoson-va.gov'; 'angela.costello@post.harvard.edu'; 'Amy.Blow@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Randy.Wheeler@poquoson-va.gov'; 'rbellamy@poquoson-va.gov'; 'occmapple6@cox.net'; 'Debbie.Vest@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Karen.Holloway@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Lisa.Holloway@poquoson-va.gov'; 'John.Young@poquoson-va.gov'; 'jctvmi@cox.net'; 'dlenagel1@verizon.net'; 'ksomerset@poquoson-va.gov'; 'pward@poquoson-va.gov'; 'disasters@ypcaredcross.org'; 'kmoore@poquoson-va.gov'; 'John.Sadler (sadler@hrpdva.gov)'; 'Sara Kidd'; 'Dawn Brantley (dbrantley@hrpdva.gov)'; 'matthew.wall@cdem.virginia.gov'; 'Charley Banks (Charley.Banks@cdcr.virginia.gov)'; 'Stroud, David A (david.stroud@amecwf.com)'; 'Joe Turner'; 'bmcfarlane@hrpdva.gov'; 'McCullough, Matthew'; 'McWaters, Paulette'; 'michelle.l.hamor (michelle.l.hamor@usace.army.mil)'; 'Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - Public Meetings begin next week HRHazMitgMtgFebruary2015 public notice ad.pdf'

Subject: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - Public Meetings begin next week

Attachments: HRHazMitgMtgFebruary2015 public notice ad.pdf

Importance: High

Hello everyone. I am certain that most of you, definitely the emergency managers amongst you, have had quite an action-packed week! But I hope I can convince you to take just 5 to 10 minutes this afternoon and forward this public meeting notice far and wide. We are kicking off the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update process with 3 public meetings across the region starting next week. Pertinent details are summarized below and shown in the attached notice. These are primarily listening sessions where I will introduce the planning process and then solicit input

1

Leigh Morgan Chapman

From: Leigh Morgan Chapman <leigh.morgan2@verizon.net>
Sent: Wednesday, February 25, 2015 11:50 AM
To: 'juddkins@folkva.us'; 'adontz@isleafwights.net'; 'long@yorkcount.gov'; 'gpointer@hampton.gov'; 'sruch@hampton.gov'; 'hwalker@hampton.gov'; 'wden@williamsburgva.gov'; 'vholt@franklinva.com'; 'campbells@portsmouthva.gov'; 'james.redick@norfolk.gov'; 'braidwood@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'mfburns@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'eesutton@vbgov.com'; 'kathleen.hale@jamescitycountyva.gov'; 'Michael.Bryant@poquoson-va.gov'; 'blewis@co.southampton.state.va.us'; 'office@townofnorfolk.com'; 'boykins@townofboykinsva.com'; 'spiritoftherock1@aol.com'; 'ggazner@nnva.gov'; 'louis.bott (lbt@nnvgov.com)'; 'skip.stiles (skip.stiles@wetlandswatch.org)'; 'Mary-Carson Stiff <mcstiff@wetlandswatch.org>'; 'mcstiff@wetlandswatch.org'; 'Shannon Hulst'; 'bradley@suffolkva.us'; 'hfinch@isleafwights.net'; 'daiborn.phillips@yorkcount.gov'; 'alambert@hampton.gov'; 'rpatten@williamsburgva.gov'; 'saylesc@portsmouthva.gov'; 'fraser.picard@norfolk.gov'; 'jjesen@vbgov.com'; 'mhargrave@nnvgov.com'; 'khazewood@james-cityva.us'; 'ellen.roberts@poquoson-va.gov'; 'wsaunders@smithfieldva.gov'; 'splyler@southamptoncounty.org'; 'mmoneype@CityOfChesapeake.Net'; 'jhutcheson@fmauthority.com'; 'susan.collins@vsp.virginia.gov'; 'jtdavis@city.suffolk.va.us'; 'Vidal Calderon@cox.com'; 'lgunn@CityOfChesapeake.Net'; 'Shapiro, Steve'; 'wwhitehurst@suffolkva.us'; 'wjbelle@earthlink.net'; 'smills@suffolkva.us'; 'enielsen@suffolkva.us'; 'jrvick@sentara.com'; 'legiard@hrsd.com'; 'office@townofnorfolk.com'; 'dgoodwin@franklinva.com'; 'rpacer@franklinva.com'; 'mstoneham@franklinva.com'; 'mcarr@franklinva.com'; 'twitt@franklinpolice.org'; 'jtdodson@franklinva.com'; 'swatson@franklinva.com'; 'cpollard@franklinva.com'; 'jsherman@franklinva.com'; 'wcobb@franklinva.com'; 'pwlison@franklinpolice.org'; 'towns@poquoson-va.gov'; 'cbowen@poquoson-va.gov'; 'rholloway@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Jerry.Cagle@poquoson-va.gov'; 'jbeach@ci.poquoson-va.gov'; 'rspeech@poquoson-va.gov'; 'angela.costello@post.harvard.edu'; 'Amy.Blow@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Randy.Wheeler@poquoson-va.gov'; 'rbellamy@poquoson-va.gov'; 'occmapple6@cox.net'; 'Debbie.Vest@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Karen.Holloway@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Lisa.Holloway@poquoson-va.gov'; 'John.Young@poquoson-va.gov'; 'jctvmi@cox.net'; 'dlenagel1@verizon.net'; 'ksomerset@poquoson-va.gov'; 'pward@poquoson-va.gov'; 'disasters@ypcaredcross.org'; 'kmoore@poquoson-va.gov'; 'John.Sadler (sadler@hrpdva.gov)'; 'Sara Kidd'; 'Dawn Brantley (dbrantley@hrpdva.gov)'; 'matthew.wall@cdem.virginia.gov'; 'Charley Banks (Charley.Banks@cdcr.virginia.gov)'; 'Stroud, David A (david.stroud@amecwf.com)'; 'Joe Turner'; 'bmcfarlane@hrpdva.gov'; 'McCullough, Matthew'; 'McWaters, Paulette'; 'michelle.l.hamor (michelle.l.hamor@usace.army.mil)'; 'Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - Public Meetings - HAMPTON MEETING CANCELLED'

Subject: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - Public Meetings - HAMPTON MEETING CANCELLED

Importance: High

Good morning,

A decision has been made to cancel the public meeting scheduled for tomorrow night in Hampton due to expected weather and road conditions. The meeting will not be rescheduled as there are two other meetings that everyone is urged to attend.

1

from attendees on pertinent hazards, mitigation ideas and their personal/professional experiences with various hazards.

HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN UPDATE – PUBLIC KICKOFF MEETINGS

Hampton – Thursday, February 26, 2015 at 6:30pm – Sandy Bottom Nature Park
Smithfield – Monday, March 2, 2015 at 6:30pm – The Smithfield Center (downtown)
Norfolk – Tuesday, March 3, 2015 at 6:30pm – The new Sliver Public Library (downtown) - for parking to be validated in Norfolk, they must park in the nearby City's Main Street Garage

Please take a few minutes to do the following:

1. **Spread the word!**
 - a. Use your community's social media resources and Public Information professionals (Facebook pages, Twitter accounts, web pages) to get the word out to your citizens and stakeholders. Physically posting the notice in a library or workspace would also be great.
 - b. Who do you work with on a regular basis who has a stake in how hazards are managed? Let them know: CERT teams and other interested citizens, your community floodplain managers, American Red Cross, universities, military liaisons, hospitals, police/fire/rescue, Building department/code enforcement, Engineering, Land use planning/zoning, Public works, Emergency management/public safety, Public information, Environmental protection/public health, Parks/recreation, an interested city manager or council member, Housing/community development, developers/contractors/real estate agents, civic groups, environmental organizations, academia, non-profit organizations, major employers, staff from other governmental agencies, neighboring communities. My address list on this email is far from complete. I need your help!
2. **Mark these dates on your calendar** and strive to have a community representative present at the meeting closest to your community to help me answer questions that may come up.
3. **Keep records!**
 - a. If you post the notice on social media or elsewhere, let me know so I can get a screen shot, or send me a screen shot. We need to collect these tidbits of info that show how we got the word out and how we included the public in the process.
 - b. Keep notes on your total community staff time spent on this effort. It is important for the grant matching.
 - c. Please look for the public notice publication in your newspaper; cut it out and send to me either digitally or at the meeting.

Thank you, and I look forward to seeing all of you at one of the upcoming meetings. Let me know if you have questions or concerns.

Leigh


Salter's Creek
CONSULTING
LEIGH CHAPMAN, CFM
1 Peak Street | Hampton VA 23669
Phone (757) 726-9540 | Mobile (757) 593-0250

2

From: Leigh Morgan Chapman
To: 'juddkins@folkva.us'; 'adontz@isleafwights.net'; 'long@yorkcount.gov'; 'gpointer@hampton.gov'; 'sruch@hampton.gov'; 'hwalker@hampton.gov'; 'wden@williamsburgva.gov'; 'vholt@franklinva.com'; 'campbells@portsmouthva.gov'; 'james.redick@norfolk.gov'; 'braidwood@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'mfburns@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'eesutton@vbgov.com'; 'kathleen.hale@jamescitycountyva.gov'; 'Michael.Bryant@poquoson-va.gov'; 'blewis@co.southampton.state.va.us'; 'office@townofnorfolk.com'; 'boykins@townofboykinsva.com'; 'spiritoftherock1@aol.com'; 'ggazner@nnva.gov'; 'louis.bott (lbt@nnvgov.com)'; 'skip.stiles (skip.stiles@wetlandswatch.org)'; 'Mary-Carson Stiff <mcstiff@wetlandswatch.org>'; 'mcstiff@wetlandswatch.org'; 'Shannon Hulst'; 'bradley@suffolkva.us'; 'hfinch@isleafwights.net'; 'daiborn.phillips@yorkcount.gov'; 'alambert@hampton.gov'; 'rpatten@williamsburgva.gov'; 'saylesc@portsmouthva.gov'; 'fraser.picard@norfolk.gov'; 'jjesen@vbgov.com'; 'mhargrave@nnvgov.com'; 'khazewood@james-cityva.us'; 'ellen.roberts@poquoson-va.gov'; 'wsaunders@smithfieldva.gov'; 'splyler@southamptoncounty.org'; 'mmoneype@CityOfChesapeake.Net'; 'jhutcheson@fmauthority.com'; 'susan.collins@vsp.virginia.gov'; 'jtdavis@city.suffolk.va.us'; 'Vidal Calderon@cox.com'; 'lgunn@CityOfChesapeake.Net'; 'Shapiro, Steve'; 'wwhitehurst@suffolkva.us'; 'wjbelle@earthlink.net'; 'smills@suffolkva.us'; 'enielsen@suffolkva.us'; 'jrvick@sentara.com'; 'legiard@hrsd.com'; 'office@townofnorfolk.com'; 'dgoodwin@franklinva.com'; 'rpacer@franklinva.com'; 'mstoneham@franklinva.com'; 'mcarr@franklinva.com'; 'twitt@franklinpolice.org'; 'jtdodson@franklinva.com'; 'swatson@franklinva.com'; 'cpollard@franklinva.com'; 'jsherman@franklinva.com'; 'wcobb@franklinva.com'; 'pwlison@franklinpolice.org'; 'towns@poquoson-va.gov'; 'cbowen@poquoson-va.gov'; 'rholloway@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Jerry.Cagle@poquoson-va.gov'; 'jbeach@ci.poquoson-va.gov'; 'rspeech@poquoson-va.gov'; 'angela.costello@post.harvard.edu'; 'Amy.Blow@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Randy.Wheeler@poquoson-va.gov'; 'rbellamy@poquoson-va.gov'; 'occmapple6@cox.net'; 'Debbie.Vest@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Karen.Holloway@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Lisa.Holloway@poquoson-va.gov'; 'John.Young@poquoson-va.gov'; 'jctvmi@cox.net'; 'dlenagel1@verizon.net'; 'ksomerset@poquoson-va.gov'; 'pward@poquoson-va.gov'; 'disasters@ypcaredcross.org'; 'kmoore@poquoson-va.gov'; 'John.Sadler (sadler@hrpdva.gov)'; 'Sara Kidd'; 'Dawn Brantley (dbrantley@hrpdva.gov)'; 'matthew.wall@cdem.virginia.gov'; 'Charley Banks (Charley.Banks@cdcr.virginia.gov)'; 'Stroud, David A (david.stroud@amecwf.com)'; 'Joe Turner'; 'bmcfarlane@hrpdva.gov'; 'McCullough, Matthew'; 'McWaters, Paulette'; 'michelle.l.hamor (michelle.l.hamor@usace.army.mil)'; 'Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting and Public Meeting TODAY'

Subject: REPEATED: Poquoson Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting and Public Meeting TODAY

Date: Monday, June 15, 2014 10:15:00 AM

Attachments: jmc0615ans

Hazard Mitigation Planning Meeting today:

VERY BRIEF PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING – 2PM – COUNCIL CHAMBERS – We will review the mitigation actions in the attached Section 7 of the plan to be presented to the public at 4pm. Please review prior to the meeting, if possible. Please pay particular attention to yellow highlighted missing info and to the action priorities.

PUBLIC MEETING – 4PM – COUNCIL CHAMBERS – if any of the committee members can be present, your support would be appreciated.

Hope to see you!

Leigh


Salter's Creek
CONSULTING
LEIGH CHAPMAN, CFM
1 Peak Street | Hampton VA 23669
Phone (757) 726-9540 | Mobile (757) 593-0250

SIGN-IN SHEET
HAMPTON ROADS HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN UPDATE
PUBLIC MEETING #2, SMITHFIELD, VA
MONDAY, MARCH 2, 2014

NAME	AGENCY	PHONE #	E-MAIL
George Clemons	Neptune News Etc		
Eraine Aboldt	.citizen		
Tom Funderman	Red Creek		
Andrea Cloutz	Isle of Wight		
Leigh Chapman	Salters Creek		
Suzanne Hamilton	Salters Creek		
Scott Terwilliger	Isle of Wight		
Tim Burkert	Salters Creek		
Cindy Dargatz	American Red Cross		
Vince Hertz	City of Franklin		
Frank Susino	Suffolk MRC		
Dawn Brantley	HRPDC		
John Sadler	HRPDC		
Dorothy Tondry	Smithfield		
Jim Steel	WTMR		
Charles Kline	VA DCR		

ATTENDANCE

- 21 people were in attendance, including 2 interested members of the public. Attendance sheet is shown below.

[illegible]



Hampton Roads

Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Public Meeting #3 Minutes

Tuesday, March 3, 2015 at 6:30pm
Slover Library, Norfolk, VA

AGENDA

- Opening Remarks and Introductions
- Review of Planning Process and Progress
- Critical and Non-Critical Hazards
- Next Meetings
- Discussion and Comment
- Collection of Questionnaires from Participants

MEETING NOTES

- Public comment: Hazard & risk assessment should be the same for both natural & man-made risks; should include all in mitigation plans.
- Public comment: Pandemic flu is not a man-made hazard yet causes more damage than hurricanes & kills more people.
- Public comment: Consider including oil spills, wastewater treatment failures & pipeline accidents as man-made hazards.
- Public comment: We can mitigate flu problems & continuity of service should be factored in.
- Public questions: pipeline items; dams; public utilities -- above or below ground; landscape design in mitigation plans; mandatory flood plain management & elevation standards; status of actions from previous plans; use of ham radio operators for emergency situations through FEMA
- Public comment: Discussed lessons learned from the Dutch. HRPDC is working with them & coming up with structural suggestions & recommendations; solutions are very expensive; Norfolk's plans are on their website (www.norfolk.gov/flooding); website has a wealth of information re: flooding; Norfolk is working with the State & Navy to try & deal with flooding issues.
- Analysis is hard & needs diverse interpretations; values come from sharing between municipalities although individual communities can adapt their own interpretations.
- Discussed where FEMA money is being spent.

INVITEES

Email invitations were issued 1/30/15, 2/20/15 and 2/25/15 to each of the following individuals, as well as previous participants in the Poquoson and Chesapeake plans. In addition, each primary contact for the communities forwarded the message and made additional phone calls to their staff and interested persons.

- | | |
|---|---|
| • John Sadler, HRPDC | • Paulette McWaters, Va Dept of Emergency Management |
| • Sara Kidd, HRPDC | • Michelle Hamor, US Army Corps of Engineers, Norfolk |
| • Dawn Brantley, HRPDC | • Skip Stiles, Wetlands Watch |
| • Matt Wall, Va Dept of Emergency Management | • Mary-Carson Stiff, Wetlands Watch |
| • Charley Banks, Va Dept of Conservation and Recreation | • Shannon Hulst, Wetlands Watch |
| • Joe Turner, HRPDC | • Jim Judkins, Suffolk |
| • Ben McFarlane, HRPDC | • Andrea Clontz, Isle of Wight |
| • Matt McCullough, FEMA Region III | • Michael Stallings, Town of Windsor |

- Paul Long, York County
- Gwen Pointer , Hampton
- Sara Ruch , Hampton
- Hui-Shan Walker, Hampton
- Pat Dent , Williamsburg
- Vince Holt, Franklin
- Spence Campbell , Portsmouth
- Jim Redick , Norfolk
- Rob Braidwood , Chesapeake
- Martha Burns, Chesapeake
- Mary Moneypenny, Chesapeake
- Erin Sutton , Virginia Beach
- George Glazner , Newport News
- Louis Bott, Newport News
- Kate Hale, James City County
- Michael Bryant, Poquoson
- "William" Saunders, Smithfield
- Josiah Jendrey, Smithfield
- Beth Lewis, Southampton County
- Town of Ivor, Sandy Vick, Mayor
- Town of Boykins, R.Spier Edwards, Jr., Mayor
- Town of Newsoms, Kenneth Cooke, Mayor
- Town of Courtland, Danny Williams, Mayor
- Town of Branchville, Preston Futrell, Mayor
- Town of Capron, Nick Kitchen, Mayor
- John Hutcheson, Fort Monroe Authority
- Suzen Collins, Virginia State Police
- Tim Davis, Suffolk Planning & Community Development
- Val Calderon, Cox Communications
- J.R. Vick, Sentara
- Wayne Whitehurst, Suffolk Planning & Community Development
- Walter Bell, Portsmouth
- Scott Mills, Suffolk Planning & Community Development
- Eric Nielsen, Suffolk Public Works
- (James R.) Randy Vick, Sentara Obici
- Erin Girardi, HRSD
- Keith Joyner, IVOR
- Donald Goodwin, Franklin Community Development
- Russ Pace, Franklin Public Works
- Michael Stoneham, Franklin Power & Light
- Mark Carr, Franklin Fire & Rescue
- Tim Whitt, Franklin Police
- Jeff Dodson, Franklin Power and Light
- Steve Watson, Franklin Power and Light
- Cedric Pollard, Franklin Community Development
- Phil Sherman, Community Development
- Walter Cobb, Franklin Power & Light
- Patrick Wilson, Franklin Police Dept

Leigh Morgan Chapman

From: Leigh Morgan Chapman <leighmorgan2@verizon.net>
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 1:56 PM
To: 'jjudkins@suffolkva.us'; 'adontz@isleafwrightus.net'; 'tristian.barnes@iwus.net'; 'longr@yorkcounty.gov'; 'gpointer@hampton.gov'; 'sruch@hampton.gov'; 'hwalker@hampton.gov'; 'vident@williamsburgva.gov'; 'vhol@franklinva.com'; 'campbells@portsmouthva.gov'; 'james.redick@norfolk.gov'; 'rbraidwood@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'mfburns@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'eesutton@vb.gov.com'; 'ggazner@nva.com'; 'kathleen.hale@jamescitycountyva.gov'; 'Michael.Bryant@poquoson-va.gov'; 'wsaunders@smithfieldva.gov'; 'blewis@co.southampton.state.va.us'; 'office@townofivor.com'; 'boykins@townofboykinsva.com'; 'spiritoftherock1@aol.com'; 'jhutcheson@fmauthority.com'; 'mstallings@windsor-va.gov'; 'lbott@nngov.com' John Sadler (jsadler@hrpdva.gov); 'Sara Kidd'; Dawn Brantley (dbrantley@hrpdva.gov); 'matthew.wall@vdem.virginia.gov'; Charley Banks (Charley.Banks@dcv.virginia.gov); Stroud, David A (david.stroud@amechv.com); 'McWaters, Paulette'; 'McCullough, Matthew'
Cc:
Subject: RE: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Good day, team!

I'm happy to announce that we have our 3 public meeting locations firmed up and reserved. Please mark your calendars and plan to attend AT LEAST ONE of the meetings. You'll get a good feel for the process, meet the primary players, and we can begin to get down to the work at hand.

HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN UPDATE – PUBLIC KICKOFF MEETINGS
Hampton – Thursday, February 26, 2015 at 6:30pm – Sandy Bottom Nature Park (near Newport News)
Smithfield – Monday, March 2, 2015 at 6:30pm – The Smithfield Center (downtown)
Norfolk – Tuesday, March 3, 2015 at 6:30pm – The new Slover Public Library (downtown)

I'll work with the PDC in the next few days to put out notices to the public and you will receive the text for those notices. **I'd like for you all to also consider how best to advertise these meetings within your community.** Web pages, social media, (electronic) bulletin boards, libraries, etc. Please keep and provide copies of any notices you make so that we can include those in the final plan. **Please also be thinking about other stakeholders to invite to the meetings.** It would be great to see primary committee members from each community. We also need to invite other state agencies, utility providers, hospital reps, military installation representatives, non-profits and aid agencies like the American Red Cross.

Also, as I'm sure Dawn and John would like me to remind you, be sure to record ANY time you spend on this project so that it can be counted toward the in-kind match on this project's grant.

Thanks for your participation.

Leigh

Leigh Morgan Chapman

From: Leigh Morgan Chapman <leigh.morgan2@verizon.net>
Sent: Friday, February 20, 2015 2:54 PM
To: 'juddkins@folkva.us'; 'adontz@isleafwights.net'; 'long@yorkcount.gov'; 'gpointer@hampton.gov'; 'sruch@hampton.gov'; 'hwalker@hampton.gov'; 'wden@williamsburgva.gov'; 'vholt@franklinva.com'; 'campbells@portsmouthva.gov'; 'james.redick@norfolk.gov'; 'braidwood@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'mfburns@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'eesutton@vbgov.com'; 'kathleen.hale@jamescitycountyva.gov'; 'Michael.Bryant@poquoson-va.gov'; 'blewis@co.southampton.state.va.us'; 'office@townofnorfolk.com'; 'boykins@townofboykinsva.com'; 'spiritoftherock1@aol.com'; 'ggazner@nva.gov'; 'louis.bott@lbttonngov.com'; 'skip.stiles@wetlandswatch.org'; 'Mary-Carson.Stiff <mcstiff@wetlandswatch.org>'; 'mcstiff@wetlandswatch.org'; 'Shannon Hulst'; 'bradley@suffolkva.us'; 'hfinch@isleafwights.net'; 'daiborn.phillips@yorkcount.gov'; 'alambert@hampton.gov'; 'rpatten@williamsburgva.gov'; 'saylesc@portsmouthva.gov'; 'fraser.picard@norfolk.gov'; 'jjesen@vbgov.com'; 'mhargrave@nngov.com'; 'khazewood@james-cityva.us'; 'ellen.roberts@poquoson-va.gov'; 'wsaunders@smithfieldva.gov'; 'splyler@southamptoncounty.org'; 'mmoneype@CityOfChesapeake.Net'; 'jhutcheson@fmauthority.com'; 'susan.collins@vsp.virginia.gov'; 'jtdavis@city.suffolk.va.us'; 'Vidal.Calderon@cox.com'; 'lgunn@CityOfChesapeake.Net'; 'Shapiro, Steve'; 'wwhitehurst@suffolkva.us'; 'wjbelle@earthlink.net'; 'smills@suffolkva.us'; 'enielsen@suffolkva.us'; 'jrvick@sentara.com'; 'legiard@hrsd.com'; 'office@townofnorfolk.com'; 'dgoodwin@franklinva.com'; 'rpace@franklinva.com'; 'mstoneham@franklinva.com'; 'mcarr@franklinva.com'; 'twitt@franklinpolice.org'; 'jtdodson@franklinva.com'; 'swatson@franklinva.com'; 'cpollard@franklinva.com'; 'jsherman@franklinva.com'; 'wcobb@franklinva.com'; 'pwlison@franklinpolice.org'; 'towns@poquoson-va.gov'; 'cbowen@poquoson-va.gov'; 'rholloway@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Jerry.Cagle@poquoson-va.gov'; 'jbeach@ci.poquoson-va.gov'; 'rspeech@poquoson-va.gov'; 'angela.costello@post.harvard.edu'; 'Amy.Blow@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Randy.Wheeler@poquoson-va.gov'; 'rbellamy@poquoson-va.gov'; 'occmapple6@cox.net'; 'Debbie.Vest@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Karen.Holloway@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Lisa.Holloway@poquoson-va.gov'; 'John.Young@poquoson-va.gov'; 'jctvmi@cox.net'; 'dlenagel1@verizon.net'; 'ksomerset@poquoson-va.gov'; 'pward@poquoson-va.gov'; 'disasters@ypcdcross.org'; 'kmoore@poquoson-va.gov'; 'John.Sadler (sadler@hrpdva.gov); 'Sara Kidd'; Dawn Brantley (dbrantley@hrpdva.gov); 'matthew.wall@cdem.virginia.gov'; Charley Banks (Charley.Banks@cdcr.virginia.gov); Stroud, David A (david.stroud@amecwf.com); 'Joe Turner'; bmcFarlane@hrpdva.gov; 'McCullough, Matthew'; 'McWaters, Paulette'; michelle.l.hamor (michelle.l.hamor@usace.army.mil)
Subject: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - Public Meetings begin next week
Attachments: HRHazMitgMtgFebruary2015 public notice ad.pdf
Importance: High

Hello everyone. I am certain that most of you, definitely the emergency managers amongst you, have had quite an action-packed week! But I hope I can convince you to take just 5 to 10 minutes this afternoon and forward this public meeting notice far and wide. We are kicking off the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update process with 3 public meetings across the region starting next week. Pertinent details are summarized below and shown in the attached notice. These are primarily listening sessions where I will introduce the planning process and then solicit input

1

Leigh Morgan Chapman

From: Leigh Morgan Chapman <leigh.morgan2@verizon.net>
Sent: Wednesday, February 25, 2015 11:50 AM
To: 'juddkins@folkva.us'; 'adontz@isleafwights.net'; 'long@yorkcount.gov'; 'gpointer@hampton.gov'; 'sruch@hampton.gov'; 'hwalker@hampton.gov'; 'wden@williamsburgva.gov'; 'vholt@franklinva.com'; 'campbells@portsmouthva.gov'; 'james.redick@norfolk.gov'; 'braidwood@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'mfburns@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'eesutton@vbgov.com'; 'kathleen.hale@jamescitycountyva.gov'; 'Michael.Bryant@poquoson-va.gov'; 'blewis@co.southampton.state.va.us'; 'office@townofnorfolk.com'; 'boykins@townofboykinsva.com'; 'spiritoftherock1@aol.com'; 'ggazner@nva.gov'; 'louis.bott@lbttonngov.com'; 'skip.stiles (skip.stiles@wetlandswatch.org); 'Mary-Carson.Stiff <mcstiff@wetlandswatch.org>'; 'mcstiff@wetlandswatch.org'; 'Shannon Hulst'; 'bradley@suffolkva.us'; 'hfinch@isleafwights.net'; 'daiborn.phillips@yorkcount.gov'; 'alambert@hampton.gov'; 'rpatten@williamsburgva.gov'; 'saylesc@portsmouthva.gov'; 'fraser.picard@norfolk.gov'; 'jjesen@vbgov.com'; 'mhargrave@nngov.com'; 'khazewood@james-cityva.us'; 'ellen.roberts@poquoson-va.gov'; 'wsaunders@smithfieldva.gov'; 'splyler@southamptoncounty.org'; 'mmoneype@CityOfChesapeake.Net'; 'jhutcheson@fmauthority.com'; 'susan.collins@vsp.virginia.gov'; 'jtdavis@city.suffolk.va.us'; 'Vidal.Calderon@cox.com'; 'lgunn@CityOfChesapeake.Net'; 'Shapiro, Steve'; 'wwhitehurst@suffolkva.us'; 'wjbelle@earthlink.net'; 'smills@suffolkva.us'; 'enielsen@suffolkva.us'; 'jrvick@sentara.com'; 'legiard@hrsd.com'; 'office@townofnorfolk.com'; 'dgoodwin@franklinva.com'; 'rpace@franklinva.com'; 'mstoneham@franklinva.com'; 'mcarr@franklinva.com'; 'twitt@franklinpolice.org'; 'jtdodson@franklinva.com'; 'swatson@franklinva.com'; 'cpollard@franklinva.com'; 'jsherman@franklinva.com'; 'wcobb@franklinva.com'; 'pwlison@franklinpolice.org'; 'towns@poquoson-va.gov'; 'cbowen@poquoson-va.gov'; 'rholloway@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Jerry.Cagle@poquoson-va.gov'; 'jbeach@ci.poquoson-va.gov'; 'rspeech@poquoson-va.gov'; 'angela.costello@post.harvard.edu'; 'Amy.Blow@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Randy.Wheeler@poquoson-va.gov'; 'rbellamy@poquoson-va.gov'; 'occmapple6@cox.net'; 'Debbie.Vest@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Karen.Holloway@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Lisa.Holloway@poquoson-va.gov'; 'John.Young@poquoson-va.gov'; 'jctvmi@cox.net'; 'dlenagel1@verizon.net'; 'ksomerset@poquoson-va.gov'; 'pward@poquoson-va.gov'; 'disasters@ypcdcross.org'; 'kmoore@poquoson-va.gov'; 'John.Sadler (sadler@hrpdva.gov); 'Sara Kidd'; Dawn Brantley (dbrantley@hrpdva.gov); 'matthew.wall@cdem.virginia.gov'; Charley Banks (Charley.Banks@cdcr.virginia.gov); Stroud, David A (david.stroud@amecwf.com); 'Joe Turner'; bmcFarlane@hrpdva.gov; 'McCullough, Matthew'; 'McWaters, Paulette'; michelle.l.hamor (michelle.l.hamor@usace.army.mil)
Subject: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - Public Meetings - HAMPTON MEETING CANCELLED
Importance: High

Good morning,

A decision has been made to cancel the public meeting scheduled for tomorrow night in Hampton due to expected weather and road conditions. The meeting will not be rescheduled as there are two other meetings that everyone is urged to attend.

1

from attendees on pertinent hazards, mitigation ideas and their personal/professional experiences with various hazards.

HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN UPDATE – PUBLIC KICKOFF MEETINGS

Hampton – Thursday, February 26, 2015 at 6:30pm – Sandy Bottom Nature Park
Smithfield – Monday, March 2, 2015 at 6:30pm – The Smithfield Center (downtown)
Norfolk – Tuesday, March 3, 2015 at 6:30pm – The new Sliver Public Library (downtown) - for parking to be validated in Norfolk, they must park in the nearby City's Main Street Garage

Please take a few minutes to do the following:

1. **Spread the word!**
 - a. Use your community's social media resources and Public Information professionals (Facebook pages, Twitter accounts, web pages) to get the word out to your citizens and stakeholders. Physically posting the notice in a library or workspace would also be great.
 - b. Who do you work with on a regular basis who has a stake in how hazards are managed? Let them know! CERT teams and other interested citizens, your community floodplain managers, American Red Cross, universities, military liaisons, hospitals, police/fire/rescue, Building department/code enforcement, Engineering, Land use planning/zoning, Public works, Emergency management/public safety, Public information, Environmental protection/public health, Parks/recreation, an interested city manager or council member, Housing/community development, developers/contractors/real estate agents, civic groups, environmental organizations, academia, non-profit organizations, major employers, staff from other governmental agencies, neighboring communities. My address list on this email is far from complete. I need your help!
2. **Mark these dates on your calendar** and strive to have a community representative present at the meeting closest to your community to help me answer questions that may come up.
3. **Keep records!**
 - a. If you post the notice on social media or elsewhere, let me know so I can get a screen shot, or send me a screen shot. We need to collect these tidbits of info that show how we got the word out and how we included the public in the process.
 - b. Keep notes on your total community staff time spent on this effort. It is important for the grant matching.
 - c. Please look for the public notice publication in your newspaper; cut it out and send to me either digitally or at the meeting.

Thank you, and I look forward to seeing all of you at one of the upcoming meetings. Let me know if you have questions or concerns.

Leigh


Salter's Creek
CONSULTING
LEIGH CHAPMAN, CFM
1 Peak Street | Hampton VA 23669
Phone (757) 726-9540 | Mobile (757) 593-0250

2

From: Leigh Morgan Chapman
To: 'james.redick@norfolk.gov'; 'braidwood@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'mfburns@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'eesutton@vbgov.com'; 'kathleen.hale@jamescitycountyva.gov'; 'Michael.Bryant@poquoson-va.gov'; 'blewis@co.southampton.state.va.us'; 'office@townofnorfolk.com'; 'boykins@townofboykinsva.com'; 'spiritoftherock1@aol.com'; 'ggazner@nva.gov'; 'louis.bott@lbttonngov.com'; 'skip.stiles (skip.stiles@wetlandswatch.org); 'Mary-Carson.Stiff <mcstiff@wetlandswatch.org>'; 'mcstiff@wetlandswatch.org'; 'Shannon Hulst'; 'bradley@suffolkva.us'; 'hfinch@isleafwights.net'; 'daiborn.phillips@yorkcount.gov'; 'alambert@hampton.gov'; 'rpatten@williamsburgva.gov'; 'saylesc@portsmouthva.gov'; 'fraser.picard@norfolk.gov'; 'jjesen@vbgov.com'; 'mhargrave@nngov.com'; 'khazewood@james-cityva.us'; 'ellen.roberts@poquoson-va.gov'; 'wsaunders@smithfieldva.gov'; 'splyler@southamptoncounty.org'; 'mmoneype@CityOfChesapeake.Net'; 'jhutcheson@fmauthority.com'; 'susan.collins@vsp.virginia.gov'; 'jtdavis@city.suffolk.va.us'; 'Vidal.Calderon@cox.com'; 'lgunn@CityOfChesapeake.Net'; 'Shapiro, Steve'; 'wwhitehurst@suffolkva.us'; 'wjbelle@earthlink.net'; 'smills@suffolkva.us'; 'enielsen@suffolkva.us'; 'jrvick@sentara.com'; 'legiard@hrsd.com'; 'office@townofnorfolk.com'; 'dgoodwin@franklinva.com'; 'rpace@franklinva.com'; 'mstoneham@franklinva.com'; 'mcarr@franklinva.com'; 'twitt@franklinpolice.org'; 'jtdodson@franklinva.com'; 'swatson@franklinva.com'; 'cpollard@franklinva.com'; 'jsherman@franklinva.com'; 'wcobb@franklinva.com'; 'pwlison@franklinpolice.org'; 'towns@poquoson-va.gov'; 'cbowen@poquoson-va.gov'; 'rholloway@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Jerry.Cagle@poquoson-va.gov'; 'jbeach@ci.poquoson-va.gov'; 'rspeech@poquoson-va.gov'; 'angela.costello@post.harvard.edu'; 'Amy.Blow@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Randy.Wheeler@poquoson-va.gov'; 'rbellamy@poquoson-va.gov'; 'occmapple6@cox.net'; 'Debbie.Vest@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Karen.Holloway@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Lisa.Holloway@poquoson-va.gov'; 'John.Young@poquoson-va.gov'; 'jctvmi@cox.net'; 'dlenagel1@verizon.net'; 'ksomerset@poquoson-va.gov'; 'pward@poquoson-va.gov'; 'disasters@ypcdcross.org'; 'kmoore@poquoson-va.gov'; 'John.Sadler (sadler@hrpdva.gov); 'Sara Kidd'; Dawn Brantley (dbrantley@hrpdva.gov); 'matthew.wall@cdem.virginia.gov'; Charley Banks (Charley.Banks@cdcr.virginia.gov); Stroud, David A (david.stroud@amecwf.com); 'Joe Turner'; bmcFarlane@hrpdva.gov; 'McCullough, Matthew'; 'McWaters, Paulette'; michelle.l.hamor (michelle.l.hamor@usace.army.mil)
Subject: REPEATED: Poquoson Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting and Public Meeting TODAY!
Date: Monday, June 15, 2014 10:15:00 AM
Attachments: jmc0615ans

Hazard Mitigation Planning Meeting today:

VERY BRIEF PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING – 2PM – COUNCIL CHAMBERS – We will review the mitigation actions in the attached Section 7 of the plan to be presented to the public at 4pm. Please review prior to the meeting, if possible. Please pay particular attention to yellow highlighted missing info and to the action priorities.

PUBLIC MEETING – 4PM - COUNCIL CHAMBERS – if any of the committee members can be present, your support would be appreciated.

Hope to see you!
Leigh


Salter's Creek
CONSULTING
LEIGH CHAPMAN, CFM
1 Peak Street | Hampton VA 23669
Phone (757) 726-9540 | Mobile (757) 593-0250

SIGN-IN SHEET
HAMPTON ROADS HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN UPDATE
PUBLIC MEETING #2, SMITHFIELD, VA
MONDAY, MARCH 2, 2014

NAME	AGENCY	PHONE #	E-MAIL
Henry Newcomb	Norfolk Planning		
George Horwood	Norfolk Planning		
Bobby Tolan	Norfolk Planning		
Erin Sullivan	VBCEM		
Dawn Brantley	HARPC		
St. Onofre	Norfolk O&M		
Bill Rhonda Adkins	Home owner		
Heather Gordon	VBCEM		
Carol Bright			
James Horwood	ODU O&M		
BRIAN DONEGAN	NORFOLK RESIDENT		

ATTENDANCE

- 21 people were in attendance, including 2 interested members of the public. Attendance sheet is shown below.



Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Committee Meetings #1, #2, and #3 Minutes

October 21, 2015	Virginia Beach
October 22, 2015	Courtland
October 23, 2015	Williamsburg

AGENDA

- Opening Remarks and Introductions
- Review of Planning Process and Progress
- Critical and Non-Critical Hazards
- Next Meetings
- Discussion and Comment

MEETING NOTES

- Change the name of Hurricanes to Tropical Systems/Coastal Storms
- Add Hazardous Materials; consider adding terrorism and radiological threats; delete Biological hazards and Pandemic Flu
- Using building data to refine finished floor elevation in HAZUS may be possible; consultant to investigate
- Dam inundation hazard to be included under Flooding
- Discuss impacts of Winter Storms related to economic disruption, school closures, damage to vehicles and inoperable businesses
- Include Coastal Erosion hazard

INVITEES

Email invitations were issued to each of the following individuals. In addition, each primary contact for the communities forwarded the message and made additional phone calls to their staff and interested persons.

Meetings were also advertised on the HRPDC web site.

- | | |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">• John Sadler, HRPDC• Sara Kidd, HRPDC• Matt Wall, Va Dept of Emergency Management• Charley Banks, Va Dept of Conservation and Recreation• Joe Turner, HRPDC• Ben McFarlane, HRPDC• Matt McCullough, FEMA Region III• Michelle Hamor, US Army Corps of Engineers, Norfolk• Skip Stiles, Wetlands Watch• Mary-Carson Stiff, Wetlands Watch• Jim Judkins, Suffolk• Andrea Clontz, Isle of Wight• Michael Stallings, Town of Windsor• Paul Long, York County | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Gwen Pointer, Hampton• Sara Ruch, Hampton• Hui-Shan Walker, Hampton• Pat Dent, Williamsburg• Vince Holt, Franklin• Spence Campbell, Portsmouth• Jim Redick, Norfolk• Rob Braidwood, Chesapeake• Martha Burns, Chesapeake• Erin Sutton, Virginia Beach• George Glazner, Newport News• Louis Bott, Newport News• Kate Hale, James City County• Michael Bryant, Poquoson• William Saunders, Smithfield• Beth Lewis, Southampton County |
|--|--|

- Town of Ivor, Sandy Vick, Mayor
- Town of Boykins, R.Spier Edwards, Jr., Mayor
- Town of Newsoms, Kenneth Cooke, Mayor
- Town of Courtland, Danny Williams, Mayor
- Town of Branchville, Preston Futrell, Mayor

- Town of Capron, Nick Kitchen, Mayor
- Josh Gillespie, Fort Monroe Authority
- K. Towner, College of William & Mary
- R. Nester, City of Williamsburg

Leigh Chapman

From: Leigh Chapman <leighmorgand@verizon.net>
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2015 11:14 AM
To: 'James T. Jenkins'; 'adonta@islesofwight.us.net'; 'metallings@windsor.va.gov'; 'Kopczynski, Stephen'; 'ggointer@hampton.gov'; 'sruch@hampton.gov'; 'Walker, Hui-Shan'; 'wdeint@williamsburgva.gov'; 'whols@landnwa.com'; 'Russell, B. K.'; 'James.reidick@norfolk.gov'; 'Tolan, Robert'; 'btsidewood@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'Martha.F.Burns'; 'Trin Sutton'; 'ggilman@nwa.gov'; 'tbor@nngov.com'; 'Kathleen Hale'; 'Michael Bryant'; 'vasanders@smithfieldva.gov'; 'jondrey@smithfieldva.gov'; 'Beth Lewis'; 'office@townofva.com'; 'boykins@townofboykinsva.com'; 'turdshumel@vadoc.virginia.gov'; 'kitchenawelding1@cs.com'; 'ggillespie@mauthority.com'; 'katowner@wm.edu'; 'mester@williamsburgva.gov'
Cc: 'John Sadler'; 'Sara Kidd'
Subject: Meeting Schedule - Updating the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan
Importance: High
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Greetings planners,

I am pleased to announce that we have set our schedule of meetings for the 2016 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update. Several of us have been working hard in the background on this project, and it's time to share what we have and gather your feedback.

Due to the large number of communities involved in this plan and the geographic area covered, we have provided a choice of three locations for each meeting. Your planning committee only needs to attend one meeting for each purpose. For example, Hampton's committee would likely choose HMPC 5, HMPC 6 and HMPC 9 to attend. It will help if I know which meetings your group plans to attend, but it's not mandatory. The most important meetings are in the "Workshop 2" group because that is when we will select the mitigation actions for your community moving forward. We will hold a Webinar in early 2016 to share the mitigation action plan with all of you.

Who should attend? These meetings are open to the public and will be advertised on the HRMPC website, but will not be advertised in the newspapers. These are the working meetings for each community's hazard mitigation planning committee and, as such, we need the committee members plus any stakeholders who you feel will contribute the most valuable knowledge and advice to the process. I recommend gathering a group of staff representing various departments, to include at a minimum: planning, codes compliance, emergency management, utilities, public information and engineering. You may also want to include parks & rec, school system, finance, manager/administration/elected officials, fire and police leaders, GIS, public health, housing/community development, local hospitals and colleges or universities, environmental groups, American Red Cross, CBRT members, economic development, homeowner's associations/clubs and risk management. I will handle invitations to regional utilities, regional governmental organizations, state and Federal agencies.

CRS communities have special considerations in composing their committee. I will be glad to help your community compose your committee, but please familiarize yourself with the elements of Activity 310, Floodplain Management Planning (pages 510-4 thru 510-38) first, because several items are mandatory. Failure to get sufficient points or meet some minimum requirements could be detrimental to your CRS program. In the CRS or contemplating CRS

1

includes: Norfolk, Portsmouth, Va Beach, Isle of Wight Co, Poquoson, Chesapeake, Hampton, Newport News, James City County, and York County.

Three important points for CRS communities:

1. Ensure that your community CRS Coordinator gets this email and helps put together your committee. Provide a list of your committee members to me so that I may take attendance at meetings.
2. Keep copies of invitations, logs of phone calls, or other evidence of who you invite to participate on the committee. This information must be provided to me for inclusion in the appendices.
3. CRS communities must send at least 2 representatives to the regional committee meetings. At least half of the community's representatives must attend all the meetings of the planning committee - meaning your 3 selected regional locations. In effect, there must be a quorum for you to get your work done.

Thank you for your careful consideration of the information in this email. Please contact me if you have questions or concerns. See you in October!

Leigh Chapman, CFM

Introduce the Hazard ID and Risk Assessment:

HMPC 1 Integrity Way	October 21, Va Beach	2 to 5pm	Law Enforcement Training Academy Cafeteria, 411
HMPC 2 Crt'r Dr	October 22, Courtland	9 to noon	Southampton Co Board Room, 26022 Administration
HMPC 3 Town	October 23, Williamsburg	9 to noon	Legacy Hall, 4301 New Town Avenue, (New

Workshop 1, Work on Goals/Objectives and Update Capabilities:

HMPC 4 High St	November 17, Portsmouth	1pm to 4pm	Social Services Bldg, Community Room, 4th floor, 1701
HMPC 5	November 18, Suffolk	9 to noon	Health and Human Services Building, 135 Hall Ave.
HMPC 6 Point Rd.	November 19, Newport News	9 to noon	Public Works/Stormwater Training Room, 511 Oyster

Workshop 2, Mitigation Actions:

HMPC 7	December 5, Chesapeake	1 to 5pm	Central Library, 230 Cedar Road
HMPC 8	December 10, Franklin	9am to 1pm	Workforce Center, Paul D. Camp Community College
HMPC 9	December 11, York Co	9am to 1pm	Tabb Library, 100 Long Green Blvd., Yorktown
HMPC 10	Webinar	TBD, early 2016	


Salter's Creek
Leigh M. Chapman, CFM

Leigh Chapman

From: Leigh Chapman <leigh.morgan2@verizon.net>
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2015 12:00 PM
To: 'Mary Carson 3519'; Howard, Amy (VDEM); 'Matthew McCullough@kmsadhs.gov'; 'Wal, Matthew (VDEM)'; 'michelle.hamon@usace.army.mil'; 'William Sammler@noaa.gov'; 'climatechange@nims.edu'; 'latkins@odu.edu'; 'Banks, Charley (DOT)'; 'Rene, Charles (DCR)'; 'susan.colim@rap.virginia.gov'; 'Jenski.Lack@usace.mil'; 'jrvick@ventura.com'; 'Chris.Hamill'; 'John.Sadler'; 'Sara Kidd'; 'Trin Sutton'; 'gplanner@noaa.gov'
Subject: Meeting Schedule - Updating the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan
Importance: High
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Greetings,

I am pleased to announce that we have set our schedule of meetings for the 2016 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update. Several of us have been working hard in the background on this project, and it's time to share what we have and gather your feedback.

Background: In an effort to reduce the Nation's mounting natural disaster losses, Congress passed the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA 2000). Section 322 of DMA 2000 requires that state and local governments develop a hazard mitigation plan in order to remain eligible for pre- and post-disaster mitigation funding. These funds include the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), Hazard Mitigation Assistance (HMA) and the Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM) program, which are administered by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Communities with an adopted and federally approved hazard mitigation plan are eligible for available mitigation funds before and after the next disaster strikes. The plan must be updated on a 5-year basis or after major disasters. This plan update is being prepared and updated in coordination with FEMA and the Virginia Department of Emergency Management (VDEM) to make certain it meets all applicable state and federal mitigation planning requirements. Hampton Roads Planning District Commission is in charge of the project and has contracted with Salter's Creek Consulting for the planning activities.

Meetings: Due to the large number of communities involved in this plan and the geographic area covered, we have provided a choice of three locations for each meeting. You only need to attend one meeting for each purpose. For example, Peninsula folks would likely choose HMPC 3, HMPC 6 and HMPC 9 to attend. The most important meetings are in the "Workshop 2" group because that is when we will select the mitigation actions for each community moving forward. We will hold a Webinar in early 2016 to share the mitigation action plan with all of you.

Who should attend? These meetings are open to the public and will be advertised on the HRPDC web site, but will not be advertised in the newspapers. These are the working meetings for each community's hazard mitigation planning committee and, as such, we need the committee members plus stakeholders who will contribute the most valuable knowledge and advice to the process. The group may include, at a minimum: planning, codes compliance, emergency management, utilities, public information and engineering. It may also include parks & rec, school system, finance, manager/administration/elected officials, fire and police leaders, GIS, public health, housing/community development, local hospitals and colleges or universities, environmental groups, American Red Cross, CERT members, economic development, homeowner's associations/clubs and risk management. We are also inviting regional utilities, regional governmental organizations, state and federal agencies.

1

Please contact me if you have questions or concerns, and feel free to share this email and invite other stakeholders. I hope to see you in October!

Leigh Chapman, CFM

Introduce the Hazard ID and Risk Assessment:

HMPC 1 Integrity Way	October 21, Va Beach	2 to 5pm	Law Enforcement Training Academy Cafeteria, 411
HMPC 2 Cntr Dr	October 22, Courtland	9 to noon	Southampton Co Board Room, 26022 Administration
HMPC 3 Town)	October 23, Williamsburg	9 to noon	Legacy Hall, 4301 New Town Avenue, (New

Workshop 1, Work on Goals/Objectives and Update Capabilities:

HMPC 4 High St	November 17, Portsmouth	1pm to 4pm	Social Services Bldg, Community Room, 4 th floor, 1701
HMPC 5	November 18, Suffolk	9 to noon	Health and Human Services Building, 135 Hall Ave.
HMPC 6 Point Rd.	November 19, Newport News	9 to noon	Public Works/Stormwater Training Room, 511 Oyster

Workshop 2, Mitigation Actions:

HMPC 7	December 9, Chesapeake	1 to 5pm	Central Library, 298 Cedar Road
HMPC 8	December 10, Franklin	9am to 1pm	Workforce Center, Paul D. Camp Community College
HMPC 9	December 11, York Co	9am to 1pm	Tabb Library, 100 Long Green Blvd., Yorktown

HMPC 10	Webinar	TBD, early 2016	
---------	---------	-----------------	--


Salter's Creek
Leigh M. Chapman, CFM
1 Peek Street
Hampton, Virginia 23669
Office (757) 726-9640
Mobile (757) 593-0150
leigh.morgan2@verizon.net





[REDACTED]

[illegible]

SIGN-IN SHEET
HAMPTON ROADS HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN UPDATE
HMPC MEETING #1, VIRGINIA BEACH, VA

NAME	AGENCY	PHONE #	E-MAIL
Kim Tinnersty	City of Ches mitigation corp. Beach		
Meg Pittenger	Portsmouth Floodplain Management		
Ted Garty	Chesapeake DPU		
Stuart Spatz	Chesapeake Mitigation Board		
Chris Meyer	CITY OF VA BEACH GIS		
Bob Gyle	VBODH		
Almadre Planel	VB Public Utilities		
Mike Rowan	VBPD/Spec Ops		
Scott Smith	City of Norfolk Pw		
Phil ROETHS	CITY OF VA BEACH		
TODD JONES	" "		
MARLEHA BURNS	Chesapeake		
Robb Braidwood	Chesapeake		

SIGN-IN SHEET
 HAMPTON ROADS HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN UPDATE
 HMPC MEETING #1, VIRGINIA BEACH, VA

NAME	AGENCY	PHONE #	E-MAIL
BRIAN RUSSELL	POSS D.E.M.		
PATRICK HUGHES	CITY OF CHES.		
David Hartzog	City of Va. Beach		
JEFF RODRIGUEZ	CITY OF VB.		
BEN MCGARR	HRPDC		
Andres Gayer	HRPDC		
James Baker	VBPB-1st pct		
Barbara Duke	VB PAR		
Chris Harvey	MTRC		
Emily Frye	MIRE		

org

[REDACTED]

[illegible]

SIGN-IN SHEET
HAMPTON ROADS HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN UPDATE
HMPC MEETING #2, COURTLAND, VA

NAME	AGENCY	PHONE #	E-MAIL
Ricky Blunt	SCPS		
Deria Binetsky	Branchville Town Council		
Spier Edward	Town of Boykins		
Peggy Cheek	DSS		
HART Council	SOUTHAMPTON County		
Bob Crook	So. Co.		
Michelle Stivers	So. Co. DSS		
Steve WATSON	CITY OF FRANKLIN		
Preston Fittrell	Town of BRANCHVILLE		
Stanley Skinner	Suffolk		
John Cooke	WTHD		

RECEIVED AT THE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
JANUARY 10 1964

RECEIVED

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

SIGN-IN SHEET
HAMPTON ROADS HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN UPDATE
HMPC MEETING #3, WILLIAMSBURG, VA
FRIDAY, OCTOBER 23, 2015

NAME	AGENCY	PHONE #	E-MAIL
Jim Disitner	York Co		
LeeAnn Hartmann	Wmsbg		
Shelley Winegrad	BayPort CU		
Rona Stone	Colonial Williamsburg		
PAT DENT	City of Williamsburg		
Sara Ruch	Hampton OEM		
Mike Bryant	Pogueson fire		
John Young	District		
Michelle Hamor	USACE Norfolk		
Carolyn Murphy	WmBg		
DAN CLAYTON	WMSBG		
TOM COLHILL	JAMES CITY CO.		
STEVE KOPCZYNSKI	York Co Fire/Life Safety		
Kent Henkel	York County		
Al Maddalena	York County		
DANIEL MCCORMICK	Hampton		

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Committee Mitigation Workshop #1 Minutes

November 17, 2015 Portsmouth
November 18, 2015 Suffolk
November 19, 2015 Newport News

AGENDA

- Opening Remarks and Introductions
- Review of Planning Process and Progress
- Plan Goals & Objectives
- Updating Community Capabilities
- Next Meetings

MEETING NOTES

- Remove reference to “structure and non-structural mitigation” because actions can be both
- Focus on sustainability and maintaining government services and return to normalcy post-event
- Rather than trying to list all of the folks impacted by hazards, just say “the community”
- Open space isn’t necessarily natural open space, and discussion as to how to reword objective 1.4 continued on this topic.
- Simplify goal 2 to express need to inform community about hazard, not using terms like vulnerability – can’t increase understanding, but can provide information
- Highlighting mitigation actions should be in the action plan, not as goal
- Strengthen and develop partnerships, but don’t name specific organizations
- Integrate mitigation into daily standards
- During the meetings, real-time changes were made to the goals and objectives to reflect community priorities.

INVITEES

Email invitations were issued to each of the following individuals. In addition, each primary contact for the communities forwarded the message and made additional phone calls to their staff and interested persons. Meetings were also advertised on the HRPDC web site.

- John Sadler, HRPDC
- Sara Kidd, HRPDC
- Matt Wall, Va Dept of Emergency Management
- Charley Banks, Va Dept of Conservation and Recreation
- Joe Turner, HRPDC
- Ben McFarlane, HRPDC
- Matt McCullough, FEMA Region III
- Michelle Hamor, US Army Corps of Engineers, Norfolk
- Skip Stiles, Wetlands Watch
- Mary-Carson Stiff, Wetlands Watch
- Jim Judkins, Suffolk
- Andrea Clontz, Isle of Wight
- Michael Stallings, Town of Windsor
- Paul Long, York County
- Gwen Pointer, Hampton
- Sara Ruch, Hampton
- Hui-Shan Walker, Hampton
- Pat Dent, Williamsburg
- Vince Holt, Franklin
- Spence Campbell, Portsmouth
- Jim Redick, Norfolk
- Rob Braidwood, Chesapeake
- Martha Burns, Chesapeake
- Erin Sutton, Virginia Beach
- George Glazner, Newport News
- Louis Bott, Newport News

- Kate Hale, James City County
- Michael Bryant, Poquoson
- William Saunders, Smithfield
- Beth Lewis, Southampton County
- Town of Ivor, Sandy Vick, Mayor
- Town of Boykins, R. Spier Edwards, Jr., Mayor
- Town of Newsoms, Kenneth Cooke, Mayor

- Town of Courtland, Danny Williams, Mayor
- Town of Branchville, Preston Futrell, Mayor
- Town of Capron, Nick Kitchen, Mayor
- Josh Gillespie, Fort Monroe Authority
- K. Towner, College of William & Mary
- R. Nester, City of Williamsburg

Leigh Chapman

From: Leigh Chapman <leighmorgand@verizon.net>
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2015 11:14 AM
To: 'James T. Jenkins'; 'adonta@islesofwight.us.net'; 'metallings@windsor.va.gov'; 'Kopczynski, Stephen'; 'ggointer@hampton.gov'; 'sruch@hampton.gov'; 'Walker, Hui-Shan'; 'wdeint@williamsburgva.gov'; 'whols@landnwa.com'; 'Russell, B. K.'; 'James.reidick@norfolk.gov'; 'Tolan, Robert'; 'btsidewood@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'Martha.F.Burns'; 'Trin Sutton'; 'ggilman@nwa.gov'; 'tbor@nngov.com'; 'Kathleen Hale'; 'Michael Bryant'; 'vsanders@smithfieldva.gov'; 'jondrey@smithfieldva.gov'; 'Beth Lewis'; 'office@townofva.com'; 'boykins@townofboykinsva.com'; 'turdshumel@vadoc.virginia.gov'; 'kitchenawelding1@cs.com'; 'ggillespie@mauthority.com'; 'katowner@wm.edu'; 'mester@williamsburgva.gov'
Cc: 'John Sadler'; 'Sara Kidd'
Subject: Meeting Schedule - Updating the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan
Importance: High
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Greetings planners,

I am pleased to announce that we have set our schedule of meetings for the 2016 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update. Several of us have been working hard in the background on this project, and it's time to share what we have and gather your feedback.

Due to the large number of communities involved in this plan and the geographic area covered, we have provided a choice of three locations for each meeting. Your planning committee only needs to attend one meeting for each purpose. For example, Hampton's committee would likely choose HMPC 5, HMPC 6 and HMPC 9 to attend. It will help if I know which meetings your group plans to attend, but it's not mandatory. The most important meetings are in the "Workshop 2" group because that is when we will select the mitigation actions for your community moving forward. We will hold a Webinar in early 2016 to share the mitigation action plan with all of you.

Who should attend? These meetings are open to the public and will be advertised on the HRMPC website, but will not be advertised in the newspapers. These are the working meetings for each community's hazard mitigation planning committee and, as such, we need the committee members plus any stakeholders who you feel will contribute the most valuable knowledge and advice to the process. I recommend gathering a group of staff representing various departments, to include at a minimum: planning, codes compliance, emergency management, utilities, public information and engineering. You may also want to include parks & rec, school system, finance, manager/administration/elected officials, fire and police leaders, GIS, public health, housing/community development, local hospitals and colleges or universities, environmental groups, American Red Cross, CBRT members, economic development, homeowner's associations/clubs and risk management. I will handle invitations to regional utilities, regional governmental organizations, state and Federal agencies.

CRS communities have special considerations in composing their committee. I will be glad to help your community compose your committee, but please familiarize yourself with the elements of Activity 310, Floodplain Management Planning (pages 510-4 thru 510-38) first, because several items are mandatory. Failure to get sufficient points or meet some minimum requirements could be detrimental to your CRS program. In the CRS or contemplating CRS

1

includes: Norfolk, Portsmouth, Va Beach, Isle of Wight Co, Poquoson, Chesapeake, Hampton, Newport News, James City County, and York County.

Three important points for CRS communities:

1. Ensure that your community CRS Coordinator gets this email and helps put together your committee. Provide a list of your committee members to me so that I may take attendance at meetings.
2. Keep copies of invitations, logs of phone calls, or other evidence of who you invite to participate on the committee. This information must be provided to me for inclusion in the appendices.
3. CRS communities must send at least 2 representatives to the regional committee meetings. At least half of the community's representatives must attend all the meetings of the planning committee - meaning your 3 selected regional locations. In effect, there must be a quorum for you to get your work done.

Thank you for your careful consideration of the information in this email. Please contact me if you have questions or concerns. See you in October!

Leigh Chapman, CFM

Introduce the Hazard ID and Risk Assessment:

HMPC 1 Integrity Way	October 21, Va Beach	2 to 5pm	Law Enforcement Training Academy Cafeteria, 411
HMPC 2 Crt'r Dr	October 22, Courtland	9 to noon	Southampton Co Board Room, 26022 Administration
HMPC 3 Town	October 23, Williamsburg	9 to noon	Legacy Hall, 4301 New Town Avenue, (New

Workshop 1, Work on Goals/Objectives and Update Capabilities:

HMPC 4 High St	November 17, Portsmouth	1pm to 4pm	Social Services Bldg, Community Room, 4th floor, 1701
HMPC 5	November 18, Suffolk	9 to noon	Health and Human Services Building, 135 Hall Ave.
HMPC 6 Point Rd.	November 19, Newport News	9 to noon	Public Works/Stormwater Training Room, 511 Oyster

Workshop 2, Mitigation Actions:

HMPC 7	December 5, Chesapeake	1 to 5pm	Central Library, 230 Cedar Road
HMPC 8	December 10, Franklin	9am to 1pm	Workforce Center, Paul D. Camp Community College
HMPC 9	December 11, York Co	9am to 1pm	Tabb Library, 100 Long Green Blvd., Yorktown
HMPC 10	Webinar	TBD, early 2016	


Salter's Creek
Leigh M. Chapman, CFM

Leigh Chapman

From: Leigh Chapman <leigh.morgan2@verizon.net>
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2015 12:00 PM
To: 'Mary Carson 3519'; Howard, Amy (VDEM); 'Matthew McCullough@kmsadhs.gov'; 'Wal, Matthew (VDEM)'; 'michelle.hamon@usace.army.mil'; 'William Sammler@noaa.gov'; 'climatechange@nims.edu'; 'latimco@odu.edu'; 'Banks, Charley (DOT)'; 'Rene, Charles (DCR)'; 'susan.colim@rap.virginia.gov'; 'Jenski.Lacko@usace.mil'; 'jrvick@ventura.com'; 'Chris.Hamill'; 'John.Sadler'; 'Sara Kidd'; 'Trin Sutton'; 'gplanner@noaa.gov'
Subject: Meeting Schedule - Updating the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan
Importance: High
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Greetings,

I am pleased to announce that we have set our schedule of meetings for the 2016 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update. Several of us have been working hard in the background on this project, and it's time to share what we have and gather your feedback.

Background: In an effort to reduce the Nation's mounting natural disaster losses, Congress passed the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA 2000). Section 322 of DMA 2000 requires that state and local governments develop a hazard mitigation plan in order to remain eligible for pre- and post-disaster mitigation funding. These funds include the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), Hazard Mitigation Assistance (HMA) and the Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM) program, which are administered by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Communities with an adopted and federally approved hazard mitigation plan are eligible for available mitigation funds before and after the next disaster strikes. The plan must be updated on a 5-year basis or after major disasters. This plan update is being prepared and updated in coordination with FEMA and the Virginia Department of Emergency Management (VDEM) to make certain it meets all applicable state and federal mitigation planning requirements. Hampton Roads Planning District Commission is in charge of the project and has contracted with Salter's Creek Consulting for the planning activities.

Meetings: Due to the large number of communities involved in this plan and the geographic area covered, we have provided a choice of three locations for each meeting. You only need to attend one meeting for each purpose. For example, Peninsula folks would likely choose HMPC 3, HMPC 6 and HMPC 9 to attend. The most important meetings are in the "Workshop 2" group because that is when we will select the mitigation actions for each community moving forward. We will hold a Webinar in early 2016 to share the mitigation action plan with all of you.

Who should attend? These meetings are open to the public and will be advertised on the HRPDC web site, but will not be advertised in the newspapers. These are the working meetings for each community's hazard mitigation planning committee and, as such, we need the committee members plus stakeholders who will contribute the most valuable knowledge and advice to the process. The group may include, at a minimum: planning, codes compliance, emergency management, utilities, public information and engineering. It may also include parks & rec, school system, finance, manager/administration/elected officials, fire and police leaders, GIS, public health, housing/community development, local hospitals and colleges or universities, environmental groups, American Red Cross, CERT members, economic development, homeowner's associations/clubs and risk management. We are also inviting regional utilities, regional governmental organizations, state and federal agencies.

1

Please contact me if you have questions or concerns, and feel free to share this email and invite other stakeholders. I hope to see you in October!

Leigh Chapman, CFM

Introduce the Hazard ID and Risk Assessment:

HMPC 1 Integrity Way	October 21, Va Beach	2 to 5pm	Law Enforcement Training Academy Cafeteria, 411
HMPC 2 Cntr Dr	October 22, Courtland	9 to noon	Southampton Co Board Room, 26022 Administration
HMPC 3 Town)	October 23, Williamsburg	9 to noon	Legacy Hall, 4301 New Town Avenue, (New

Workshop 1, Work on Goals/Objectives and Update Capabilities:

HMPC 4 High St	November 17, Portsmouth	1pm to 4pm	Social Services Bldg, Community Room, 4 th floor, 1701
HMPC 5	November 18, Suffolk	9 to noon	Health and Human Services Building, 135 Hall Ave.
HMPC 6 Point Rd.	November 19, Newport News	9 to noon	Public Works/Stormwater Training Room, 511 Oyster

Workshop 2, Mitigation Actions:

HMPC 7	December 9, Chesapeake	1 to 5pm	Central Library, 298 Cedar Road
HMPC 8	December 10, Franklin	9am to 1pm	Workforce Center, Paul D. Camp Community College
HMPC 9	December 11, York Co	9am to 1pm	Tabb Library, 100 Long Green Blvd., Yorktown

HMPC 10	Webinar	TBD, early 2016	
---------	---------	-----------------	--


Salter's Creek
Leigh M. Chapman, CFM
1 Peek Street
Hampton, Virginia 23669
Office (757) 726-9640
Mobile (757) 593-0150
leigh.morgan2@verizon.net



[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

SIGN-IN SHEET
HAMPTON ROADS HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN UPDATE
WORKSHOP #1 (HMPC 6), NEWPORT NEWS, VA
THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 19, 2015

NAME	AGENCY	PHONE #	E-MAIL
Josiah Terrey	Town Smithfield		
Hui Shan Walker	Hampton		
Karen Stone	Colonial Williamsburg		
Daniel McBride	Newport News		
Jim Disamer	York Co		
Gwen Pointer	Hampton		
PAT DENT	City of Williamsburg		
Clairborn Phillips	Cock Co.		
Christy Parrish	James City Co		
Kenny Holloway	Newport News		
GAYLE HICKS	HAMPTON		
Matt Smith	Hampton		
Kate Hale	Joe		

SIGN-IN SHEET
HAMPTON ROADS HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN UPDATE
WORKSHOP #1 (HMPC 6), NEWPORT NEWS, VA
THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 19, 2015

NAME	AGENCY	PHONE #	E-MAIL
George Glazner	Newport News En		
Kent Henkel	York County		
AMY PARTER	YORK COUNTY		
Fred Gaskin's	Hampton		
Christine Tomblason	VIMS		
DARREL COOK	James City Co		
Lee Ann Hartmann	Wmsbg		
DAN CLAYTON	"		
Steve Kopczynski	Gore Co		
Mohammad H. Shar	City of Newport New		
Chris Kearney	MURFISONS		
Lambert	Hampton		

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Committee Mitigation Workshop #2 Minutes

December 9, Chesapeake

December 10, Franklin

December 11, York County (Tabb Library)

AGENDA

- Opening Remarks and Introductions
- Review of Plan Status
- Review Existing Mitigation Actions and Update Status
- Review Consultant's Recommended Mitigation Actions
- Review Types of Mitigation Strategies and Discuss Appropriateness of Each
- Fill Out Mitigation Action Forms for New or Revised Mitigation Actions – STAPLEE evaluation method to determine if proposed mitigation actions are socially acceptable, technically feasible, administratively possible, politically acceptable, legal, economically sound, and environmentally sound.

MEETING NOTES

- Include a hazard component in HR Green – for regional mitigation action
- Group supports adding collection of sidescan LIDAR data for lowest floor data for flood-prone structures regionally
- Regional multi-hazard tournament proposed and discussed
- CRS region PPI discussed
- Group requested until after New Year to submit mitigation actions to consultant
- Discussion of new dam requirements at state level and new classifications at Federal level
- Discussion continued at each meeting regarding specific community ideas for mitigation actions or review of existing actions and opportunities for revision of existing actions

INVITEES

Email invitations and reminders were issued to each of the following individuals. In addition, each primary contact for the communities forwarded the message and made additional phone calls to their staff and interested persons. Meetings were also advertised on the HRPDC web site.

- | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| • John Sadler, HRPDC | • Michael Stallings, Town of Windsor |
| • Sara Kidd, HRPDC | • Paul Long, York County |
| • Matt Wall, Va Dept of Emergency Management | • Gwen Pointer , Hampton |
| • Charley Banks, Va Dept of Conservation and Recreation | • Sara Ruch , Hampton |
| • Joe Turner, HRPDC | • Hui-Shan Walker, Hampton |
| • Ben McFarlane, HRPDC | • Pat Dent , Williamsburg |
| • Matt McCullough, FEMA Region III | • Vince Holt, Franklin |
| • Michelle Hamor, US Army Corps of Engineers, Norfolk | • Spence Campbell , Portsmouth |
| • Skip Stiles, Wetlands Watch | • Jim Redick , Norfolk |
| • Mary-Carson Stiff, Wetlands Watch | • Rob Braidwood , Chesapeake |
| • Jim Judkins , Suffolk | • Martha Burns, Chesapeake |
| • Andrea Clontz, Isle of Wight | • Erin Sutton , Virginia Beach |
| | • George Glazner , Newport News |
| | • Louis Bott, Newport News |
| | • Kate Hale, James City County |

- Michael Bryant, Poquoson
- William Saunders, Smithfield
- Beth Lewis, Southampton County
- Town of Ivor, Sandy Vick, Mayor
- Town of Boykins, R. Spier Edwards, Jr., Mayor
- Town of Newsoms, Kenneth Cooke, Mayor

- Town of Courtland, Danny Williams, Mayor
- Town of Branchville, Preston Futrell, Mayor
- Town of Capron, Nick Kitchen, Mayor
- Josh Gillespie, Fort Monroe Authority
- K. Towner, College of William & Mary
- R. Nester, City of Williamsburg

Leigh Chapman

From: Leigh Chapman <leighmorgand@verizon.net>
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2015 11:14 AM
To: 'James T. Jenkins'; 'adonta@islesofwight.us.net'; 'metallings@windsor.va.gov'; 'Kopczynski, Stephen'; 'ggointer@hamilton.gov'; 'sruch@hamilton.gov'; 'Walker, Hui-Shan'; 'wdeint@williamsburgva.gov'; 'whols@landnwa.com'; 'Russell, B. K.'; 'James.reidick@norfolk.gov'; 'Tolan, Robert'; 'btsidewood@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'Martha.F.Burns'; 'Trin Sutton'; 'ggilman@nwa.gov'; 'tbor@nngov.com'; 'Kathleen Hale'; 'Michael Bryant'; 'vasanders@smithfieldva.gov'; 'jondrey@smithfieldva.gov'; 'Beth Lewis'; 'office@townofva.com'; 'boykins@townofboykinsva.com'; 'turdofumel@vadoc.virginia.gov'; 'kitchenawelding1@cs.com'; 'ggillespie@mauthority.com'; 'katowner@wm.edu'; 'mester@williamsburgva.gov'
Cc: 'John Sadler'; 'Sara Kidd'
Subject: Meeting Schedule - Updating the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan
Importance: High
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Greetings planners,

I am pleased to announce that we have set our schedule of meetings for the 2016 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update. Several of us have been working hard in the background on this project, and it's time to share what we have and gather your feedback.

Due to the large number of communities involved in this plan and the geographic area covered, we have provided a choice of three locations for each meeting. Your planning committee only needs to attend one meeting for each purpose. For example, Hampton's committee would likely choose HMPC 5, HMPC 6 and HMPC 9 to attend. It will help if I know which meetings your group plans to attend, but it's not mandatory. The most important meetings are in the "Workshop 2" group because that is when we will select the mitigation actions for your community moving forward. We will hold a Webinar in early 2016 to share the mitigation action plan with all of you.

Who should attend? These meetings are open to the public and will be advertised on the HRMPC website, but will not be advertised in the newspapers. These are the working meetings for each community's hazard mitigation planning committee and, as such, we need the committee members plus any stakeholders who you feel will contribute the most valuable knowledge and advice to the process. I recommend gathering a group of staff representing various departments, to include at a minimum: planning, codes compliance, emergency management, utilities, public information and engineering. You may also want to include parks & rec, school system, finance, manager/administration/elected officials, fire and police leaders, GIS, public health, housing/community development, local hospitals and colleges or universities, environmental groups, American Red Cross, CBRT members, economic development, homeowner's associations/clubs and risk management. I will handle invitations to regional utilities, regional governmental organizations, state and Federal agencies.

CRS communities have special considerations in composing their committee. I will be glad to help your community compose your committee, but please familiarize yourself with the elements of Activity 310, Floodplain Management Planning (pages 510-4 thru 510-38) first, because several items are mandatory. Failure to get sufficient points or meet some minimum requirements could be detrimental to your CRS program. In the CRS or contemplating CRS

1

includes: Norfolk, Portsmouth, Va Beach, Isle of Wight Co, Poquoson, Chesapeake, Hampton, Newport News, James City County, and York County.

Three important points for CRS communities:

1. Ensure that your community CRS Coordinator gets this email and helps put together your committee. Provide a list of your committee members to me so that I may take attendance at meetings.
2. Keep copies of invitations, logs of phone calls, or other evidence of who you invite to participate on the committee. This information must be provided to me for inclusion in the appendices.
3. CRS communities must send at least 2 representatives to the regional committee meetings. At least half of the community's representatives must attend all the meetings of the planning committee - meaning your 3 selected regional locations. In effect, there must be a quorum for you to get your work done.

Thank you for your careful consideration of the information in this email. Please contact me if you have questions or concerns. See you in October!

Leigh Chapman, CFM

Introduce the Hazard ID and Risk Assessment:

HMPC 1 Integrity Way	October 21, Va Beach	2 to 5pm	Law Enforcement Training Academy Cafeteria, 411
HMPC 2 Crt'r Dr	October 22, Courtland	9 to noon	Southampton Co Board Room, 26022 Administration
HMPC 3 Town	October 23, Williamsburg	9 to noon	Legacy Hall, 4301 New Town Avenue, (New

Workshop 1, Work on Goals/Objectives and Update Capabilities:

HMPC 4 High St	November 17, Portsmouth	1pm to 4pm	Social Services Bldg, Community Room, 4th floor, 1701
HMPC 5	November 18, Suffolk	9 to noon	Health and Human Services Building, 135 Hall Ave.
HMPC 6 Point Rd.	November 19, Newport News	9 to noon	Public Works/Stormwater Training Room, 511 Oyster

Workshop 2, Mitigation Actions:

HMPC 7	December 5, Chesapeake	1 to 5pm	Central Library, 230 Cedar Road
HMPC 8	December 10, Franklin	9am to 1pm	Workforce Center, Paul D. Camp Community College
HMPC 9	December 11, York Co	9am to 1pm	Tabb Library, 100 Long Green Blvd., Yorktown
HMPC 10	Webinar	TBD, early 2016	


Salter's Creek
Leigh M. Chapman, CFM

Leigh Chapman

From: Leigh Chapman <leigh.morgan2@verizon.net>
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2015 12:00 PM
To: 'Mary Carson 3519'; Howard, Amy (VDEM); 'Matthew McCullough@kmsadhs.gov'; 'Wal, Matthew (VDEM)'; 'michelle.hamon@usace.army.mil'; 'William Sammler@noaa.gov'; 'climatechange@nims.edu'; 'latkins@odu.edu'; 'Banks, Charley (DOT)'; 'Rene, Charles (DCR)'; 'susan.colim@rap.virginia.gov'; 'Jenski.Lack@usace.mil'; 'jrvick@ventura.com'; 'Chris.Hamill'; 'John.Sadler'; 'Sara Kidd'; 'Trin Sutton'; 'gplanner@noaa.gov'
Subject: Meeting Schedule - Updating the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan
Importance: High
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Greetings,

I am pleased to announce that we have set our schedule of meetings for the 2016 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update. Several of us have been working hard in the background on this project, and it's time to share what we have and gather your feedback.

Background: In an effort to reduce the Nation's mounting natural disaster losses, Congress passed the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA 2000). Section 322 of DMA 2000 requires that state and local governments develop a hazard mitigation plan in order to remain eligible for pre- and post-disaster mitigation funding. These funds include the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), Hazard Mitigation Assistance (HMA) and the Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM) program, which are administered by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Communities with an adopted and federally approved hazard mitigation plan are eligible for available mitigation funds before and after the next disaster strikes. The plan must be updated on a 5-year basis or after major disasters. This plan update is being prepared and updated in coordination with FEMA and the Virginia Department of Emergency Management (VDEM) to make certain it meets all applicable state and federal mitigation planning requirements. Hampton Roads Planning District Commission is in charge of the project and has contracted with Salter's Creek Consulting for the planning activities.

Meetings: Due to the large number of communities involved in this plan and the geographic area covered, we have provided a choice of three locations for each meeting. You only need to attend one meeting for each purpose. For example, Peninsula folks would likely choose HMPC 3, HMPC 6 and HMPC 9 to attend. The most important meetings are in the "Workshop 2" group because that is when we will select the mitigation actions for each community moving forward. We will hold a Webinar in early 2016 to share the mitigation action plan with all of you.

Who should attend? These meetings are open to the public and will be advertised on the HRPDC web site, but will not be advertised in the newspapers. These are the working meetings for each community's hazard mitigation planning committee and, as such, we need the committee members plus stakeholders who will contribute the most valuable knowledge and advice to the process. The group may include, at a minimum: planning, codes compliance, emergency management, utilities, public information and engineering. It may also include parks & rec, school system, finance, manager/administration/elected officials, fire and police leaders, GIS, public health, housing/community development, local hospitals and colleges or universities, environmental groups, American Red Cross, CERT members, economic development, homeowner's associations/clubs and risk management. We are also inviting regional utilities, regional governmental organizations, state and federal agencies.

1

Please contact me if you have questions or concerns, and feel free to share this email and invite other stakeholders. I hope to see you in October!

Leigh Chapman, CFM

Introduce the Hazard ID and Risk Assessment:

HMPC 1 Integrity Way	October 21, Va Beach	2 to 5pm	Law Enforcement Training Academy Cafeteria, 411
HMPC 2 Cntr Dr	October 22, Courtland	9 to noon	Southampton Co Board Room, 26022 Administration
HMPC 3 Town)	October 23, Williamsburg	9 to noon	Legacy Hall, 4301 New Town Avenue, (New

Workshop 1, Work on Goals/Objectives and Update Capabilities:

HMPC 4 High St	November 17, Portsmouth	1pm to 4pm	Social Services Bldg, Community Room, 4 th floor, 1701
HMPC 5	November 18, Suffolk	9 to noon	Health and Human Services Building, 135 Hall Ave.
HMPC 6 Point Rd.	November 19, Newport News	9 to noon	Public Works/Stormwater Training Room, 511 Oyster

Workshop 2, Mitigation Actions:

HMPC 7	December 9, Chesapeake	1 to 5pm	Central Library, 298 Cedar Road
HMPC 8	December 10, Franklin	9am to 1pm	Workforce Center, Paul D. Camp Community College
HMPC 9	December 11, York Co	9am to 1pm	Tabb Library, 100 Long Green Blvd., Yorktown

HMPC 10	Webinar	TBD, early 2016	
---------	---------	-----------------	--


Salter's Creek
Leigh M. Chapman, CFM
1 Peek Street
Hampton, Virginia 23669
Office (757) 726-9640
Mobile (757) 593-0150
leigh.morgan2@verizon.net





1. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1997; 277: 103-107.

[illegible]

[illegible]



SIGN-IN SHEET
 HAMPTON ROADS HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN UPDATE
 WORKSHOP #2 (HMPC 8), FRANKLIN, VA
 THURSDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2015

NAME	AGENCY	PHONE #	E-MAIL
Kim E. Hummel	TOW		
ARTHUR BERKLEY	TOW		
Steve Hatcher	FOW		
Andrea Gonth	TOW		
Michael Stallings	Windsor		
Sosun Jendrey	Smithfield		
David A. Kozma	I.O.W.		
Vince Holt	Franklin City		
Cindy Darden	American Pro Cess		

022
1

[illegible]


SIGN-IN SHEET
HAMPTON ROADS HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN UPDATE
WORKSHOP #2 (HMPC 9), YORK COUNTY, VA
FRIDAY, DECEMBER 11, 2015

NAME	AGENCY	PHONE #	E-MAIL
Jim Dismore	YORK CO		
Christy Parrish	James City Co		
Matt Smith	Hampton		
Shep Moon	Var. CZM Program		
Mike Bryant	Fog uson		
Steve Karpzinski	YORK CO		
Matt Westheimer	City of Williamsburg		
Fred Gaskins	Hampton		
JONATHAN McSKEDE	HAMPTON		
Frank J. James	City of Newport News		
Jay Bowden	Newport News		



SIGN-IN SHEET
HAMPTON ROADS HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN UPDATE
WORKSHOP #2 (HMPC 9), YORK COUNTY, VA
FRIDAY, DECEMBER 11, 2015

NAME	AGENCY	PHONE #	E-MAIL
Steve Shapiro	Hampton		
GARLE Hicks	HAMPTON		
Claiborn Phillips	Rock		
Michelle Hamor	USACE		
Kent Henkel	York County		
Carolyn Murphy	Winco		
AMY PARKER	YORK		
Christine Tombsen	VIMS		
Hui-Shan Walker	Hampton		
Kenny Holloway	Newport News		
TOM COGHEIL	JAMES CITY		
Daniel McBride	Newport News		
George Colarner	Newsp News		

[illegible]



REGIONAL FORECAST **AccuWeather.com** **NATIONAL FORECAST**



VHS THE NEWPORT
A member of the Virginia Health Services family

Where *Luxury* meets *Quality* Rehabilitative Care.

At Virginia Health Services, we're proud to introduce the **rehabilitation wing of The Newport**, featuring:

- **Luxuriously appointed, all-private rooms overlooking Mariners' Museum Park**
- **Private dining and sitting areas**
- **The highest rated convalescent and rehabilitative care from our friendly, professional staff, including personalized**

HAMPTON ROADS PDC ATTENTION CITIZENS OF:

The Cities of Chesapeake, Franklin, Hampton, Newport News, Norfolk, Portsmouth, Poquoson, Suffolk, Virginia Beach, and Williamsburg.

The Counties of Isle of Wight, James City, Southampton, and York.

The incorporated Towns of Boykins, Branchville, Capron, Courtland, Ivor, Newsoms, Smithfield and Windsor.

Notice of Public Meetings

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Share your local knowledge and thoughts on the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update at any of these convenient meeting locations.

Location: Chesapeake
Date: Tuesday, May 31, 2016
Time: 6:00pm
Where: Hampton Roads Planning District Commission Regional Board Room 723 Woodlake Dr. Chesapeake, VA 23320

Location: Isle of Wight County
Date: Thursday, June 2, 2016
Time: 6:00pm
Where: Board of Supervisor's Boardroom County Complex 17130 Monument Cir. Isle of Wight, VA 23397

Location: Hampton
Date: Thursday, June 9, 2016
Time: 6:00pm



ATTENTION CITIZENS OF:

The Cities of Chesapeake, Franklin, Hampton, Newport News, Norfolk, Portsmouth, Poquoson, Suffolk, Virginia Beach, and Williamsburg.

The Counties of Isle of Wight, James City, Southampton, and York.

The incorporated Towns of Boykins, Branchville, Capron, Courtland, Ivor, Newsoms, Smithfield and Windsor.

Notice of Public Meetings Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Share your local knowledge and thoughts on the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update at any of these convenient meeting locations.

Location: Chesapeake
Date: Tuesday, May 31, 2016
Time: 6:00pm
Where: Hampton Roads Planning
District Commission
Regional Board Room
723 Woodlake Dr.
Chesapeake, VA 23320

Location: Isle of Wight County
Date: Thursday, June 2, 2016
Time: 6:00pm
Where: Board of Supervisor's
Boardroom
County Complex
17130 Monument Cir.
Isle of Wight, VA 23397

Location: Hampton
Date: Thursday, June 9, 2016
Time: 6:00pm
Where: Sandy Bottom Nature Park
1255 Big Bethel Rd.
Hampton, VA 23666

For More Information or to review the draft 2016 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update, Visit:
<http://www.hrpdcva.gov/departments/emergency-management/hampton-roads-hazard-mitigation-plan/>

Or Contact: HRPDC Emergency Management Department (757) 420-8300

The HRPDC will strive to provide reasonable accommodations and services for persons who require special assistance to participate in this public involvement opportunity. Contact John Sadler, HRPDC Emergency Management Administrator at (757) 420-8300 for more information.

Public divided over Norfolk School Board's \$122,000 travel fund

By Cherise M. Newsome
The Virginian-Pilot

NORFOLK The School Board on Wednesday heard conflicting opinions on its increased travel budget.

One speaker criticized the increase, saying the money could go to school needs. Another praised the board's plan to travel more for training.

A week ago, The Virginian-Pilot reported that the Norfolk School Board plans to spend more on nonroutine travel than all other local divisions combined.

The board previously voted to increase its operational budget by about 60 percent, even though it might cut teacher positions and reduce staff raises. Out-of-town travel accounts for most of the board's budget increase, totaling about \$122,000.

Norfolk officials say they face unique challenges as a school division, including educating high numbers of children in poverty.

Board members criticized The Pilot's report in a meeting last week, saying the travel budget is a small part of the overall budget and that the state requires them to receive training.

Grace Jordan, a Blair Middle School parent, said even though the \$122,000 is a small part of the division's overall budget of approximately \$320 million, the money could be used for supplies or sports uniforms.

"If you ask, you will probably get similar responses from all of your schools," she said.

Board members have said they need more training, in part, to help improve the division and because of turnover. The division's test scores rank among the lowest in the state.

The state Education Department requires and pays for the board to attend one training session in Charlottesville. The other trips are extra, but members say they want to exceed basic training requirements.

"As a taxpayer and parent, I sincerely hope, Chairman Jordan, that you will reconsider," Grace Jordan said.

But speaker Ellis James praised the board's decision to travel for training, saying it can help improve schools.

"I consider the newspaper's approach to that particular coverage as a bit job," he said. "I as a taxpayer and my family and many of my friends wish for this board not to back away from that kind of activity, which helps board members do an even better job."

James called the board "very intelligent people" and praised the educational benefits of conferences. "Your approach is supported fully by this person who is a taxpayer here in Norfolk, and I still vigorously support the school system under your leadership," he said.

No board members responded to speakers' remarks, per their usual practice.

Cherise M. Newsome, 757-446-2378, cherise.news@chineson.com
Follow @CheriseNewsome on Twitter.



Chesapeake public works officials ordered changes to save an old live oak tree on Portsmouth Boulevard.

Massive, old live oak in Chesapeake spared from highway expansion

By Victoria Bourne
The Virginian-Pilot

CHESAPEAKE

Looks like the state's fifth-largest live oak tree has been granted amnesty, according to a memo sent to the City Council on Monday.

The live oak is likely hundreds of years old and sits along a section of Portsmouth Boulevard that is set to be expanded from two to four lanes. A retention pond in the original construction plans would have required the removal of the tree.

In the memo, Eric Martin, the city's director of public

works, noted a Virginian-Pilot story about the tree that ran last week.

"Staff has since directed the design consultant to re-design the retention area to preserve the tree," he wrote.

"Additionally, the construction contract will require monitoring of the tree by a certified arborist throughout construction."

"Awesome!" said Gary Williamson by phone Monday. He was one of two volunteers who measured and nominated the live oak for Virginia Tech's big tree program six years ago.

"I think it's a fine idea to

have an arborist," Williamson added. "The more expertise you get regarding that tree, the better."

Williamson volunteered to pull on his work gloves and pull up the weeds and clinging vines that obscure much of the tree from view.

"I'm glad to hear they're going to do what they can to keep it from meeting its demise," said Robert Johnson, who has driven past the tree many times over the years.

The Suffolk resident recently took a Sunday drive with his wife so she could get a closer look at the tree.

"She was very impressed," he said. "It's awe-inspiring when you walk up to it."

And now even more people will get to see the tree, he said.

Hopefully someone will clean it up, Johnson added, though according to Elizabeth Vaughn, a spokeswoman with the city's Department of Public Works, there are no immediate plans to

do so.

"We won't be doing anything around it before construction begins," Vaughn wrote in an email.

After the Pilot story ran, Vaughn said the city heard from a handful of residents in favor of saving the tree. Facebook posts on the Western Branch - Growing Forward page about the tree also garnered a number of responses.

"How nice!" Glory Carnes Byrnes wrote. "I hope that the tree will also become more visible after construction for all to admire."

From the city's perspective, Vaughn said it was absolutely worth taking another look at the plans in order to preserve the "impressive" tree.

"When we discovered we could make some modest changes while still working within our existing design," she said, "it was apparent that saving the tree was just the right thing to do."

N.C. regulators, state department disagree on future of ash pits

By Emory P. Dalexis
The Associated Press

RALEIGH, N.C.

All coal-ash pits in North Carolina maintained by Duke Energy power plants pose enough of an environmental risk that they should be excavated and moved by 2024, state environmental regulators said Wednesday.

But the state Department of Environmental Quality said it's asking for a change in state law that would allow it to reconsider its risk assessments in 18 months.

The agency was required to submit its risk rankings for all 33 pits by Wednesday under a state law passed in 2014, after an spill at a Duke Energy coal-ash pit coated 70 miles of the Dan River in a toxic sludge. Afterward, hundreds of neighbors were warned not to drink their well water. The law required that eight pits at four plants be excavated by 2018.

Fewer pits would have to be excavated if repairs to dams retaining the liquefied waste are finished, the agency said.

Duke Energy said in a statement that it wanted the cheaper alternative - draining excess water and covering the remaining residue in plastic and dirt - for all but the eight highest-risk pits.

The company said that could be possible once "neighbors have the assurance of a high-quality wa-

ter supply." That could include extending municipal water lines to homes that have used water wells. Duke Energy CEO Lynn Good said during a teleconference with reporters.

Environmentalists warn that covering the unlined coal ash pits could continue allowing toxic pollutants to escape for decades.

Two years ago, Duke Energy estimated it could cost up to \$10 billion to excavate all its coal ash dumps, with electricity customers paying the bills. Good said Wednesday she could not provide a newer estimate.

The company had been expecting to be able to cap most of its pits and estimated its likely costs at about \$4 billion, which would raise a 55-day counter-drug and migrant patrol that netted more than \$18 million in seized cocaine and marijuana, the service announced Wednesday.

The 76-member crew of the Dependable patrolled in the Florida Straits and Caribbean Sea and conducted three international and interagency counter-narcotics operations, apprehending 15 suspected drug dealers, the Coast Guard said in a news release. The drugs included 325 kilograms of cocaine and 600 pounds of marijuana. The crew worked with the U.S. Drug Enforcement Agency and other

Coast Guard cutter seizes drugs valued at \$10.1M



The Coast Guard cutter Dependable returned Tuesday from a 55-day patrol during which it seized 325 kilograms of cocaine and 600 pounds of marijuana.

By Courtney Mahoney
The Virginian-Pilot

VIRGINIA BEACH

The Coast Guard cutter Dependable has returned to Joint Expeditionary Base Little Creek Port Story after a 55-day counter-drug and migrant patrol that netted more than \$18 million in seized cocaine and marijuana, the service announced Wednesday.

The 76-member crew of the Dependable patrolled in the Florida Straits and Caribbean Sea and conducted three international and interagency counter-narcotics operations, apprehending 15 suspected drug dealers, the Coast Guard said in a news release. The drugs included 325 kilograms of cocaine and 600 pounds of marijuana. The crew worked with the U.S. Drug Enforcement Agency and other

U.S. law enforcement as well as Bahamian defense and police forces.

Dependable, which returned Tuesday, also worked with Coast Guard Sector Key West to intercept two vessels carrying 22 migrants trying to enter the U.S. illegally, the Coast Guard said.

The 210-foot medium-endurance cutter is nearing 48 years of service. It and 26 other aging cutters are scheduled for replacement by the new offshore patrol cutter.

Dependable's crew "overcame numerous challenges working with obsolete systems and conducting difficult maintenance and repairs to electronic and mechanical systems in order to keep our 48-year-old ship in the fight," Cmdr. Bob Little, the cutter's commanding officer, said in a statement.

DONATE YOUR CAR

Wheels For Wishes Benefiting

Make-A-Wish® Greater Virginia

*Free Vehicle Pickup ANYWHERE
*We Accept All Vehicles Running or Not
*We Also Accept Boats, Motorcycles & RVs
*Fully Tax Deductible

WheelsForWishes.org
Call: (757) 209-2355

*For Donations, Fundraising & More: For more information about our program or for general information, visit www.wheelsforwishes.org.

ATTENTION CITIZENS OF:

The Cities of Chesapeake, Franklin, Hampton, Newport News, Norfolk, Portsmouth, Poquoson, Suffolk, Virginia Beach, and Williamsburg.

The Counties of Isle of Wight, James City, Southampton, and York.

The Incorporated Towns of Boykins, Branchville, Capron, Courtland, Ivor, Newsoms, Smithfield and Windsor.

Notice of Public Meetings
Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Share your local knowledge and thoughts on the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update at any of these convenient meeting locations.

Location: Chesapeake Date: Tuesday, May 31, 2016 Time: 6:00pm Where: Hampton Roads Planning District Commission Regional Board Room 723 Woodlake Dr. Chesapeake, VA 23320	Location: Isle of Wight County Date: Thursday, June 2, 2016 Time: 6:00pm Where: Board of Supervisors' Boardroom County Complex 17130 Monument Ctr. Isle of Wight, VA 23397
--	--

Location: Hampton
Date: Thursday, June 9, 2016
Time: 6:00pm
Where: Sandy Bottom Nature Park
 1255 Big Bethel Rd.
 Hampton, VA 23666

For more information or to review the draft 2016 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update, visit: <http://www.hamptonroads.gov/departments/emergency-management/hampton-roads-hazard-mitigation-plan/>

Or Contact: HRPOC Emergency Management Department (757) 400-8300

The HRPOC will strive to provide reasonable accommodations and services for persons who require special assistance to participate in this public involvement opportunity. Contact: John Seifer, HRPOC Emergency Management Administrator at (757) 400-8300 for more information.

Beach businesswoman becomes "Undercover Boss"

By Robyn Sidersky
The Virginian-Pilot

VIRGINIA BEACH

A Virginia Beach resident will appear on the CBS show "Undercover Boss" on Sunday.

Cindy Galardi Culppepper is the CEO of Wiener-schneitz, which is based in Irvine, Calif. The company is known for its chili dogs and has 330 stores, mostly on the West Coast.

Culppepper became the CEO in 2013 after the death of her former husband,



Cindy Galardi Culppepper, left, CEO of Wiener-schneitz, took on a disguise and a new undercover role as "Louise."

John Galardi, who founded the company in 1961 in Southern California.

Culppepper and her current husband, David, live

in Broad Bay Greens in Virginia Beach. David Culppepper's family has deep ties to Portsmouth. His business, Culppepper Radiator, was founded by his father and grandfather in 1947 in Portsmouth.

On the "Undercover Boss" episode, Cindy Culppepper disguises herself with a new ring and dark hair. She went undercover as "Louise" in Arizona, Utah and Texas, where she took on roles including drive-through operator and manager trainee.

She also posed as an employ-

ee at Kent Quality Foods, which makes the company's hot dogs, in Grand Rapids, Mich., according to a news release.

The premise of the show is that high-level executives go undercover trying out different roles in their company and then reveal themselves to the employees at the end of the episode.

The episode airs Sunday at 9 p.m. on WTKR.

Robyn Sidersky, 757-222-5117, robysidersky@pilotsline.com

Calendar

If you would like to submit your community event, please read the [City's guidelines](#).

If you'd like to view even more fun events and things to do in Chesapeake, check out [Visit Chesapeake's calendar of events!](#)

[< Back to Calendar](#)

Regional Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Public Hearing

Date: May 31, 2016
Time: 6:00 PM
Location: Hampton Roads Planning District Commission [Map it](#)
723 Woodlake Dr
Chesapeake, VA

[Email Event](#)

Description of the Regional Hazard Mitigation Planning Process


Representatives from select communities in the Hampton Roads Planning District Commission (HRPDC), decided to pursue a regional multi-jurisdictional hazard mitigation planning process. The Hazard Mitigation Planning Subcommittee (HMPC) was formed in order to engage in the mitigation planning process and adopt a single updated mitigation plan for the region. Led by the HRPDC and Salter's Creek Consulting, Inc., the targeted completion of the plan update process is November 1, 2016.

Purpose of the Hazard Mitigation Plan

Section 322 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5165, as amended by the Disaster Mitigation Assistance Act of 2000) requires local jurisdictions to develop and adopt hazard mitigation plans to be eligible to receive certain federal mitigation grant funds. The statute also requires that local mitigation plans be updated and revised every five years in order to maintain eligibility for grant funds.

Public Involvement Is Critical

Public involvement is a key component of the FEMA planning guidance and the Community Rating System (CRS) planning requirements. Stakeholders will guide community leaders in implementing tailored strategies for enlisting public input throughout the planning process, and



CITY OF WILLIAMSBURG

 [GO](#)

- Home
- Inside the City**
- Residents
- Students
- Visitors
- Business
- Departments & Services

- Mayor and City Council
- City Manager and Administration
- Public Meetings
- City Calendars
- Communications
- Key Policy Documents
- Informational Guides
- Facilities
- City Building Rentals
- Virtual Tours
- Frequently Asked Questions
- Job Openings
- Links
- Online Forms
- Weather Forecast
- Staff Directory
- Newsroom
- Hampton Roads Performs
- Green City Initiatives
- News
- Boards and Commissions

[Inside the City](#) » [Communications](#)

CITY NEWS

[+ Share & Bookmark](#) [Print](#)

Public Meetings - Hazard Mitigation Plan

Posted Date: 5/25/2016

The Hampton Roads Planning District Commission (HRPDC) is hosting several public meetings on the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update. You are invited to share your local knowledge and thoughts regarding the plan. Please see the [public notice](#) for meeting details.

To review the draft plan, go to www.hrpdcva.gov/departments/emergency-management/hampton-roads-hazard-mitigation-plan/

The HRPDC will strive to provide reasonable accommodations and services for persons who require special assistance to participate in this public involvement opportunity. Contact John Sadler, HRPDC Emergency Management Administrator at (757) 420-8300 for more information.

CITY OF WILLIAMSBURG

Search... [GO](#)

[Home](#) [Inside the City](#) [Residents](#) [Students](#) [Visitors](#) [Business](#) [Departments & Services](#)



Departments A-H

[Department I-Z](#)
[City Organizational Chart \(PDF\)](#)
[City Services](#)
[Newsroom](#)
[Constitutional Officers](#)
[Staff Directory](#)
[City Council & Boards](#)

E-Notify

Contacts & Directories



[City Council](#)
[City Manager's Office](#)
[Codes Compliance](#)
[Commissioner of Revenue](#)
[Economic Development](#)
[Economic Development Authority](#)
[Emergency Preparedness](#)
[Finance](#)
[Fire](#)
[Green Williamsburg](#)
[Human Resources](#)
[Housing and Human Services](#)

Community Spotlight



Digital Cities Survey Winner

The Center for Digital Government (CDG) has announced the winners of its 2015 Digital Cities Survey, and Williamsburg, Virginia placed 7th in the top ten cities of populations less than 75,000.

[READ MORE](#)

CITY NEWS

Road Paving - UPDATED 5/26/16
Public Meetings - Hazard Mitigation Plan
Mosquito Control Program begins in June
Participate in National Citizen Survey
Opening on Social Services Advisory Board

[MORE NEWS](#)

UPCOMING EVENTS

Memorial Day - City Offices Closed
May 30, 2016
City Council Work Session
June 06, 2016 4:00 PM
Board of Zoning Appeals
June 07, 2016 4:00 PM - 5:00 PM
City Council Meeting
June 09, 2016 2:00 PM

[FULL CALENDAR](#)

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Public Meeting #4 Minutes

Tuesday, May 31, 2016

HRPDC Regional Board Room, Chesapeake, VA at 6pm

OPEN HOUSE FORMAT

INCLUDED HOSTED STATIONS FOR REVIEWING:

- Review of Planning Process and Participants
- Critical and Non-Critical Hazards and the HIRA
- Plan Goals and Objectives
- Mitigation Action Plan for Participating Communities
- Other Plan Elements
- Collection of Plan Comments and Survey Questionnaires from Participants

MEETING NOTES

- 2 members of the public attended.
 - One discussed Hazardous Materials Incidents and wanted to know more about the history of incidents and the mitigation actions to address them.
 - One discussed plan compliance with Federal regulations and provided opinions on inclusion of public commentary in the planning process. He indicated he would provide additional written comments on this and other aspects of the plan during the public comment period.

INVITEES

Email invitations were issued 5/19/16 to each of the following individuals. In addition, each primary contact for the communities was asked to forward the message and make additional entries to their staff and interested persons. They were asked to advertise the public meetings on community or organization web sites and provide screen captures of these advertisements for inclusion in the plan.

- | | |
|--|------------------------------|
| • Erin Sutton, Va Beach | • Phill Roehrs, Va Beach |
| • Kim Tempesco, Va Beach | • Todd Jones, Va Beach |
| • Erin Crean, Va Beach | • Martha Burns, Chesapeake |
| • Susan Marziani, Va Beach | • Robb Braidwood, Chesapeake |
| • Whitney McNamara, Va Beach | • Brian Russel, Portsmouth |
| • Franklin Hickman, Va Beach | • Patrick Hughes, Chesapeake |
| • Barbara Garvin, Va Beach | • David Hartzog, Va Beach |
| • Tim Winslow, Chesapeake | • Jeff Rodarmel, Va Beach |
| • Kim Finnerty, Chesapeake | • Ben McFarlane, HRPDC |
| • Meg Pittenger, Portsmouth | • Andrea Gayer, HRPDC |
| • Ted Garty, Chesapeake | • James Baker, Va Beach |
| • Stuart Spatz, Chesapeake NEMAC Citizen | • Barbara Duke, Va Beach |
| • Chris Meyer, Va Beach | • Chris Harvey, Mitre |
| • Bob Engle, Va Dept of Health | • Emily Frye, Mitre |
| • Talmadge Piland, Va Beach | • Bobby Tajan, Norfolk |
| • Mike Ronan, Va Beach | • Chris Blough, Norfolk |
| • Scott Smith, Norfolk | • Karen Shaffer, Chesapeake |

- Ricky Blunt, Southampton Co
- Deria Binetsky, Branchville
- Mayor Edwards, Boykins
- Peggy Cheek, Va Dept of Social Services
- Hart Council, Southampton County
- Bob Croak, Southampton Co
- Michelle Stivers, Va Dept of Social Services
- Steve Watson, Franklin
- Preston Futrell, Branchville
- Stanley Skinner, Suffolk
- John Cooke, Va Dept of Health
- Lynette Lowe, Southampton County
- Brian Spicer, Suffolk
- Harvey Porter, Newsoms
- Julien Johnson, Southampton
- Cindy Darden, American Red Cross
- Vince Holt, Franklin
- Mark Bly, Franklin
- Lin Darden, Franklin
- Beth Lewis, Franklin/Southampton
- Ivor Town Hall
- Mayor Kitchen, Capron
- Christy Parrish, James City County
- Charles Kline, Va Dept of Conservation & Recreation
- George Glazner, Newport News
- Amy Howard, Va Dept of Emergency Management
- Matt Westheimer, Williamsburg
- Jay Bowden, Newport News
- Gayle Hicks, Hampton
- Kim Hummel, Isle of Wight County
- Kate Hale, James City County
- Andrea Clontz, Isle of Wight County
- Daniel McBride, Newport News
- Josiah Jendrey, Smithfield
- Darryl Cook, James City County
- Jonathan McBride, Hampton
- Claiborn Phillips, York Co
- Fred Gaskins, Hampton
- Hui-Shan Walker, Hampton
- Steve Jones, Chesapeake
- Michael Stallings, Windsor
- Jim Dishner, York Co
- LeeAnn Hartmann, Williamsburg
- Shelley Winegrad, Bayport Credit Union
- Karen Stone, Colonial Williamsburg
- Pat Dent, Williamsburg
- Sara Ruch, Hampton

- Michael Bryant, Poquoson
- John Young, Poquoson
- Michelle Hamor, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
- Carolyn Murphy, Williamsburg
- Dan Clayton, Williamsburg
- Tom Coghill, James City County
- Steve Kopczynski, York Co
- Kent Henkel, York Co
- Al Maddalena, York Co
- Daniel McCormick, Hampton
- B.K. Russell, Portsmouth
- Jim Redick, Norfolk
- Josh Gillespie, Fort Monroe Authority
- Kenton Towner, William & Mary
- Charley Banks, Va Dept of Conservation & Recreation
- Shep Moon, Va Dept of Environmental Quality
- Steve Shapiro, Hampton
- Mary Carson Stiff, Wetlands Watch
- Bill Sammler, NOAA
- Christine Tombleson, VIMS
- Sara Kidd, HRPDC
- Steven Pyle, City of Norfolk

[illegible]

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Public Meeting #5 Minutes

Thursday, June 2, 2016

Board of Supervisor's Boardroom, Isle of Wight, VA at 6pm

OPEN HOUSE FORMAT

INCLUDED HOSTED STATIONS FOR REVIEWING:

- Review of Planning Process and Participants
- Critical and Non-Critical Hazards and the HIRA
- Plan Goals and Objectives
- Mitigation Action Plan for Participating Communities
- Other Plan Elements
- Collection of Plan Comments and Survey Questionnaires from Participants

MEETING NOTES

- 1 stakeholder attended from the American Red Cross

INVITEES

Email invitations were issued 5/19/16 to each of the following individuals. In addition, each primary contact for the communities was asked to forward the message and make additional entries to their staff and interested persons. They were asked to advertise the public meetings on community or organization web sites and provide screen captures of these advertisements for inclusion in the plan.

- Erin Sutton, Va Beach
- Kim Tempesco, Va Beach
- Erin Crean, Va Beach
- Susan Marziani, Va Beach
- Whitney McNamara, Va Beach
- Franklin Hickman, Va Beach
- Barbara Garvin, Va Beach
- Tim Winslow, Chesapeake
- Kim Finnerty, Chesapeake
- Meg Pittenger, Portsmouth
- Ted Garty, Chesapeake
- Stuart Spatz, Chesapeake NEMAC Citizen
- Chris Meyer, Va Beach
- Bob Engle, Va Dept of Health
- Talmadge Piland, Va Beach
- Mike Ronan, Va Beach
- Scott Smith, Norfolk
- Phill Roehrs, Va Beach
- Todd Jones, Va Beach
- Martha Burns, Chesapeake
- Robb Braidwood, Chesapeake
- Brian Russel, Portsmouth
- Patrick Hughes, Chesapeake
- David Hartzog, Va Beach
- Jeff Rodarmel, Va Beach
- Ben McFarlane, HRPDC
- Andrea Gayer, HRPDC
- James Baker, Va Beach
- Barbara Duke, Va Beach
- Chris Harvey, Mitre
- Emily Frye, Mitre
- Bobby Tajan, Norfolk
- Chris Blough, Norfolk
- Karen Shaffer, Chesapeake
- Ricky Blunt, Southampton Co
- Deria Binetsky, Branchville
- Mayor Edwards, Boykins
- Peggy Cheek, Va Dept of Social Services
- Hart Council, Southampton County
- Bob Croak, Southampton Co
- Michelle Stivers, Va Dept of Social Services
- Steve Watson, Franklin
- Preston Futrell, Branchville

- Stanley Skinner, Suffolk
- John Cooke, Va Dept of Health
- Lynette Lowe, Southampton County
- Brian Spicer, Suffolk
- Harvey Porter, Newsoms
- Julien Johnson, Southampton
- Cindy Darden, American Red Cross
- Vince Holt, Franklin
- Mark Bly, Franklin
- Lin Darden, Franklin
- Beth Lewis, Franklin/Southampton
- Ivor Town Hall
- Mayor Kitchen, Capron
- Christy Parrish, James City County
- Charles Kline, Va Dept of Conservation & Recreation
- George Glazner, Newport News
- Amy Howard, Va Dept of Emergency Management
- Matt Westheimer, Williamsburg
- Jay Bowden, Newport News
- Gayle Hicks, Hampton
- Kim Hummel, Isle of Wight County
- Kate Hale, James City County
- Andrea Clontz, Isle of Wight County
- Daniel McBride, Newport News
- Josiah Jendrey, Smithfield
- Darryl Cook, James City County
- Jonathan McBride, Hampton
- Claiborn Phillips, York Co
- Fred Gaskins, Hampton
- Hui-Shan Walker, Hampton
- Steve Jones, Chesapeake
- Michael Stallings, Windsor
- Jim Dishner, York Co
- LeeAnn Hartmann, Williamsburg
- Shelley Winegrad, Bayport Credit Union
- Karen Stone, Colonial Williamsburg
- Pat Dent, Williamsburg
- Sara Ruch, Hampton
- Michael Bryant, Poquoson
- John Young, Poquoson
- Michelle Hamor, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
- Carolyn Murphy, Williamsburg
- Dan Clayton, Williamsburg
- Tom Coghill, James City County
- Steve Kopczynski, York Co
- Kent Henkel, York Co

- Al Maddalena, York Co
- Daniel McCormick, Hampton
- B.K. Russell, Portsmouth
- Jim Redick, Norfolk
- Josh Gillespie, Fort Monroe Authority
- Kenton Towner, William & Mary
- Charley Banks, Va Dept of Conservation & Recreation
- Shep Moon, Va Dept of Environmental Quality
- Steve Shapiro, Hampton
- Mary Carson Stiff, Wetlands Watch
- Bill Sammler, NOAA
- Christine Tombleson, VIMS
- Sara Kidd, HRPDC
- Steven Pyle, City of Norfolk

Leigh Chapman

From: Leigh Chapman <leigh.morgan2@verizon.net>
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2016 1:29 PM
To: 'Hicks, Gayle'; 'Isomerset@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Darryl Cook'; 'henkel@yorkcounty.gov'; 'Pyle, Steven'; 'Whitney K. McNamee'; 'khunnell@isleafwrightus.net'; 'christopher.blough@norfolk.gov'; 'steve.grjones@navy.mil'; 'patrick.lewis@chesapeakearegional.com'; 'proehrs@vbgov.com'; 'bedwards@franklinpolice.org'; 'iskinner@suffolkva.us'; 'rudnicki@iwas.net'; 'thansen@suffolkva.us'; 'terry.rhinier@gmail.com'; 'Kimberley A. Tempesco'; 'tenwilliger@isleafwrightus.net'; 'jrpills@vbgov.com'; 'jendrey@smithfieldva.gov'; 'kstone@cwf.org'; 'dmcbride@nnva.gov'; 'dshnorj@yorkcounty.gov'; 'Pointer, Gwen'; 'Phillips, Claborn'; 'christy.parrisi@jamescitycountyva.us'; 'kholloway@nnva.gov'; 'msmith@hampton.gov'; 'Parker, Amy'; 'fgaskins@hampton.gov'; 'thartman@williamsburgva.gov'; 'mshar@nnva.gov'; 'gharvey@mitre.org'; 'alambert@hampton.gov'; 'ecrean@vbso.net'; 'smarjian@vbgov.com'; 'thickman@vbgov.com'; 'bgarvin@vbgov.com'; 'twinslow@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'kfinnerty@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'tgarty@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'spatz@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'robertengle@vdi.virginia.gov'; 'tpiland@vbgov.com'; 'mronana@vbgov.com'; 'scott.smith@norfolk.gov'; 'jones@vbgov.com'; 'phughes@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'shartag@vbgov.com'; 'jrodame@vbgov.com'; 'jaker@vbgov.com'; 'delake@vbgov.com'; 'shafer@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'blunt@southampton.k12.va.us'; 'butterflydee@hotmail.com'; 'margaret.cheek@dss.virginia.gov'; 'houncil@socpublicworks.com'; 'broack@southamptoncounty.org'; 'michelle.stivers@dss.virginia.gov'; 'swatson@franklinva.com'; 'john.cooke@vdi.virginia.gov'; 'Lynette Lowe'; 'harveyo1@email.com'; 'Julien Johnson'; 'mbly@franklinva.com'; 'mwest@williamsburgva.gov'; 'bowdenj@nnva.gov'; 'dmcbride@nnva.gov'; 'thartman@williamsburgva.gov'; 'winvegrad@bayportcu.org'; 'john.young@poquoson-va.gov'; 'omurphy@williamsburgva.gov'; 'maddalena@yorkcounty.gov'; 'daniel.mccormick@hampton.gov'; 'Shapiro, Steve'; 'Ruch, Sara'; 'mstallings@windor-va.gov'; 'Walker, Hui Shan'; 'wident@williamsburgva.gov'; 'Russell, B. K.'; 'james.redick@norfolk.gov'; 'rbraidwood@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'lbott@nngov.com'; 'wsaunders@smithfieldva.gov'; 'jendrey@smithfieldva.gov'; 'jgillespie@fmauthority.com'; 'katowner@wm.edu'; 'mester@williamsburgva.gov'; 'Banks, Charley (DCR)'; 'Moon, Shep (DRQ)'; 'Shapiro, Steve'; 'Mary Carson Stiff'; 'William Sammler@nasa.gov'; 'San McFarlane'; 'Hamon, Michelle L. NAO'; 'cynthia.darden@redcross.org'; 'Christine M. Tomblason'; 'John Sadler'; 'Sara Kidd'; 'bplicor@suffolkva.us'; 'acointz@isleafwrightus.net'; 'mstallings@windor-va.gov'; 'Kopczynski, Stephen'; 'Walker, Hui Shan'; 'Pat Dent'; 'vholt@franklinva.com'; 'Pittenger, Meg'; 'Tajan, Robert'; 'rbraidwood@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'Martha F. Burns'; 'Erin Sutton'; 'Glazner, George T.'; 'lbott@nngov.com'; 'Kathleen Hale'; 'Michael Bryant'; 'wsaunders@smithfieldva.gov'; 'Beth Lewis'; 'office@townofnor.com'; 'bojkyne@townofboykinsva.com'; 'kitchenswelding1@cs.com'
Subject: Updating the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan
Attachments: HR-HazMitgMtgMay2016Narrow.pdf
Importance: High
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

A few notes and reminders for all committee members:

1. I need your community's comments on the plan by **close of business tomorrow**. We have posted public notices about the availability of the plan for public review prior to the public meetings and promised to post the entire draft next Tuesday on the PDC web site. There are questions for some of you in Section 7 and you need to make

sure you've reviewed Section 7 at a minimum before we release the draft for public review. The ftp site where the plan is currently posted is shown at the bottom of this email.

2. Please **put the public meetings on your schedule** and try to send a community representative to the one nearest you if at all possible:

Tuesday May 31

6pm

Hampton Roads Planning
District Commission
Regional Board Room
723 Woodlake Dr.
Chesapeake, VA 23320

Thursday June 2

6pm

Board of Supervisor's
Boardroom
County Complex
17138 Monument Cir.
Isle of Wight, VA 23397

Thursday June 9

6pm

Sandy Bottom Nature Park
1255 Big Bethel Rd.
Hampton, VA 23666

3. Please **help us advertise the public meetings**. The public notice being published in the papers is attached. You can use this or create your own for your community/organization web site. If you do advertise on your community web sites, please send me screen captures that I can put in the appendix.

I'll keep the comment period open for 2 weeks after the June 9 meeting, but will close the comment period June 24 so that I can address comments quickly and get the draft to VDEM and FEMA for preliminary approval. After that, it'll be time for adoption!

Thanks for helping keep the project on track,
Leigh Chapman



THURSDAY, JUNE 2, 2016

[illegible]

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Public Meeting #6 Minutes

Thursday, June 9, 2016

Sandy Bottom Nature Park, Hampton, VA at 6pm

OPEN HOUSE FORMAT

INCLUDED HOSTED STATIONS FOR REVIEWING:

- Review of Planning Process and Participants
- Critical and Non-Critical Hazards and the HIRA
- Plan Goals and Objectives
- Mitigation Action Plan for Participating Communities
- Other Plan Elements
- Collection of Plan Comments and Survey Questionnaires from Participants

MEETING NOTES

- 1 citizen attended and complimented the plan components and appearance.

INVITEES

Email invitations were issued 5/19/16 to each of the following individuals. In addition, each primary contact for the communities was asked to forward the message and make additional entrities to their staff and interested persons. They were asked to advertise the public meetings on community or organization web sites and provide screen captures of these advertisements for inclusion in the plan.

- Erin Sutton, Va Beach
- Kim Tempesco, Va Beach
- Erin Crean, Va Beach
- Susan Marziani, Va Beach
- Whitney McNamara, Va Beach
- Franklin Hickman, Va Beach
- Barbara Garvin, Va Beach
- Tim Winslow, Chesapeake
- Kim Finnerty, Chesapeake
- Meg Pittenger, Portsmouth
- Ted Garty, Chesapeake
- Stuart Spatz, Chesapeake NEMAC Citizen
- Chris Meyer, Va Beach
- Bob Engle, Va Dept of Health
- Talmadge Piland, Va Beach
- Mike Ronan, Va Beach
- Scott Smith, Norfolk
- Phill Roehrs, Va Beach
- Todd Jones, Va Beach
- Martha Burns, Chesapeake
- Robb Braidwood, Chesapeake
- Brian Russel, Portsmouth
- Patrick Hughes, Chesapeake
- David Hartzog, Va Beach
- Jeff Rodarmel, Va Beach
- Ben McFarlane, HRPDC
- Andrea Gayer, HRPDC
- James Baker, Va Beach
- Barbara Duke, Va Beach
- Chris Harvey, Mitre
- Emily Frye, Mitre
- Bobby Tajan, Norfolk
- Chris Blough, Norfolk
- Karen Shaffer, Chesapeake
- Ricky Blunt, Southampton Co
- Deria Binetsky, Branchville
- Mayor Edwards, Boykins
- Peggy Cheek, Va Dept of Social Services
- Hart Council, Southampton County
- Bob Croak, Southampton Co
- Michelle Stivers, Va Dept of Social Services
- Steve Watson, Franklin
- Preston Futrell, Branchville

- Stanley Skinner, Suffolk
- John Cooke, Va Dept of Health
- Lynette Lowe, Southampton County
- Brian Spicer, Suffolk
- Harvey Porter, Newsoms
- Julien Johnson, Southampton
- Cindy Darden, American Red Cross
- Vince Holt, Franklin
- Mark Bly, Franklin
- Lin Darden, Franklin
- Beth Lewis, Franklin/Southampton
- Ivor Town Hall
- Mayor Kitchen, Capron
- Christy Parrish, James City County
- Charles Kline, Va Dept of Conservation & Recreation
- George Glazner, Newport News
- Amy Howard, Va Dept of Emergency Management
- Matt Westheimer, Williamsburg
- Jay Bowden, Newport News
- Gayle Hicks, Hampton
- Kim Hummel, Isle of Wight County
- Kate Hale, James City County
- Andrea Clontz, Isle of Wight County
- Daniel McBride, Newport News
- Josiah Jendrey, Smithfield
- Darryl Cook, James City County
- Jonathan McBride, Hampton
- Claiborn Phillips, York Co
- Fred Gaskins, Hampton
- Hui-Shan Walker, Hampton
- Steve Jones, Chesapeake
- Michael Stallings, Windsor
- Jim Dishner, York Co
- LeeAnn Hartmann, Williamsburg
- Shelley Winegrad, Bayport Credit Union
- Karen Stone, Colonial Williamsburg
- Pat Dent, Williamsburg
- Sara Ruch, Hampton
- Michael Bryant, Poquoson
- John Young, Poquoson
- Michelle Hamor, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
- Carolyn Murphy, Williamsburg
- Dan Clayton, Williamsburg
- Tom Coghill, James City County
- Steve Kopczynski, York Co
- Kent Henkel, York Co

- Al Maddalena, York Co
- Daniel McCormick, Hampton
- B.K. Russell, Portsmouth
- Jim Redick, Norfolk
- Josh Gillespie, Fort Monroe Authority
- Kenton Towner, William & Mary
- Charley Banks, Va Dept of Conservation & Recreation
- Shep Moon, Va Dept of Environmental Quality
- Steve Shapiro, Hampton
- Mary Carson Stiff, Wetlands Watch
- Bill Sammler, NOAA
- Christine Tombleson, VIMS
- Sara Kidd, HRPDC
- Steven Pyle, City of Norfolk

Leigh Chapman

From: Leigh Chapman <leigh.morgan2@verizon.net>
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2016 1:29 PM
To: 'Hicks, Gayle'; 'Isomerset@poquoson-va.gov'; 'Darryl Cook'; 'henkel@yorkcounty.gov'; 'Pyle, Steven'; 'Whitney K. McNamee'; 'khunnell@isleafwrightus.net'; 'christopher.blough@norfolk.gov'; 'steve.grjones@navy.mil'; 'patrick.lewis@chesapeakearegional.com'; 'proehrs@vbgov.com'; 'bedwards@franklinpolice.org'; 'iskinner@suffolkva.us'; 'rudnicki@iwas.net'; 'thansen@suffolkva.us'; 'terry.rhinier@gmail.com'; 'Kimberley A. Tempesco'; 'tenwilliger@isleafwrightus.net'; 'jrpills@vbgov.com'; 'jendrey@smithfieldva.gov'; 'kstone@cwf.org'; 'dmcbride@nnva.gov'; 'dshnorj@yorkcounty.gov'; 'Pointer, Gwen'; 'Phillips, Claborn'; 'christy.parrisi@jamescitycountyva.us'; 'kholloway@nnva.gov'; 'msmith@hampton.gov'; 'Parker, Amy'; 'fgaskins@hampton.gov'; 'thartman@williamsburgva.gov'; 'mshar@nnva.gov'; 'gharvey@mitre.org'; 'alambert@hampton.gov'; 'ecrean@vbso.net'; 'smarjian@vbgov.com'; 'thickman@vbgov.com'; 'bganvin@vbgov.com'; 'twinslow@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'kfinnerty@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'tgarty@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'spatz@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'robertengle@vdi.virginia.gov'; 'tpiland@vbgov.com'; 'mronana@vbgov.com'; 'scott.smith@norfolk.gov'; 'jones@vbgov.com'; 'phughes@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'shartag@vbgov.com'; 'jrodame@vbgov.com'; 'jaker@vbgov.com'; 'delake@vbgov.com'; 'shafer@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'blunt@southampton.k12.va.us'; 'butterflydee@hotmail.com'; 'margaret.cheek@dss.virginia.gov'; 'houncil@socpublicworks.com'; 'broack@southamptoncounty.org'; 'michelle.stivers@dss.virginia.gov'; 'swatson@franklinva.com'; 'john.cooke@vdi.virginia.gov'; 'Lynette Lowe'; 'harveyo1@email.com'; 'Julien Johnson'; 'mbly@franklinva.com'; 'mwest@williamsburgva.gov'; 'bowdenj@nnva.gov'; 'dmcbride@nnva.gov'; 'thartman@williamsburgva.gov'; 'winvegrad@bayportcu.org'; 'john.young@poquoson-va.gov'; 'omurphy@williamsburgva.gov'; 'maddalena@yorkcounty.gov'; 'daniel.mccormick@hampton.gov'; 'Shapiro, Steve'; 'Ruch, Sara'; 'mstallings@windor-va.gov'; 'Walker, Hui Shan'; 'wident@williamsburgva.gov'; 'Russell, B. K.'; 'james.redick@norfolk.gov'; 'rbraidwood@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'lbott@nngov.com'; 'wsaunders@smithfieldva.gov'; 'jendrey@smithfieldva.gov'; 'jgillespie@fmauthority.com'; 'katowner@wm.edu'; 'mester@williamsburgva.gov'; 'Banks, Charley (DCR)'; 'Moon, Shep (DRQ)'; 'Shapiro, Steve'; 'Mary Carson Stiff'; 'William Sammler@nasa.gov'; 'San McFarlane'; 'Hamon, Michelle L. NAO'; 'cynthia.darden@redcross.org'; 'Christine M. Tombleson'; 'John Sadler'; 'Sara Kidd'; 'bplicor@suffolkva.us'; 'acointz@isleafwrightus.net'; 'mstallings@windor-va.gov'; 'Kopczynski, Stephen'; 'Walker, Hui Shan'; 'Pat Dent'; 'vholt@franklinva.com'; 'Pittenger, Meg'; 'Tajan, Robert'; 'rbraidwood@cityofchesapeake.net'; 'Martha F. Burns'; 'Erin Sutton'; 'Glazner, George T.'; 'lbott@nngov.com'; 'Kathleen Hale'; 'Michael Bryant'; 'wsaunders@smithfieldva.gov'; 'Beth Lewis'; 'office@townofnor.com'; 'bojkyne@townofboykinsva.com'; 'kitchenswelding1@cs.com'
Subject: Updating the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan
Attachments: HR-HazMitgMtgMay2016Narrow.pdf
Importance: High
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

A few notes and reminders for all committee members:

1. I need your community's comments on the plan by **close of business tomorrow**. We have posted public notices about the availability of the plan for public review prior to the public meetings and promised to post the entire draft next Tuesday on the PDC web site. There are questions for some of you in Section 7 and you need to make

sure you've reviewed Section 7 at a minimum before we release the draft for public review. The ftp site where the plan is currently posted is shown at the bottom of this email.

2. Please **put the public meetings on your schedule** and try to send a community representative to the one nearest you if at all possible:

Tuesday May 31

6pm

Hampton Roads Planning
District Commission
Regional Board Room
723 Woodlake Dr.
Chesapeake, VA 23320

Thursday June 2

6pm

Board of Supervisor's
Boardroom
County Complex
17138 Monument Cir.
Isle of Wight, VA 23397

Thursday June 9

6pm

Sandy Bottom Nature Park
1255 Big Bethel Rd.
Hampton, VA 23666

3. Please **help us advertise the public meetings**. The public notice being published in the papers is attached. You can use this or create your own for your community/organization web site. If you do advertise on your community web sites, please send me screen captures that I can put in the appendix.

I'll keep the comment period open for 2 weeks after the June 9 meeting, but will close the comment period June 24 so that I can address comments quickly and get the draft to VDEM and FEMA for preliminary approval. After that, it'll be time for adoption!

Thanks for helping keep the project on track,
Leigh Chapman



SIGN-IN SHEET
 HAMPTON ROADS HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN UPDATE
 PUBLIC MEETING # ~~8~~ ~~9~~ ~~10~~ ~~11~~ ~~12~~ ~~13~~ ~~14~~ ~~15~~ ~~16~~ ~~17~~ ~~18~~ ~~19~~ ~~20~~ ~~21~~ ~~22~~ ~~23~~ ~~24~~ ~~25~~ ~~26~~ ~~27~~ ~~28~~ ~~29~~ ~~30~~ ~~31~~ ~~32~~ ~~33~~ ~~34~~ ~~35~~ ~~36~~ ~~37~~ ~~38~~ ~~39~~ ~~40~~ ~~41~~ ~~42~~ ~~43~~ ~~44~~ ~~45~~ ~~46~~ ~~47~~ ~~48~~ ~~49~~ ~~50~~ ~~51~~ ~~52~~ ~~53~~ ~~54~~ ~~55~~ ~~56~~ ~~57~~ ~~58~~ ~~59~~ ~~60~~ ~~61~~ ~~62~~ ~~63~~ ~~64~~ ~~65~~ ~~66~~ ~~67~~ ~~68~~ ~~69~~ ~~70~~ ~~71~~ ~~72~~ ~~73~~ ~~74~~ ~~75~~ ~~76~~ ~~77~~ ~~78~~ ~~79~~ ~~80~~ ~~81~~ ~~82~~ ~~83~~ ~~84~~ ~~85~~ ~~86~~ ~~87~~ ~~88~~ ~~89~~ ~~90~~ ~~91~~ ~~92~~ ~~93~~ ~~94~~ ~~95~~ ~~96~~ ~~97~~ ~~98~~ ~~99~~ ~~100~~ ~~101~~ ~~102~~ ~~103~~ ~~104~~ ~~105~~ ~~106~~ ~~107~~ ~~108~~ ~~109~~ ~~110~~ ~~111~~ ~~112~~ ~~113~~ ~~114~~ ~~115~~ ~~116~~ ~~117~~ ~~118~~ ~~119~~ ~~120~~ ~~121~~ ~~122~~ ~~123~~ ~~124~~ ~~125~~ ~~126~~ ~~127~~ ~~128~~ ~~129~~ ~~130~~ ~~131~~ ~~132~~ ~~133~~ ~~134~~ ~~135~~ ~~136~~ ~~137~~ ~~138~~ ~~139~~ ~~140~~ ~~141~~ ~~142~~ ~~143~~ ~~144~~ ~~145~~ ~~146~~ ~~147~~ ~~148~~ ~~149~~ ~~150~~ ~~151~~ ~~152~~ ~~153~~ ~~154~~ ~~155~~ ~~156~~ ~~157~~ ~~158~~ ~~159~~ ~~160~~ ~~161~~ ~~162~~ ~~163~~ ~~164~~ ~~165~~ ~~166~~ ~~167~~ ~~168~~ ~~169~~ ~~170~~ ~~171~~ ~~172~~ ~~173~~ ~~174~~ ~~175~~ ~~176~~ ~~177~~ ~~178~~ ~~179~~ ~~180~~ ~~181~~ ~~182~~ ~~183~~ ~~184~~ ~~185~~ ~~186~~ ~~187~~ ~~188~~ ~~189~~ ~~190~~ ~~191~~ ~~192~~ ~~193~~ ~~194~~ ~~195~~ ~~196~~ ~~197~~ ~~198~~ ~~199~~ ~~200~~ ~~201~~ ~~202~~ ~~203~~ ~~204~~ ~~205~~ ~~206~~ ~~207~~ ~~208~~ ~~209~~ ~~210~~ ~~211~~ ~~212~~ ~~213~~ ~~214~~ ~~215~~ ~~216~~ ~~217~~ ~~218~~ ~~219~~ ~~220~~ ~~221~~ ~~222~~ ~~223~~ ~~224~~ ~~225~~ ~~226~~ ~~227~~ ~~228~~ ~~229~~ ~~230~~ ~~231~~ ~~232~~ ~~233~~ ~~234~~ ~~235~~ ~~236~~ ~~237~~ ~~238~~ ~~239~~ ~~240~~ ~~241~~ ~~242~~ ~~243~~ ~~244~~ ~~245~~ ~~246~~ ~~247~~ ~~248~~ ~~249~~ ~~250~~ ~~251~~ ~~252~~ ~~253~~ ~~254~~ ~~255~~ ~~256~~ ~~257~~ ~~258~~ ~~259~~ ~~260~~ ~~261~~ ~~262~~ ~~263~~ ~~264~~ ~~265~~ ~~266~~ ~~267~~ ~~268~~ ~~269~~ ~~270~~ ~~271~~ ~~272~~ ~~273~~ ~~274~~ ~~275~~ ~~276~~ ~~277~~ ~~278~~ ~~279~~ ~~280~~ ~~281~~ ~~282~~ ~~283~~ ~~284~~ ~~285~~ ~~286~~ ~~287~~ ~~288~~ ~~289~~ ~~290~~ ~~291~~ ~~292~~ ~~293~~ ~~294~~ ~~295~~ ~~296~~ ~~297~~ ~~298~~ ~~299~~ ~~300~~ ~~301~~ ~~302~~ ~~303~~ ~~304~~ ~~305~~ ~~306~~ ~~307~~ ~~308~~ ~~309~~ ~~310~~ ~~311~~ ~~312~~ ~~313~~ ~~314~~ ~~315~~ ~~316~~ ~~317~~ ~~318~~ ~~319~~ ~~320~~ ~~321~~ ~~322~~ ~~323~~ ~~324~~ ~~325~~ ~~326~~ ~~327~~ ~~328~~ ~~329~~ ~~330~~ ~~331~~ ~~332~~ ~~333~~ ~~334~~ ~~335~~ ~~336~~ ~~337~~ ~~338~~ ~~339~~ ~~340~~ ~~341~~ ~~342~~ ~~343~~ ~~344~~ ~~345~~ ~~346~~ ~~347~~ ~~348~~ ~~349~~ ~~350~~ ~~351~~ ~~352~~ ~~353~~ ~~354~~ ~~355~~ ~~356~~ ~~357~~ ~~358~~ ~~359~~ ~~360~~ ~~361~~ ~~362~~ ~~363~~ ~~364~~ ~~365~~ ~~366~~ ~~367~~ ~~368~~ ~~369~~ ~~370~~ ~~371~~ ~~372~~ ~~373~~ ~~374~~ ~~375~~ ~~376~~ ~~377~~ ~~378~~ ~~379~~ ~~380~~ ~~381~~ ~~382~~ ~~383~~ ~~384~~ ~~385~~ ~~386~~ ~~387~~ ~~388~~ ~~389~~ ~~390~~ ~~391~~ ~~392~~ ~~393~~ ~~394~~ ~~395~~ ~~396~~ ~~397~~ ~~398~~ ~~399~~ ~~400~~ ~~401~~ ~~402~~ ~~403~~ ~~404~~ ~~405~~ ~~406~~ ~~407~~ ~~408~~ ~~409~~ ~~410~~ ~~411~~ ~~412~~ ~~413~~ ~~414~~ ~~415~~ ~~416~~ ~~417~~ ~~418~~ ~~419~~ ~~420~~ ~~421~~ ~~422~~ ~~423~~ ~~424~~ ~~425~~ ~~426~~ ~~427~~ ~~428~~ ~~429~~ ~~430~~ ~~431~~ ~~432~~ ~~433~~ ~~434~~ ~~435~~ ~~436~~ ~~437~~ ~~438~~ ~~439~~ ~~440~~ ~~441~~ ~~442~~ ~~443~~ ~~444~~ ~~445~~ ~~446~~ ~~447~~ ~~448~~ ~~449~~ ~~450~~ ~~451~~ ~~452~~ ~~453~~ ~~454~~ ~~455~~ ~~456~~ ~~457~~ ~~458~~ ~~459~~ ~~460~~ ~~461~~ ~~462~~ ~~463~~ ~~464~~ ~~465~~ ~~466~~ ~~467~~ ~~468~~ ~~469~~ ~~470~~ ~~471~~ ~~472~~ ~~473~~ ~~474~~ ~~475~~ ~~476~~ ~~477~~ ~~478~~ ~~479~~ ~~480~~ ~~481~~ ~~482~~ ~~483~~ ~~484~~ ~~485~~ ~~486~~ ~~487~~ ~~488~~ ~~489~~ ~~490~~ ~~491~~ ~~492~~ ~~493~~ ~~494~~ ~~495~~ ~~496~~ ~~497~~ ~~498~~ ~~499~~ ~~500~~ ~~501~~ ~~502~~ ~~503~~ ~~504~~ ~~505~~ ~~506~~ ~~507~~ ~~508~~ ~~509~~ ~~510~~ ~~511~~ ~~512~~ ~~513~~ ~~514~~ ~~515~~ ~~516~~ ~~517~~ ~~518~~ ~~519~~ ~~520~~ ~~521~~ ~~522~~ ~~523~~ ~~524~~ ~~525~~ ~~526~~ ~~527~~ ~~528~~ ~~529~~ ~~530~~ ~~531~~ ~~532~~ ~~533~~ ~~534~~ ~~535~~ ~~536~~ ~~537~~ ~~538~~ ~~539~~ ~~540~~ ~~541~~ ~~542~~ ~~543~~ ~~544~~ ~~545~~ ~~546~~ ~~547~~ ~~548~~ ~~549~~ ~~550~~ ~~551~~ ~~552~~ ~~553~~ ~~554~~ ~~555~~ ~~556~~ ~~557~~ ~~558~~ ~~559~~ ~~560~~ ~~561~~ ~~562~~ ~~563~~ ~~564~~ ~~565~~ ~~566~~ ~~567~~ ~~568~~ ~~569~~ ~~570~~ ~~571~~ ~~572~~ ~~573~~ ~~574~~ ~~575~~ ~~576~~ ~~577~~ ~~578~~ ~~579~~ ~~580~~ ~~581~~ ~~582~~ ~~583~~ ~~584~~ ~~585~~ ~~586~~ ~~587~~ ~~588~~ ~~589~~ ~~590~~ ~~591~~ ~~592~~ ~~593~~ ~~594~~ ~~595~~ ~~596~~ ~~597~~ ~~598~~ ~~599~~ ~~600~~ ~~601~~ ~~602~~ ~~603~~ ~~604~~ ~~605~~ ~~606~~ ~~607~~ ~~608~~ ~~609~~ ~~610~~ ~~611~~ ~~612~~ ~~613~~ ~~614~~ ~~615~~ ~~616~~ ~~617~~ ~~618~~ ~~619~~ ~~620~~ ~~621~~ ~~622~~ ~~623~~ ~~624~~ ~~625~~ ~~626~~ ~~627~~ ~~628~~ ~~629~~ ~~630~~ ~~631~~ ~~632~~ ~~633~~ ~~634~~ ~~635~~ ~~636~~ ~~637~~ ~~638~~ ~~639~~ ~~640~~ ~~641~~ ~~642~~ ~~643~~ ~~644~~ ~~645~~ ~~646~~ ~~647~~ ~~648~~ ~~649~~ ~~650~~ ~~651~~ ~~652~~ ~~653~~ ~~654~~ ~~655~~ ~~656~~ ~~657~~ ~~658~~ ~~659~~ ~~660~~ ~~661~~ ~~662~~ ~~663~~ ~~664~~ ~~665~~ ~~666~~ ~~667~~ ~~668~~ ~~669~~ ~~670~~ ~~671~~ ~~672~~ ~~673~~ ~~674~~ ~~675~~ ~~676~~ ~~677~~ ~~678~~ ~~679~~ ~~680~~ ~~681~~ ~~682~~ ~~683~~ ~~684~~ ~~685~~ ~~686~~ ~~687~~ ~~688~~ ~~689~~ ~~690~~ ~~691~~ ~~692~~ ~~693~~ ~~694~~ ~~695~~ ~~696~~ ~~697~~ ~~698~~ ~~699~~ ~~700~~ ~~701~~ ~~702~~ ~~703~~ ~~704~~ ~~705~~ ~~706~~ ~~707~~ ~~708~~ ~~709~~ ~~710~~ ~~711~~ ~~712~~ ~~713~~ ~~714~~ ~~715~~ ~~716~~ ~~717~~ ~~718~~ ~~719~~ ~~720~~ ~~721~~ ~~722~~ ~~723~~ ~~724~~ ~~725~~ ~~726~~ ~~727~~ ~~728~~ ~~729~~ ~~730~~ ~~731~~ ~~732~~ ~~733~~ ~~734~~ ~~735~~ ~~736~~ ~~737~~ ~~738~~ ~~739~~ ~~740~~ ~~741~~ ~~742~~ ~~743~~ ~~744~~ ~~745~~ ~~746~~ ~~747~~ ~~748~~ ~~749~~ ~~750~~ ~~751~~ ~~752~~ ~~753~~ ~~754~~ ~~755~~ ~~756~~ ~~757~~ ~~758~~ ~~759~~ ~~760~~ ~~761~~ ~~762~~ ~~763~~ ~~764~~ ~~765~~ ~~766~~ ~~767~~ ~~768~~ ~~769~~ ~~770~~ ~~771~~ ~~772~~ ~~773~~ ~~774~~ ~~775~~ ~~776~~ ~~777~~ ~~778~~ ~~779~~ ~~780~~ ~~781~~ ~~782~~ ~~783~~ ~~784~~ ~~785~~ ~~786~~ ~~787~~ ~~788~~ ~~789~~ ~~790~~ ~~791~~ ~~792~~ ~~793~~ ~~794~~ ~~795~~ ~~796~~ ~~797~~ ~~798~~ ~~799~~ ~~800~~ ~~801~~ ~~802~~ ~~803~~ ~~804~~ ~~805~~ ~~806~~ ~~807~~ ~~808~~ ~~809~~ ~~810~~ ~~811~~ ~~812~~ ~~813~~ ~~814~~ ~~815~~ ~~816~~ ~~817~~ ~~818~~ ~~819~~ ~~820~~ ~~821~~ ~~822~~ ~~823~~ ~~824~~ ~~825~~ ~~826~~ ~~827~~ ~~828~~ ~~829~~ ~~830~~ ~~831~~ ~~832~~ ~~833~~ ~~834~~ ~~835~~ ~~836~~ ~~837~~ ~~838~~ ~~839~~ ~~840~~ ~~841~~ ~~842~~ ~~843~~ ~~844~~ ~~845~~ ~~846~~ ~~847~~ ~~848~~ ~~849~~ ~~850~~ ~~851~~ ~~852~~ ~~853~~ ~~854~~ ~~855~~ ~~856~~ ~~857~~ ~~858~~ ~~859~~ ~~860~~ ~~861~~ ~~862~~ ~~863~~ ~~864~~ ~~865~~ ~~866~~ ~~867~~ ~~868~~ ~~869~~ ~~870~~ ~~871~~ ~~872~~ ~~873~~ ~~874~~ ~~875~~ ~~876~~ ~~877~~ ~~878~~ ~~879~~ ~~880~~ ~~881~~ ~~882~~ ~~883~~ ~~884~~ ~~885~~ ~~886~~ ~~887~~ ~~888~~ ~~889~~ ~~890~~ ~~891~~ ~~892~~ ~~893~~ ~~894~~ ~~895~~ ~~896~~ ~~897~~ ~~898~~ ~~899~~ ~~900~~ ~~901~~ ~~902~~ ~~903~~ ~~904~~ ~~905~~ ~~906~~ ~~907~~ ~~908~~ ~~909~~ ~~910~~ ~~911~~ ~~912~~ ~~913~~ ~~914~~ ~~915~~ ~~916~~ ~~917~~ ~~918~~ ~~919~~ ~~920~~ ~~921~~ ~~922~~ ~~923~~ ~~924~~ ~~925~~ ~~926~~ ~~927~~ ~~928~~ ~~929~~ ~~930~~ ~~931~~ ~~932~~ ~~933~~ ~~934~~ ~~935~~ ~~936~~ ~~937~~ ~~938~~ ~~939~~ ~~940~~ ~~941~~ ~~942~~ ~~943~~ ~~944~~ ~~945~~ ~~946~~ ~~947~~ ~~948~~ ~~949~~ ~~950~~ ~~951~~ ~~952~~ ~~953~~ ~~954~~ ~~955~~ ~~956~~ ~~957~~ ~~958~~ ~~959~~ ~~960~~ ~~961~~ ~~962~~ ~~963~~ ~~964~~ ~~965~~ ~~966~~ ~~967~~ ~~968~~ ~~969~~ ~~970~~ ~~971~~ ~~972~~ ~~973~~ ~~974~~ ~~975~~ ~~976~~ ~~977~~ ~~978~~ ~~979~~ ~~980~~ ~~981~~ ~~982~~ ~~983~~ ~~984~~ ~~985~~ ~~986~~ ~~987~~ ~~988~~ ~~989~~ ~~990~~ ~~991~~ ~~992~~ ~~993~~ ~~994~~ ~~995~~ ~~996~~ ~~997~~ ~~998~~ ~~999~~ ~~1000~~ ~~1001~~ ~~1002~~ ~~1003~~ ~~1004~~ ~~1005~~ ~~1006~~ ~~1007~~ ~~1008~~ ~~1009~~ ~~1010~~ ~~1011~~ ~~1012~~ ~~1013~~ ~~1014~~ ~~1015~~ ~~1016~~ ~~1017~~ ~~1018~~ ~~1019~~ ~~1020~~ ~~1021~~ ~~1022~~ ~~1023~~ ~~1024~~ ~~1025~~ ~~1026~~ ~~1027~~ ~~1028~~ ~~1029~~ ~~1030~~ ~~1031~~ ~~1032~~ ~~1033~~ ~~1034~~ ~~1035~~ ~~1036~~ ~~1037~~ ~~1038~~ ~~1039~~ ~~1040~~ ~~1041~~ ~~1042~~ ~~1043~~ ~~1044~~ ~~1045~~ ~~1046~~ ~~1047~~ ~~1048~~ ~~1049~~ ~~1050~~ ~~1051~~ ~~1052~~ ~~1053~~ ~~1054~~ ~~1055~~ ~~1056~~ ~~1057~~ ~~1058~~ ~~1059~~ ~~1060~~ ~~1061~~ ~~1062~~ ~~1063~~ ~~1064~~ ~~1065~~ ~~1066~~ ~~1067~~ ~~1068~~ ~~1069~~ ~~1070~~ ~~1071~~ ~~1072~~ ~~1073~~ ~~1074~~ ~~1075~~ ~~1076~~ ~~1077~~ ~~1078~~ ~~1079~~ ~~1080~~ ~~1081~~ ~~1082~~ ~~1083~~ ~~1084~~ ~~1085~~ ~~1086~~ ~~1087~~ ~~1088~~ ~~1089~~ ~~1090~~ ~~1091~~ ~~1092~~ ~~1093~~ ~~1094~~ ~~1095~~ ~~1096~~ ~~1097~~ ~~1098~~ ~~1099~~ ~~1100~~ ~~1101~~ ~~1102~~ ~~1103~~ ~~1104~~ ~~1105~~ ~~1106~~ ~~1107~~ ~~1108~~ ~~1109~~ ~~1110~~ ~~1111~~ ~~1112~~ ~~1113~~ ~~1114~~ ~~1115~~ ~~1116~~ ~~1117~~ ~~1118~~ ~~1119~~ ~~1120~~ ~~1121~~ ~~1122~~ ~~1123~~ ~~1124~~ ~~1125~~ ~~1126~~ ~~1127~~ ~~1128~~ ~~1129~~ ~~1130~~ ~~1131~~ ~~1132~~ ~~1133~~ ~~1134~~ ~~1135~~ ~~1136~~ ~~1137~~ ~~1138~~ ~~1139~~ ~~1140~~ ~~1141~~ ~~1142~~ ~~1143~~ ~~1144~~ ~~1145~~ ~~1146~~ ~~1147~~ ~~1148~~ ~~1149~~ ~~1150~~ ~~1151~~ ~~1152~~ ~~1153~~ ~~1154~~ ~~1155~~ ~~1156~~ ~~1157~~ ~~1158~~ ~~1159~~ ~~1160~~ ~~1161~~ ~~1162~~ ~~1163~~ ~~1164~~ ~~1165~~ ~~1166~~ ~~1167~~ ~~1168~~ ~~1169~~ ~~1170~~ ~~1171~~ ~~1172~~ ~~1173~~ ~~1174~~ ~~1175~~ ~~1176~~ ~~1177~~ ~~1178~~ ~~1179~~ ~~1180~~ ~~1181~~ ~~1182~~ ~~1183~~ ~~1184~~ ~~1185~~ ~~1186~~ ~~1187~~ ~~1188~~ ~~1189~~ ~~1190~~ ~~1191~~ ~~1192~~ ~~1193~~ ~~1194~~ ~~1195~~ ~~1196~~ ~~1197~~ ~~1198~~ ~~1199~~ ~~1200~~ ~~1201~~ ~~1202~~ ~~1203~~ ~~1204~~ ~~1205~~ ~~1206~~ ~~1207~~ ~~1208~~ ~~1209~~ ~~1210~~ ~~1211~~ ~~1212~~ ~~1213~~ ~~1214~~ ~~1215~~ ~~1216~~ ~~1217~~ ~~1218~~ ~~1219~~ ~~1220~~ ~~1221~~ ~~1222~~ ~~1223~~ ~~1224~~ ~~1225~~ ~~1226~~ ~~1227~~ ~~1228~~ ~~1229~~ ~~1230~~ ~~1231~~ ~~1232~~ ~~1233~~ ~~1234~~ ~~1235~~ ~~1236~~ ~~1237~~ ~~1238~~ ~~1239~~ ~~1240~~ ~~1241~~ ~~1242~~ ~~1243~~ ~~1244~~ ~~1245~~ ~~1246~~ ~~1247~~ ~~1248~~ ~~1249~~ ~~1250~~ ~~1251~~ ~~1252~~ ~~1253~~ ~~1254~~ ~~1255~~ ~~1256~~ ~~1257~~ ~~1258~~ ~~1259~~ ~~1260~~ ~~1261~~ ~~1262~~ ~~1263~~ ~~1264~~ ~~1265~~ ~~1266~~ ~~1267~~ ~~1268~~ ~~1269~~ ~~1270~~ ~~1271~~ ~~1272~~ ~~1273~~ ~~1274~~ ~~1275~~ ~~1276~~ ~~1277~~ ~~1278~~ ~~1279~~ ~~1280~~ ~~1281~~ ~~1282~~ ~~1283~~ ~~1284~~ ~~1285~~ ~~1286~~ ~~1287~~ ~~1288~~ ~~1289~~ ~~1290~~ ~~1291~~ ~~1292~~ ~~1293~~ ~~1294~~ ~~1295~~ ~~1296~~ ~~1297~~ ~~1298~~ ~~1299~~ ~~1300~~ ~~1301~~ ~~1302~~ ~~1303~~ ~~1304~~ ~~1305~~ ~~1306~~ ~~1307~~ ~~1308~~ ~~1309~~ ~~1310~~ ~~1311~~ ~~1312~~ ~~1313~~ ~~1314~~ ~~1315~~ ~~1316~~ ~~1317~~ ~~1318~~ ~~1319~~ ~~1320~~ ~~1321~~ ~~1322~~ ~~1323~~ ~~1324~~ ~~1325~~ ~~1326~~ ~~1327~~ ~~1328~~ ~~1329~~ ~~1330~~ ~~1331~~ ~~1332~~ ~~1333~~ ~~1334~~ ~~1335~~ ~~1336~~ ~~1337~~ ~~1338~~ ~~1339~~ ~~1340~~ ~~1341~~ ~~1342~~ ~~1343~~ ~~1344~~ ~~1345~~ ~~1346~~ ~~1347~~ ~~13~~

HAMPTON, VA

[illegible]

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Advertisement of Public Participation Survey & Posted August Draft of Plan for Review

July 28 – August 17, 2016

SUGGESTED LANGUAGE:

Now through August 17, 2016

GET INVOLVED: Your community is currently engaged in a planning process to become less vulnerable to natural disasters and manmade hazards, and your participation is important to us. Hampton Roads communities are jointly updating their Hazard Mitigation Plans and combining the documents, which were adopted between 2011 and early 2015. The purpose of these plans is to identify and assess each community's hazard risks (such as floods, hurricanes, and winter storms), and determine how to best minimize or manage those risks. This survey questionnaire provides an opportunity for you to share your opinions and participate in the ongoing mitigation planning process taking place in Hampton Roads communities. The information you provide will help us better understand your hazard concerns and may lead to mitigation activities that help lessen the impact of future hazard events.

Please take the survey now at: <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/JJGYBP3>

Additional opportunities to review the draft plan and provide written feedback, or to attend a Public Meeting, are forthcoming. Stay tuned for additional information.

Now through August 17, 2016

GET INVOLVED: Your community is currently engaged in a planning process to become less vulnerable to natural disasters and manmade hazards, and your participation is important to us. Hampton Roads communities are jointly updating their Hazard Mitigation Plans and combining the documents, which were adopted between 2011 and early 2015. The purpose of these plans is to identify and assess each community's hazard risks (such as floods, hurricanes, and winter storms), and determine how to best minimize or manage those risks.

There are now 2 ways in which you can provide feedback to the Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee:

1. TAKE OUR SURVEY. The survey questionnaire (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/JJGYBP3>) provides an opportunity for Hampton Roads residents to share opinions and participate in the ongoing mitigation planning process taking place with Hampton Roads communities. The information provided will help us better understand hazard concerns and may lead to mitigation activities that help lessen the impact of future hazard events.
2. REVIEW THE DRAFT PLAN. The August 2016 working draft of the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update is posted at <http://www.hrpdcva.gov/departments/emergency-management/hampton-roads-hazard-mitigation-plan/> for your review. Please provide comments or questions to John Sadler who may be reached by email at jsadler@hrpdcva.gov or by phone at (757)420-8300. Please be as specific as possible in your comments and provide page numbers or section numbers pertinent to your remarks.

An additional opportunity to attend a public forum is forthcoming. Stay tuned for additional information.

REGIONAL

- *The Virginian-Pilot* wrote an editorial on the feedback process 8/15/16. Text follows:

Virginian-Pilot editorial: Mitigating Hampton Roads' many hazards

While it's nearly impossible to prevent a natural disaster, adopting precautions in preparation can drastically reduce the loss of life and property.

For Hampton Roads, such planning is essential. The area's growing population, along with its unique geography, means more people are at risk with each passing year.

The region faces the constant threat of flooding and tropical storms, problems made worse by a changing climate, rising sea levels and land subsidence. Other natural disasters — tornadoes, earthquakes, wildfires and crippling winter storms — have all occurred in the past decade.

In order to be eligible for certain public funds, the federal government requires that localities prepare and regularly update so-called "hazard mitigation" plans. It can be a tedious undertaking, but it is also a process with real value.

These plans help provide an unvarnished assessment of vulnerabilities and the steps taken to minimize risk. It forces communities to take the long view on planning decisions as well, and to keep emergency assessment in the forefront of their thinking.

Last year, communities across Hampton Roads decided to alter their approach to this mandate by joining to craft a regionwide mitigation plan. This is not an entirely new idea; the 2011 updated mitigation plan for the Southside involved the cities of Virginia Beach, Norfolk, Portsmouth, Suffolk and Isle of Wight County.

But this effort is greater in scope and includes participation from 10 cities, eight towns and four counties — focused on a region stretching from Gloucester County in the north to the North Carolina border in the south, and from Southampton County in the west to Virginia Beach in the east.

Officials from each jurisdiction participated in the expansive effort, and the public was invited to contribute at every step.

The draft document is now ready for review and comment. Citizens are certainly encouraged to examine the nearly 500-page plan, but it is essential reading for every public official and anyone who works in emergency planning or response.

It echoes many of the local mitigation plans that have been previously written and were in need of updating. The draft proposal specifies the specific challenges unique to each community but also includes areas of shared concern, where partnerships and cooperation make natural sense.

While that may seem a straightforward approach for a place like Hampton Roads, trying to facilitate this type of multijurisdictional collaboration is often an exercise in frustration. So it is worth cheering when communities join to find regional solutions to shared public concerns.

The process is still in its draft stage, and there are two ways citizens can participate in the process.

The first is by taking an online survey, which can be found at the Hampton Roads Planning District Commission website (www.hrpdcva.gov). It requires only a few minutes and asks residents to provide input about their community and neighborhood concerns, to ensure observations at the ground level are reflected in the plan.

The other is by reading the draft, which is also available at the HRPDC website or through most regional emergency planning websites, and providing comments on its proposals. The HRPDC asks that input be directed to John Sadler, emergency management administrator, by email at jsadler@hrpdcva.gov or by phone at 757-420-8300.

There will be other opportunities for the public to weigh in; this is by no means the final step in the process. However, this year's approach is especially ambitious and would be served by citizens' involvement.

HAMPTON

- Message posted to web site and sent out news alert through the City's listserv "City News" 7/28/16
"Newsflash What do you think Hampton should do to mitigate the risks of hazards such as flooding?"

Take the survey on disaster vulnerability

Hampton is engaged in a planning process to become less vulnerable to natural disasters and man-made hazards, and we need your participation. Hampton Roads communities are jointly updating their Hazard Mitigation Plans and combining the documents. An ongoing survey questionnaire provides an opportunity for you to share your opinions and participate in the planning process. The information you provide will help us better understand your hazard concerns and may lead to mitigation activities that help lessen the impact of future hazard events. Please [take the survey](#) by Aug. 17. There will be additional opportunities to review the draft plan and provide written

feedback, or to attend a public meeting.

- Fort Monroe Authority posted it on their web site 7/28/16

NEWPORT NEWS

- Sent information out in city newsletter 8/1/16. Newsletter reaches approximately 70,000 subscribers.
- Newport News Now article
- Twitter - will be repeated 2 weeks
- Facebook - will be repeated 2 weeks
- Highlight on website
- Coverage on WAVY and WTKR. They ran it as a regional story.

POQUOSON

- City Manager's office notified and they sent out notice through web site and social media outlets and their PPI Committee 7/28/16

WILLIAMSBURG

- City posted original survey item on website and sent out enotify to 700+ subscribers.
- City posted second announcement on survey and draft plan on website and sent out enotify to 700+ subscribers
- City posted second announcement on City's Facebook page with link to news story on City's website providing the links to survey and draft plan.
- City requested an email be sent to the Williamsburg Neighborhood Council. 8/5/16
- City requested EDA send an email to the business community. Sent out 459 emails to Mailchamp business list and autoposted to the YesWilliamsburg Facebook page. 8/5/16
- City sent email to members of LEPC and partners at William & Mary and Colonial Williamsburg. 8/8/16

JAMES CITY COUNTY

- Sent message on County's Civic Plus **New Newsflash Get Involved in the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update** 7/29/16. Note: James City County's new approach to outreach and website management is through Civic Plus. When they issue a press release, it automatically is posted on the County's website, sent to social media, and forwarded to the large number of citizens who have registered to receive County updates and press releases. As a result of this new system, County no longer issues to lists. Most employees, citizens groups, boards, businesses, homeowner groups, and other interested parties are well represented on the press release distribution.
- Reminder sent out 8/4/16 – "Have You Taken the Survey?"
- The *Williamsburg Yorktown Daily* picked up the news and wrote an article 9/8/16
<http://wydaily.com/2016/08/03/local-news-jcc-seeks-public-opinion-in-disaster-planning/>.



JCC seeks public opinion in disaster planning

By WYDaily Staff (<http://wydaily.com/author/adrienneberard/>) on August 3, 2016

WYDaily.com is your source for free news and information in Williamsburg, James City & York Counties. (<http://wydaily.com>)

When it comes to



All the dry docks at the James City County Marina were underwater due to flooding last year. (Courtesy of Mark Gillespie)

preparing for disaster, James City County wants to know your game plan.

According to a news release, the county is conducting a public opinion survey as part of its Hazard Mitigation Plan, a means of making the county less vulnerable to natural disasters and manmade hazards.

The information gathered from the survey will be used to assess local hazard risks — such as floods, hurricanes, and winter storms — and determine how to best minimize or manage those risks.

With questions such as "How concerned are you about the possibility of our community being impacted by a natural hazard or manmade disaster?" and "Have you taken any actions to make your home or neighborhood more resistant to hazards?" the survey aims to gauge the public's concern and readiness for emergencies.

James City County is one of a number of Hampton Roads communities jointly updating their Hazard Mitigation Plans and combining the findings in one central document.

"This survey questionnaire provides an opportunity for you to share your opinions and participate in the ongoing mitigation planning process taking place in Hampton Roads communities," read a statement from the county. "The information you provide will help us better understand your hazard concerns and may lead to mitigation activities that help lessen the impact of future hazard events."

Click here to take the survey (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/JJGYBP3>), which will be open through Aug. 17.

According to the release, additional opportunities to review the draft plan, provide written feedback and attend a public meeting are forthcoming.

JCC seeks public opinion in disaster planning added by WYDaily Staff (<http://wydaily.com/author/adrienneberard/>) on August 3, 2016
View all posts by WYDaily Staff --> (<http://wydaily.com/author/adrienneberard/>)

Comments

0 Comments

Sort by Oldest



Add a comment...


Facebook Comments Plugin

PORTSMOUTH

- Posted to the City's social media pages and City's web site 7/28/16

SUFFOLK

- Posted the link to the City News portion on the City's homepage. 8/4/16
- Posted this information to the City's Facebook page. 8/4/16
- Provided information to all City employees via email, and have also notified members of Suffolk City Council in the event they would like to also share with their constituents. 8/4/16



CHESAPEAKE

- Link to the survey, with appropriate language modeled on the recommended, was been posted on the City website (CityOfChesapeake.net) in the "Spotlight" section. Link remained active until 16-August, to correspond with the survey dates.
- Additionally, this topic was promoted on the City's Facebook page (7/28), with a reach of about 1,700 and 11 shares. We do not anticipate those numbers to climb significantly.
- A similar message was delivered (7/28) via the City's Twitter account.

VIRGINIA BEACH

- The content for the survey was added to website as a headline on landing page, VBgov.com, as well as a page under Emergency Preparedness. <https://www.vbgov.com/residents/emergency-preparedness/Pages/hazard-mitigation-plan-survey.aspx> - 7/29/16
- Shared the information in weekly City Manager's Update that went out 7/29/16; in our bi-monthly e-newsletter, City Page; with our Emergency Preparedness Committee and on social media. 7/29/16
- Twitter, Facebook and page above updated on 8/4/16 to include information on reviewing the hazard mitigation plan and the link.
- *Southside Daily* ran article 8/8/16 on opportunities to take survey and review draft <http://southsidedaily.com/2016/08/04/city-asking-residents-how-to-save-lives-property-during-floods/>




OPPORTUNITIES

FEEDBACK WANTED ON HAMPTON ROADS HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN

Becoming less vulnerable to natural disasters and manmade hazards is the goal of ongoing planning efforts. Hampton Roads communities are jointly updating their **Hazard Mitigation Plans** and combining the documents, which were adopted between 2011 and early 2015. The purpose of these plans is to identify and assess each community's hazard risks, such as floods, hurricanes, and winter storms, and determine how to best minimize or manage those risks.



As part of the updating, the **Hampton Roads Planning District Commission** has developed a survey questionnaire to gather feedback from residents about their concerns and ideas. The information will help all **Hampton Roads communities** better understand the current situations and it may lead to mitigation activities that help lessen the impact of future hazard events. The survey will be available until Wednesday, Aug. 17. (Direct link: www.surveymonkey.com/r/JJGYBP3).



ISLE OF WIGHT COUNTY

- Posted information on survey on county web page 8/1/16
- Posted information on survey on Facebook 8/1/16
- Updated the County's web page and passed info requesting review of the plan to the social media coordinator 8/5/16. Information removed August 17, 2016. Updated Facebook post on 8/5/16.



APPENDIX D

This Appendix provides a copy of the 2015/2016 Public Participation Survey and a summary of results collected. The survey was initially distributed and collected at public meetings in 2015. Those 12 surveys are provided in this appendix in their entirety with contact information redacted. The results of those 12 surveys were then manually input into Survey Monkey in July 2016 when the survey was again distributed on a larger scale. This action ensured that the earlier results were included in the overall summary results for the July/August 2016 survey that comprise the second part of this appendix.

Open-ended responses were not included in this summary due to the hundreds of lengthy responses. However, the HRPDC maintains a file copy of the survey responses for public review upon request.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SURVEY FOR HAZARD MITIGATION PLANNING

We need your help!

Your community is currently engaged in a planning process to become less vulnerable to natural disasters and manmade hazards, and your participation is important to us.

Hampton Roads communities are jointly updating their Hazard Mitigation Plans and combining the documents, which were adopted between 2011 and early this year. The purpose of these plans is to identify and assess each community's hazard risks (such as floods, hurricanes, nor'easters, and winter storms), and determine how to best minimize or manage those risks. Upon completion, the multi-jurisdictional plan will be presented to each community's governing board for adoption and submitted to the Virginia Division of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency for review and approval. The process of preparing and updating these plans in accordance with Federal regulations helps guarantee the availability of disaster assistance and mitigation funds when needed.

This survey questionnaire provides an opportunity for you to share your opinions and participate in the mitigation planning process. The information you provide will help us better understand your hazard concerns and can lead to mitigation activities that should help lessen the impact of future hazard events.

Please help us by completing this survey and returning it to our planning consultant:

Leigh Chapman, CFM
Salter's Creek Consulting, Inc.
1 Peek Street
Hampton, VA 23669
Fax: 270-721-9649
leigh.morgan2@verizon.net

If you have any questions regarding this survey, or would like to learn about more ways you can participate in the development of our Hazard Mitigation Plan, please contact the Hampton Roads Planning District Commission Emergency Management Department at 757-420-8300.

1. In what community do you live?

- | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Isle of Wight County | 13. Town of Courtland |
| 2. Town of Smithfield | 14. Town of Ivor |
| 3. Town of Windsor | 15. Town of Newsoms |
| 4. <u>City of Norfolk</u> | 16. City of Poquoson |
| 5. City of Portsmouth | 17. City of Chesapeake |
| 6. City of Suffolk | 18. City of Hampton |
| 7. City of Virginia Beach | 19. City of Newport News |
| 8. City of Franklin | 20. City of Williamsburg |
| 9. Southampton County | 21. James City County |
| 10. Town of Boykins | 22. York County |
| 11. Town of Branchville | |
| 12. Town of Capron | |

2. In what neighborhood do you live? (optional)

TALBOT PARK

3. Have you ever experienced or been impacted by a natural or manmade disaster?

- ☒ Yes (please explain): HURRICANES ISABEL & IRENE (NORFOLK)
☐ No

4. How concerned are you about the possibility of our community being impacted by a natural hazard or manmade disaster?

- ☒ Extremely concerned
☐ Somewhat concerned
☐ Not concerned

5. Please select the one hazard you think is the *highest threat* to your community:

Hazards	
☐ Flood	☐ Extreme Heat
☐ Sea Level Rise	☐ Earthquake
☐ Tropical Storm	☐ Wildfire
☐ Severe T-Storm	☐ Erosion
☐ Tsunami	☐ Sinkhole
☐ Urban Fire	☐ Mosquito Diseases
☐ Winter Storm/	☐ Hazardous Materials Incidents
☐ Nor'easter	☐ Terrorism
☐ Drought	☐ Biological Threats
☐ Dam Failure	☐ Radiological Threats
☐ Tornado	☒ Pandemic Flu

6. Please select the one hazard you think is the *second highest threat* to your community:

Hazards	
☒ Flood	☐ Extreme Heat
☐ Sea Level Rise	☐ Earthquake
☐ Tropical Storm	☐ Wildfire
☐ Severe T-Storm	☐ Erosion
☐ Tsunami	☐ Sinkhole
☐ Urban Fire	☐ Mosquito Diseases
☐ Winter Storm/	☐ Hazardous Materials Incidents
☐ Nor'easter	☐ Terrorism
☐ Drought	☐ Biological Threats
☐ Dam Failure	☐ Radiological Threats
☐ Tornado	☐ Pandemic Flu

7. Is there another hazard not listed above that you think is a wide-scale threat to your neighborhood?

- ☒ Yes (please explain): ELECTRO MAGNETIC PULSE
☐ No
BOTH NATURALLY OCCURRING (SOLAR FLARES)
AND MAN-MADE (TERRORIST OR NATION STATE
ATTACK)
• CYBER ATTACK ON CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE

8. Is your home located in a floodplain?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No
☐ I don't know

9. Do you have flood insurance?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No
☐ I don't know

If "No", why not?

- ☐ Not located in floodplain
☐ Too expensive
☐ Not necessary because it never floods
☐ Not necessary because I'm elevated or otherwise protected
☐ Not necessary because I own my house
☐ Never really considered it
☐ Other (please explain): _____

10. Have you ever investigated the potential impact of hazards other than flooding affecting your neighborhood?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

If "Yes", please explain:

I HAVE INVESTIGATED EFFECTS OF MOST OF THE HAZARDS ON THE PRECEDING PAGE EXCEPT: SINKHOLE, DAM FAILURE, AND WILDFIRE.

11. Have you taken any actions to make your home or neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

If "Yes", please explain:

WE HAVE REDUCED VULNERABILITY TO WIND DAMAGE BY REMOVING TREES, TRIMMING TREES AND REQUESTING THE CITY TO REMOVE SIMILAR HAZARDS.
WE HAVE TAKEN STEPS TO REDUCE VULNERABILITY TO LOSS OF SERVICES SUCH AS ELECTRICITY, WATER, ETC WHILE SHELTERING IN PLACE

12. Are you interested in making your home or neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

13. What is the most effective way for you to receive information about how to make your home and neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☐ Newspaper
☐ Television
☐ Radio
☐ Internet (web site and social media sites)
☐ Mail
☐ Public workshops/meetings
☒ E-Mail
☐ Phone
☐ Other (please explain): _____

14. In your opinion, what are some steps your local government could take to reduce or eliminate the risk of future hazard damages in your neighborhood?

SEE ATTACHED COMMENTS

15. The Hazard Mitigation Plan should not stand alone, but should be incorporated into other community planning documents. Which documents do you feel are most important for this purpose (check all that apply)?

- | | |
|---|---|
| ☒ Local Comprehensive Plan | ☒ Tree Protection Ordinance (CURRENTLY A BARRIER TO MITIGATION) |
| ☒ Land Use Plan | ☐ Site Plan/Design Review procedures |
| ☐ Sustainability Plan | ☐ Historic Preservation Program |
| ☐ Capital Improvements Plan | ☐ Regional Transportation Plans |
| ☒ Redevelopment/Post-Disaster/Recovery Plan | ☐ School Facilities Plan |
| ☐ Open Space Plan | ☐ Recreation Facilities Program |
| ☐ Flood Mitigation Plan | ☐ Economic Development Authority |
| ☐ College Campus Plans | ☐ Downtown Redevelopment Authority |
| ☒ Emergency Operations Plan | ☐ Local and Regional Evacuation Plans |
| ☐ Zoning & Subdivision Regulations | ☒ Mutual Aid Agreements |
| ☐ Property Deed Restrictions | ☐ Temp Animal Relocation Programs |

16. A number of community-wide activities can reduce our risk from hazards. In general, these activities fall into one of the following six broad categories. Please tell us how important you think each one is for your community to consider pursuing.

Category	Very Important	Somewhat Important	Not Important
<u>Prevention</u> Administrative or regulatory actions that influence the way land is developed and buildings are built. Examples: planning and zoning, building codes, open space preservation, stormwater management regulations, drainage system maintenance, capital improvements programming, shoreline/riverine setbacks, Chesapeake Bay Act regulations, and floodplain regulations.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Property Protection</u> Actions that involve the modification of existing buildings to protect them from a hazard or removal from the hazard area. Examples: acquisition, relocation, elevation, critical facilities protection, structural retrofits, storm shutters, and insurance.	☐	☐	☒
THIS BURDEN SHOULD FALL ON PROPERTY OWNERS NOT THE PUBLIC			
<u>Natural Resource Protection</u> Actions that, in addition to minimizing hazard losses, also preserve or restore the functions of natural systems. Examples: land acquisition, floodplain protection, watershed management, beach and dune preservation, erosion and sediment control, wetland preservation and restoration, habitat preservation, slope stabilization, riparian buffers, and forest management.	☐	☒	☐
<u>Structural Projects</u> Actions intended to lessen the impact of a hazard by modifying the natural progression of the hazard. Examples: dams, levees, seawalls, detention/retention basins, channel modification, beach nourishment, retaining walls and storm sewers.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Emergency Services</u> Actions that protect people and property during and immediately after a hazard event. Examples: warning systems, evacuation planning, emergency response training, sandbagging for flood protection, and installing temporary shutters for wind protection.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Public Education and Awareness</u> Actions to inform citizens about hazards and the techniques they can use to protect themselves and their property. Examples: outreach projects, speaker series/demos, hazard mapping, real estate disclosure, school education programs, library materials, hazard expos and inter-governmental coordination.	☐	☒	☐

17. Did the examples of activities from Question 16 trigger any additional ideas, thoughts or priorities you believe are important for your community's plan to reduce the cost and impact of hazards?

• SEE ATTACHED COMMENTS

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION!

This survey may be submitted anonymously, however if you provide us with your name and contact information below we will have the ability to follow up with you to learn more about your ideas or concerns and provide information on upcoming meetings related to the plan update process (optional):

Name:

Address:

Phone:

Hazard Mitigation Survey Response to Questions 14 & 17

The community needs a comprehensive and rigorously conducted, all hazard risk assessment. The risk assessments in the 2011 Peninsula and South Hampton Roads mitigation plans seem to be biased toward “conventional environmental hazards.” Information provided in 2011 plans makes it clear that vulnerability to some hazards was excluded from consideration. These omissions diminish the value of the risk assessments for comprehensive hazard planning.

A comprehensive, all hazard planning methodology requires that:

- All feasible hazards are identified
- Likelihood and consequence of each hazard are evaluated
- The effects of each hazard on critical infrastructure, resources and services are identified
- The effects of hazards on critical infrastructure, resources and services are evaluated both individually and in aggregate
- Mitigation and consequence management decisions are based on both the risk of each individual hazard and also the aggregated risk of all identified hazards to critical infrastructure, resources and services

The 2011 plans do not apply a methodology similar to that outlined above. The plans do treat “conventional environmental hazards” (hurricane, flooding and other weather related phenomena) and some ancillary low risk hazards (fires, drought, dam failure and hazmat incidents) from the perspective of individual risk but do not consider aggregated risk. It also appears that, some “non-environmental hazards” were intentionally excluded from the hazard assessments. This was reinforced during the March 3rd public meeting when some of these hazards were characterized as “too hard to mitigate.” The existing plans also seem to focus on the mitigation of risk and damage to property, especially via land use planning. Vulnerability to loss of life and mitigations that may save lives are not treated in the same detail. It appears that a bias exists, in the current plans, against planning for hazards that are more difficult to conceptualize, are not modelled by HAZUS-MH or cannot be mitigated through land use planning.

Specifically, the 2011 plans do not adequately address these hazards:


1. Pandemic Flu and Infectious Disease (PI&ID)
2. Electro-Magnetic Pulse (EMP)
3. Terrorism, especially cyber terrorism
4. Extra-regional events that impose adverse consequences in the Hampton Roads region

The methodology described above can be illustrated by considering these four types of events. The probability of each of these events is no less than “Moderate” and all have the potential to impose sustained, wide-spread loss of electrical power in addition to other critical services. This effect on electrical power is likely to occur when an infectious disease prevalence is equivalent to the 1918-19 influenza pandemic, during an EMP equivalent to the 1859 Carrington solar flare event, when an effective cyber terrorism attack on electrical infrastructure occurs or following an earthquake in the San Madrid Seismic Zone equivalent to the 1811 disaster.

The consequence of each of these events individually should be sufficient to warrant the expenditure of mitigation resources. However, the aggregated risk to electrical power distribution from these events very clearly supports expending mitigation resources.

An approach that focuses on identifying the effects that individual hazards impose on critical infrastructure, resources and services not only supports making decisions based on aggregated risk, it also provides for inherent all-hazard resilience. For example, by preparing for the effects of sustained power disruption *regardless of the cause*, the consequences of even unforeseen and un-analyzed hazards are mitigated.

The current hazard mitigation effort presents an opportunity to conduct a comprehensive all-hazard risk analysis that can be used to inform both mitigation and consequence management planning. Such an analysis is the single most important action for community hazard planning.



PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SURVEY FOR HAZARD MITIGATION PLANNING

We need your help!

Your community is currently engaged in a planning process to become less vulnerable to natural disasters and manmade hazards, and your participation is important to us.

Hampton Roads communities are jointly updating their Hazard Mitigation Plans and combining the documents, which were adopted between 2011 and early this year. The purpose of these plans is to identify and assess each community's hazard risks (such as floods, hurricanes, nor'easters, and winter storms), and determine how to best minimize or manage those risks. Upon completion, the multi-jurisdictional plan will be presented to each community's governing board for adoption and submitted to the Virginia Division of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency for review and approval. The process of preparing and updating these plans in accordance with Federal regulations helps guarantee the availability of disaster assistance and mitigation funds when needed.

This survey questionnaire provides an opportunity for you to share your opinions and participate in the mitigation planning process. The information you provide will help us better understand your hazard concerns and can lead to mitigation activities that should help lessen the impact of future hazard events.

Please help us by completing this survey and returning it to our planning consultant:

Leigh Chapman, CFM
Salter's Creek Consulting, Inc.
1 Peek Street
Hampton, VA 23669
Fax: 270-721-9649
leigh.morgan2@verizon.net

If you have any questions regarding this survey, or would like to learn about more ways you can participate in the development of our Hazard Mitigation Plan, please contact the Hampton Roads Planning District Commission Emergency Management Department at 757-420-8300.

1. In what community do you live?

- | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Isle of Wight County | 13. Town of Courtland |
| 2. Town of Smithfield | 14. Town of Ivor |
| 3. Town of Windsor | 15. Town of Newsoms |
| 4. City of Norfolk | 16. City of Poquoson |
| 5. City of Portsmouth | 17. City of Chesapeake |
| 6. City of Suffolk | 18. City of Hampton |
| 7. City of Virginia Beach | 19. City of Newport News |
| 8. City of Franklin | 20. City of Williamsburg |
| 9. Southampton County | 21. James City County |
| 10. Town of Boykins | 22. York County |
| 11. Town of Branchville | |
| 12. Town of Capron | |

2. In what neighborhood do you live? (optional)

Carrollton

3. Have you ever experienced or been impacted by a natural or manmade disaster?

- ☐ Yes (please explain): _____
☒ No

4. How concerned are you about the possibility of our community being impacted by a natural hazard or manmade disaster?

- ☒ Extremely concerned
☐ Somewhat concerned
☐ Not concerned

5. Please select the one hazard you think is the *highest threat* to your community:

Hazards	
☒ Flood	☐ Extreme Heat
☐ Sea Level Rise	☐ Earthquake
☐ Tropical Storm	☐ Wildfire
☐ Severe T-Storm	☐ Erosion
☐ Tsunami	☐ Sinkhole
☐ Urban Fire	☐ Mosquito Diseases
☐ Winter Storm/	☐ Hazardous Materials Incidents
☐ Nor'easter	☐ Terrorism
☐ Drought	☐ Biological Threats
☐ Dam Failure	☐ Radiological Threats
☐ Tornado	☐ Pandemic Flu

6. Please select the one hazard you think is the *second highest threat* to your community:

Hazards	
☐ Flood	☐ Extreme Heat
☐ Sea Level Rise	☐ Earthquake
☐ Tropical Storm	☐ Wildfire
☐ Severe T-Storm	☐ Erosion
☐ Tsunami	☐ Sinkhole
☐ Urban Fire	☐ Mosquito Diseases
☐ Winter Storm/	☐ Hazardous Materials Incidents
☒ Nor'easter	☐ Terrorism
☐ Drought	☐ Biological Threats
☐ Dam Failure	☐ Radiological Threats
☐ Tornado	☐ Pandemic Flu

7. Is there another hazard not listed above that you think is a wide-scale threat to your neighborhood?

- ☐ Yes (please explain): _____
☐ No

8. Is your home located in a floodplain?

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No
- ☐ I don't know

9. Do you have flood insurance?

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No
- ☐ I don't know

If "No", why not?

- ☒ Not located in floodplain
- ☐ Too expensive
- ☐ Not necessary because it never floods
- ☐ Not necessary because I'm elevated or otherwise protected
- ☐ Not necessary because I own my house
- ☐ Never really considered it
- ☐ Other (please explain): _____

10. Have you ever investigated the potential impact of hazards other than flooding affecting your neighborhood?

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If "Yes", please explain:

11. Have you taken any actions to make your home or neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If "Yes", please explain:

12. Are you interested in making your home or neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

13. What is the most effective way for you to receive information about how to make your home and neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☐ Newspaper
- ☒ Television
- ☐ Radio
- ☒ Internet (web site and social media sites)
- ☐ Mail
- ☒ Public workshops/meetings
- ☐ E-Mail
- ☐ Phone
- ☐ Other (please explain): _____

14. In your opinion, what are some steps your local government could take to reduce or eliminate the risk of future hazard damages in your neighborhood?

Trim back trees to reduce power outages from tree limbs falling on power lines. Expand broadband Internet access throughout the county. Properly clean and maintain drainage conveyances. Do more on-site detention to prevent flooding and conveyance of pollutants. Identify areas of repeat flooding and mitigate for a long-term solution.

15. The Hazard Mitigation Plan should not stand alone, but should be incorporated into other community planning documents. Which documents do you feel are most important for this purpose (check all that apply)?

- | | |
|---|---|
| ☒ Local Comprehensive Plan | ☐ Tree Protection Ordinance |
| ☒ Land Use Plan | ☐ Site Plan/Design Review procedures |
| ☐ Sustainability Plan | ☐ Historic Preservation Program |
| ☒ Capital Improvements Plan | ☒ Regional Transportation Plans |
| ☒ Redevelopment/Post-Disaster/Recovery Plan | ☐ School Facilities Plan |
| ☒ Open Space Plan | ☐ Recreation Facilities Program |
| ☒ Flood Mitigation Plan | ☐ Economic Development Authority |
| ☐ College Campus Plans | ☐ Downtown Redevelopment Authority |
| ☒ Emergency Operations Plan | ☒ Local and Regional Evacuation Plans |
| ☐ Zoning & Subdivision Regulations | ☒ Mutual Aid Agreements |
| ☐ Property Deed Restrictions | ☒ Temp Animal Relocation Programs |

16. A number of community-wide activities can reduce our risk from hazards. In general, these activities fall into one of the following six broad categories. Please tell us how important you think each one is for your community to consider pursuing.

Category	Very Important	Somewhat Important	Not Important
<u>Prevention</u> Administrative or regulatory actions that influence the way land is developed and buildings are built. Examples: planning and zoning, building codes, open space preservation, stormwater management regulations, drainage system maintenance, capital improvements programming, shoreline/riverine setbacks, Chesapeake Bay Act regulations, and floodplain regulations.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Property Protection</u> Actions that involve the modification of existing buildings to protect them from a hazard or removal from the hazard area. Examples: acquisition, relocation, elevation, critical facilities protection, structural retrofits, storm shutters, and insurance.	☐	☒	☐
<u>Natural Resource Protection</u> Actions that, in addition to minimizing hazard losses, also preserve or restore the functions of natural systems. Examples: land acquisition, floodplain protection, watershed management, beach and dune preservation, erosion and sediment control, wetland preservation and restoration, habitat preservation, slope stabilization, riparian buffers, and forest management.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Structural Projects</u> Actions intended to lessen the impact of a hazard by modifying the natural progression of the hazard. Examples: dams, levees, seawalls, detention/retention basins, channel modification, beach nourishment, retaining walls and storm sewers.	☐	☐	☒
<u>Emergency Services</u> Actions that protect people and property during and immediately after a hazard event. Examples: warning systems, evacuation planning, emergency response training, sandbagging for flood protection, and installing temporary shutters for wind protection.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Public Education and Awareness</u> Actions to inform citizens about hazards and the techniques they can use to protect themselves and their property. Examples: outreach projects, speaker series/demos, hazard mapping, real estate disclosure, school education programs, library materials, hazard expos and inter-governmental coordination.	☒	☐	☐

17. Did the examples of activities from Question 16 trigger any additional ideas, thoughts or priorities you believe are important for your community's plan to reduce the cost and impact of hazards?

Get certain emergency services in IOW to get along with the Board of Supervisors and with each other.

Keep improving the IOW-Alert system.

Start planning now for sea level rise and climate change.

Consider raising ^{repetitive loss} buildings out of the floodplain.

Try to raise IOW's freeboard from 1.5 feet to 3 feet.

Use open space planning and conservation practices to protect sensitive areas from development and maintain natural floodplain systems.

Promote more use of "soft" practices to protect the shoreline while allowing wetlands to move as sea levels change.

ODU and HRPDC are excellent resources for sea level rise initiatives.

Plan a public information campaign to promote and win support for sea level rise + climate change


THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION!

This survey may be submitted anonymously, however, if you provide us with your name and contact information below we will have the ability to follow up with you to learn more about your ideas or concerns and provide information on upcoming meetings related to the plan update process (optional):

Name:

Address:

Phone:



PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SURVEY FOR HAZARD MITIGATION PLANNING

We need your help!

Your community is currently engaged in a planning process to become less vulnerable to natural disasters and manmade hazards, and your participation is important to us.

Hampton Roads communities are jointly updating their Hazard Mitigation Plans and combining the documents, which were adopted between 2011 and early this year. The purpose of these plans is to identify and assess each community's hazard risks (such as floods, hurricanes, nor'easters, and winter storms), and determine how to best minimize or manage those risks. Upon completion, the multi-jurisdictional plan will be presented to each community's governing board for adoption and submitted to the Virginia Division of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency for review and approval. The process of preparing and updating these plans in accordance with Federal regulations helps guarantee the availability of disaster assistance and mitigation funds when needed.

This survey questionnaire provides an opportunity for you to share your opinions and participate in the mitigation planning process. The information you provide will help us better understand your hazard concerns and can lead to mitigation activities that should help lessen the impact of future hazard events.

Please help us by completing this survey and returning it to our planning consultant:

Leigh Chapman, CFM
Salter's Creek Consulting, Inc.
1 Peek Street
Hampton, VA 23669
Fax: 270-721-9649
leigh.morgan2@verizon.net

If you have any questions regarding this survey, or would like to learn about more ways you can participate in the development of our Hazard Mitigation Plan, please contact the Hampton Roads Planning District Commission Emergency Management Department at 757-420-8300.

1. In what community do you live?

- | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Isle of Wight County | 13. Town of Courtland |
| 2. Town of Smithfield | 14. Town of Ivor |
| 3. Town of Windsor | 15. Town of Newsoms |
| 4. City of Norfolk | 16. City of Poquoson |
| 5. City of Portsmouth | 17. City of Chesapeake |
| 6. City of Suffolk | 18. City of Hampton |
| 7. City of Virginia Beach | 19. City of Newport News |
| 8. City of Franklin | 20. City of Williamsburg |
| 9. Southampton County | 21. James City County |
| 10. Town of Boykins | 22. York County |
| 11. Town of Branchville | |
| 12. Town of Capron | |

2. In what neighborhood do you live? (optional)

AIRPORT - WHARF VILLAGE BOROUGH

3. Have you ever experienced or been impacted by a natural or manmade disaster?

- ☐ Yes (please explain): _____
☒ No

4. How concerned are you about the possibility of our community being impacted by a natural hazard or manmade disaster?

- ☒ Extremely concerned
☐ Somewhat concerned
☐ Not concerned

5. Please select the one hazard you think is the *highest threat* to your community:

Hazards	
☐ Flood	☐ Extreme Heat
☐ Sea Level Rise	☐ Earthquake
☒ Tropical Storm	☐ Wildfire
☐ Severe T-Storm	☐ Erosion
☐ Tsunami	☐ Sinkhole
☐ Urban Fire	☐ Mosquito Diseases
☐ Winter Storm/	☐ Hazardous Materials Incidents
☐ Nor'easter	☐ Terrorism
☐ Drought	☐ Biological Threats
☐ Dam Failure	☐ Radiological Threats
☐ Tornado	☐ Pandemic Flu

6. Please select the one hazard you think is the *second highest threat* to your community:

Hazards	
☐ Flood	☐ Extreme Heat
☐ Sea Level Rise	☐ Earthquake
☐ Tropical Storm	☐ Wildfire
☐ Severe T-Storm	☐ Erosion
☐ Tsunami	☐ Sinkhole
☐ Urban Fire	☐ Mosquito Diseases
☐ Winter Storm/	☐ Hazardous Materials Incidents
☒ Nor'easter	☐ Terrorism
☐ Drought	☐ Biological Threats
☐ Dam Failure	☐ Radiological Threats
☐ Tornado	☐ Pandemic Flu

7. Is there another hazard not listed above that you think is a wide-scale threat to your neighborhood?

- ☐ Yes (please explain): _____
☒ No

8. Is your home located in a floodplain?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No
☐ I don't know

9. Do you have flood insurance?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No
☐ I don't know

If "No", why not?

- ☒ Not located in floodplain
☐ Too expensive
☐ Not necessary because it never floods
☐ Not necessary because I'm elevated or otherwise protected
☐ Not necessary because I own my house
☐ Never really considered it
☐ Other (please explain): _____

10. Have you ever investigated the potential impact of hazards other than flooding affecting your neighborhood?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

If "Yes", please explain:

PLANE CRASH, I LIVE 2 mi from SUFFOLK EXECUTIVE AIRPORT

11. Have you taken any actions to make your home or neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No

If "Yes", please explain:

12. Are you interested in making your home or neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

13. What is the most effective way for you to receive information about how to make your home and neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☐ Newspaper
☐ Television
☐ Radio
☒ Internet (web site and social media sites)
☐ Mail
☐ Public workshops/meetings
☐ E-Mail
☐ Phone
☐ Other (please explain): _____

14. In your opinion, what are some steps your local government could take to reduce or eliminate the risk of future hazard damages in your neighborhood?

IMPROVE ROAD SIDE DRAINAGE

15. The Hazard Mitigation Plan should not stand alone, but should be incorporated into other community planning documents. Which documents do you feel are most important for this purpose (check all that apply)?

- | | |
|---|---|
| ☒ Local Comprehensive Plan | ☐ Tree Protection Ordinance |
| ☒ Land Use Plan | ☐ Site Plan/Design Review procedures |
| ☒ Sustainability Plan | ☐ Historic Preservation Program |
| ☒ Capital Improvements Plan | ☐ Regional Transportation Plans |
| ☒ Redevelopment/Post-Disaster/Recovery Plan | ☒ School Facilities Plan |
| ☐ Open Space Plan | ☐ Recreation Facilities Program |
| ☒ Flood Mitigation Plan | ☐ Economic Development Authority |
| ☒ College Campus Plans | ☐ Downtown Redevelopment Authority |
| ☒ Emergency Operations Plan | ☒ Local and Regional Evacuation Plans |
| ☒ Zoning & Subdivision Regulations | ☒ Mutual Aid Agreements |
| ☐ Property Deed Restrictions | ☐ Temp Animal Relocation Programs |

16. A number of community-wide activities can reduce our risk from hazards. In general, these activities fall into one of the following six broad categories. Please tell us how important you think each one is for your community to consider pursuing.

Category	Very Important	Somewhat Important	Not Important
<u>Prevention</u> Administrative or regulatory actions that influence the way land is developed and buildings are built. Examples: planning and zoning, building codes, open space preservation, stormwater management regulations, drainage system maintenance, capital improvements programming, shoreline/riverine setbacks, Chesapeake Bay Act regulations, and floodplain regulations.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Property Protection</u> Actions that involve the modification of existing buildings to protect them from a hazard or removal from the hazard area. Examples: acquisition, relocation, elevation, critical facilities protection, structural retrofits, storm shutters, and insurance.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Natural Resource Protection</u> Actions that, in addition to minimizing hazard losses, also preserve or restore the functions of natural systems. Examples: land acquisition, floodplain protection, watershed management, beach and dune preservation, erosion and sediment control, wetland preservation and restoration, habitat preservation, slope stabilization, riparian buffers, and forest management.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Structural Projects</u> Actions intended to lessen the impact of a hazard by modifying the natural progression of the hazard. Examples: dams, levees, seawalls, detention/retention basins, channel modification, beach nourishment, retaining walls and storm sewers.	☐	☒	☐
<u>Emergency Services</u> Actions that protect people and property during and immediately after a hazard event. Examples: warning systems, evacuation planning, emergency response training, sandbagging for flood protection, and installing temporary shutters for wind protection.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Public Education and Awareness</u> Actions to inform citizens about hazards and the techniques they can use to protect themselves and their property. Examples: outreach projects, speaker series/demos, hazard mapping, real estate disclosure, school education programs, library materials, hazard expos and inter-governmental coordination.	☒	☐	☐

- 17. Did the examples of activities from Question 16 trigger any additional ideas, thoughts or priorities you believe are important for your community's plan to reduce the cost and impact of hazards?**

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION!

This survey may be submitted anonymously, however if you provide us with your name and contact information below we will have the ability to follow up with you to learn more about your ideas or concerns and provide information on upcoming meetings related to the plan update process (optional):

Name:

Address:

Phone:

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SURVEY FOR HAZARD MITIGATION PLANNING

We need your help!

Your community is currently engaged in a planning process to become less vulnerable to natural disasters and manmade hazards, and your participation is important to us.

Hampton Roads communities are jointly updating their Hazard Mitigation Plans and combining the documents, which were adopted between 2011 and early this year. The purpose of these plans is to identify and assess each community's hazard risks (such as floods, hurricanes, nor'easters, and winter storms), and determine how to best minimize or manage those risks. Upon completion, the multi-jurisdictional plan will be presented to each community's governing board for adoption and submitted to the Virginia Division of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency for review and approval. The process of preparing and updating these plans in accordance with Federal regulations helps guarantee the availability of disaster assistance and mitigation funds when needed.

This survey questionnaire provides an opportunity for you to share your opinions and participate in the mitigation planning process. The information you provide will help us better understand your hazard concerns and can lead to mitigation activities that should help lessen the impact of future hazard events.

Please help us by completing this survey and returning it to our planning consultant:

Leigh Chapman, CFM
Salter's Creek Consulting, Inc.
1 Peek Street
Hampton, VA 23669
Fax: 270-721-9649
leigh.morgan2@verizon.net

If you have any questions regarding this survey, or would like to learn about more ways you can participate in the development of our Hazard Mitigation Plan, please contact the Hampton Roads Planning District Commission Emergency Management Department at 757-420-8300.

1. In what community do you live?

- ✓ 1. Isle of Wight County
2. Town of Smithfield
3. Town of Windsor
4. City of Norfolk
5. City of Portsmouth
6. City of Suffolk
7. City of Virginia Beach
8. City of Franklin
9. Southampton County
10. Town of Boykins
11. Town of Branchville
12. Town of Capron

13. Town of Courtland
14. Town of Ivor
15. Town of Newsoms
16. City of Poquoson
17. City of Chesapeake
18. City of Hampton
19. City of Newport News
20. City of Williamsburg
21. James City County
22. York County

2. In what neighborhood do you live? (optional)

GATLING POINT
Gatling Point

3. Have you ever experienced or been impacted by a natural or manmade disaster?

- ☒ Yes (please explain): Loss of Utilities, Bldg Damaged, Loss of tree
☐ No electricity roof water

Difficulty Leaving the area (roads + bridges flooded)
North South East West

4. How concerned are you about the possibility of our community being impacted by a natural hazard or manmade disaster?

- ☒ Extremely concerned
☒ Somewhat concerned
☐ Not concerned

Dominion power nuclear plant

5. Please select the one hazard you think is the *highest threat* to your community:

Hazards	
☐ Flood	☐ Extreme Heat
☐ Sea Level Rise	☐ Earthquake
☒ Tropical Storm	☐ Wildfire
☐ Severe T-Storm	☐ Erosion
☐ Tsunami	☐ Sinkhole
☐ Urban Fire	☐ Mosquito Diseases
☐ Winter Storm/	☐ Hazardous Materials Incidents
☐ Nor'easter	☐ Terrorism
☐ Drought	☐ Biological Threats
☐ Dam Failure	☐ Radiological Threats
☐ Tornado	☐ Pandemic Flu

6. Please select the one hazard you think is the *second highest threat* to your community:

Hazards	
☐ Flood	☐ Extreme Heat
☐ Sea Level Rise	☐ Earthquake
☐ Tropical Storm	☐ Wildfire
☐ Severe T-Storm	☐ Erosion
☐ Tsunami	☐ Sinkhole
☐ Urban Fire	☐ Mosquito Diseases
☐ Winter Storm/	☐ Hazardous Materials Incidents
☐ Nor'easter	☐ Terrorism
☐ Drought	☐ Biological Threats
☐ Dam Failure	☐ Radiological Threats
☒ Tornado	☐ Pandemic Flu

7. Is there another hazard not listed above that you think is a wide-scale threat to your neighborhood?

- ☒ Yes (please explain): Nuclear plant sabotage
☐ No

8. Is your home located in a floodplain?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No
☐ I don't know

9. Do you have flood insurance?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No
☐ I don't know

If "No", why not?

- ☒ Not located in floodplain
☐ Too expensive
☐ Not necessary because it never floods
☐ Not necessary because I'm elevated or otherwise protected
☐ Not necessary because I own my house
☐ Never really considered it
☐ Other (please explain): _____

10. Have you ever investigated the potential impact of hazards other than flooding affecting your neighborhood?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

If "Yes", please explain:

Radon test

11. Have you taken any actions to make your home or neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

If "Yes", please explain:

Local Response Supplies. Own survival kit
No structural upgrades -

12. Are you interested in making your home or neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

13. What is the most effective way for you to receive information about how to make your home and neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☐ Newspaper
☐ Television
☐ Radio
☐ Internet (web site and social media sites)
☐ Mail
☐ Public workshops/meetings
☒ E-Mail
☐ Phone
☐ Other (please explain): _____

14. In your opinion, what are some steps your local government could take to reduce or eliminate the risk of future hazard damages in your neighborhood?

Comm. Meetings To involve Population
include citizens in plan creation

15. The Hazard Mitigation Plan should not stand alone, but should be incorporated into other community planning documents. Which documents do you feel are most important for this purpose (check all that apply)?

- | | |
|---|---|
| ☒ Local Comprehensive Plan | ☐ Tree Protection Ordinance |
| ☒ Land Use Plan | ☒ Site Plan/Design Review procedures |
| ☐ Sustainability Plan | ☐ Historic Preservation Program |
| ☒ Capital Improvements Plan | ☐ Regional Transportation Plans |
| ☒ Redevelopment/Post-Disaster/Recovery Plan | ☐ School Facilities Plan |
| ☐ Open Space Plan | ☐ Recreation Facilities Program |
| ☒ Flood Mitigation Plan | ☐ Economic Development Authority |
| ☐ College Campus Plans | ☐ Downtown Redevelopment Authority |
| ☒ Emergency Operations Plan | ☒ Local and Regional Evacuation Plans |
| ☒ Zoning & Subdivision Regulations | ☐ Mutual Aid Agreements |
| ☐ Property Deed Restrictions | ☐ Temp Animal Relocation Programs |

16. A number of community-wide activities can reduce our risk from hazards. In general, these activities fall into one of the following six broad categories. Please tell us how important you think each one is for your community to consider pursuing.

Category	Very Important	Somewhat Important	Not Important
<u>Prevention</u> Administrative or regulatory actions that influence the way land is developed and buildings are built. Examples: planning and zoning, building codes, open space preservation, stormwater management regulations, drainage system maintenance, capital improvements programming, shoreline/riverine setbacks, Chesapeake Bay Act regulations, and floodplain regulations.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Property Protection</u> Actions that involve the modification of existing buildings to protect them from a hazard or removal from the hazard area. Examples: acquisition, relocation, elevation, critical facilities protection, structural retrofits, storm shutters, and insurance.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Natural Resource Protection</u> Actions that, in addition to minimizing hazard losses, also preserve or restore the functions of natural systems. Examples: land acquisition, floodplain protection, watershed management, beach and dune preservation, erosion and sediment control, wetland preservation and restoration, habitat preservation, slope stabilization, riparian buffers, and forest management.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Structural Projects</u> Actions intended to lessen the impact of a hazard by modifying the natural progression of the hazard. Examples: dams, levees, seawalls, detention/retention basins, channel modification, beach nourishment, retaining walls and storm sewers.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Emergency Services</u> Actions that protect people and property during and immediately after a hazard event. Examples: warning systems, evacuation planning, emergency response training, sandbagging for flood protection, and installing temporary shutters for wind protection.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Public Education and Awareness</u> Actions to inform citizens about hazards and the techniques they can use to protect themselves and their property. Examples: outreach projects, speaker series/demos, hazard mapping, real estate disclosure, school education programs, library materials, hazard expos and inter-governmental coordination.	☒	☐	☐

17. Did the examples of activities from Question 16 trigger any additional ideas, thoughts or priorities you believe are important for your community's plan to reduce the cost and impact of hazards?

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION!

This survey may be submitted anonymously, however if you provide us with your name and contact information below we will have the ability to follow up with you to learn more about your ideas or concerns and provide information on upcoming meetings related to the plan update process (optional):

Name:

Address:

Phone:

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SURVEY FOR HAZARD MITIGATION PLANNING

We need your help!

Your community is currently engaged in a planning process to become less vulnerable to natural disasters and manmade hazards, and your participation is important to us.

Hampton Roads communities are jointly updating their Hazard Mitigation Plans and combining the documents, which were adopted between 2011 and early this year. The purpose of these plans is to identify and assess each community's hazard risks (such as floods, hurricanes, nor'easters, and winter storms), and determine how to best minimize or manage those risks. Upon completion, the multi-jurisdictional plan will be presented to each community's governing board for adoption and submitted to the Virginia Division of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency for review and approval. The process of preparing and updating these plans in accordance with Federal regulations helps guarantee the availability of disaster assistance and mitigation funds when needed.

This survey questionnaire provides an opportunity for you to share your opinions and participate in the mitigation planning process. The information you provide will help us better understand your hazard concerns and can lead to mitigation activities that should help lessen the impact of future hazard events.

Please help us by completing this survey and returning it to our planning consultant:

Leigh Chapman, CFM
Salter's Creek Consulting, Inc.
1 Peek Street
Hampton, VA 23669
Fax: 270-721-9649
leigh.morgan2@verizon.net

If you have any questions regarding this survey, or would like to learn about more ways you can participate in the development of our Hazard Mitigation Plan, please contact the Hampton Roads Planning District Commission Emergency Management Department at 757-420-8300.

1. In what community do you live?

- | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Isle of Wight County | 13. Town of Courtland |
| 2. Town of Smithfield | 14. Town of Ivor |
| 3. Town of Windsor | 15. Town of Newsoms |
| 4. City of Norfolk | 16. City of Poquoson |
| 5. City of Portsmouth | 17. City of Chesapeake |
| 6. City of Suffolk | 18. City of Hampton |
| 7. City of Virginia Beach | 19. City of Newport News |
| 8. City of Franklin | 20. City of Williamsburg |
| 9. Southampton County | 21. James City County |
| 10. Town of Boykins | 22. York County |
| 11. Town of Branchville | |
| 12. Town of Capron | |

2. In what neighborhood do you live? (optional)

Sedley (FRANKLIN)
AREA

3. Have you ever experienced or been impacted by a natural or manmade disaster?

- ☒ Yes (please explain): HURRICANE FLOID
☐ No

4. How concerned are you about the possibility of our community being impacted by a natural hazard or manmade disaster?

- ☒ Extremely concerned
☐ Somewhat concerned
☐ Not concerned

5. Please select the one hazard you think is the *highest threat* to your community:

Hazards	
☒ Flood	☐ Extreme Heat
☐ Sea Level Rise	☐ Earthquake
☐ Tropical Storm	☐ Wildfire
☐ Severe T-Storm	☐ Erosion
☐ Tsunami	☐ Sinkhole
☐ Urban Fire	☐ Mosquito Diseases
☐ Winter Storm/	☐ Hazardous Materials Incidents
☐ Nor'easter	☐ Terrorism
☐ Drought	☐ Biological Threats
☐ Dam Failure	☐ Radiological Threats
☐ Tornado	☐ Pandemic Flu

6. Please select the one hazard you think is the *second highest threat* to your community:

Hazards	
☐ Flood	☐ Extreme Heat
☐ Sea Level Rise	☐ Earthquake
☒ Tropical Storm	☐ Wildfire
☐ Severe T-Storm	☐ Erosion
☐ Tsunami	☐ Sinkhole
☐ Urban Fire	☐ Mosquito Diseases
☐ Winter Storm/	☐ Hazardous Materials Incidents
☐ Nor'easter	☐ Terrorism
☐ Drought	☐ Biological Threats
☐ Dam Failure	☐ Radiological Threats
☐ Tornado	☐ Pandemic Flu

7. Is there another hazard not listed above that you think is a wide-scale threat to your neighborhood?

- ☒ Yes (please explain): Severe
☐ No

8. Is your home located in a floodplain?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No
☐ I don't know

9. Do you have flood insurance?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No
☐ I don't know

If "No", why not?

- ☒ Not located in floodplain
☐ Too expensive
☐ Not necessary because it never floods
☐ Not necessary because I'm elevated or otherwise protected
☐ Not necessary because I own my house
☐ Never really considered it
☐ Other (please explain): _____

10. Have you ever investigated the potential impact of hazards other than flooding affecting your neighborhood?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

If "Yes", please explain:

I WORK IN DISASTER SERVICES, SO WE TRAIN
FOR VARIOUS FORMS OF DISASTER

11. Have you taken any actions to make your home or neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

If "Yes", please explain:

I TEACH DISASTER EDUCATION AND
PREPARATION TO THE PUBLIC.

12. Are you interested in making your home or neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

13. What is the most effective way for you to receive information about how to make your home and neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☐ Newspaper
☐ Television
☐ Radio
☐ Internet (web site and social media sites)
☐ Mail
☐ Public workshops/meetings
☒ E-Mail
☐ Phone
☐ Other (please explain): _____

14. In your opinion, what are some steps your local government could take to reduce or eliminate the risk of future hazard damages in your neighborhood?

BETTER MANAGEMENT OF FLOOD WATER
FROM RIVER AND STORM RUN-OFF FOR
AREAS NEAR MY HOME. ~~THE RIVER~~
~~THE RIVER~~

15. The Hazard Mitigation Plan should not stand alone, but should be incorporated into other community planning documents. Which documents do you feel are most important for this purpose (check all that apply)?

- | | |
|--|---|
| ☒ Local Comprehensive Plan | ☐ Tree Protection Ordinance |
| ☐ Land Use Plan | ☐ Site Plan/Design Review procedures |
| ☐ Sustainability Plan | ☐ Historic Preservation Program |
| ☐ Capital Improvements Plan | ☒ Regional Transportation Plans |
| ☐ Redevelopment/Post-Disaster/Recovery Plan | ☒ School Facilities Plan |
| ☐ Open Space Plan | ☐ Recreation Facilities Program |
| ☒ Flood Mitigation Plan | ☐ Economic Development Authority |
| ☐ College Campus Plans | ☐ Downtown Redevelopment Authority |
| ☒ Emergency Operations Plan | ☒ Local and Regional Evacuation Plans |
| ☐ Zoning & Subdivision Regulations | ☐ Mutual Aid Agreements |
| ☐ Property Deed Restrictions | ☐ Temp Animal Relocation Programs |

16. A number of community-wide activities can reduce our risk from hazards. In general, these activities fall into one of the following six broad categories. Please tell us how important you think each one is for your community to consider pursuing.

Category	Very Important	Somewhat Important	Not Important
<u>Prevention</u> Administrative or regulatory actions that influence the way land is developed and buildings are built. Examples: planning and zoning, building codes, open space preservation, stormwater management regulations, drainage system maintenance, capital improvements programming, shoreline/riverine setbacks, Chesapeake Bay Act regulations, and floodplain regulations.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Property Protection</u> Actions that involve the modification of existing buildings to protect them from a hazard or removal from the hazard area. Examples: acquisition, relocation, elevation, critical facilities protection, structural retrofits, storm shutters, and insurance.	☐	☒	☐
<u>Natural Resource Protection</u> Actions that, in addition to minimizing hazard losses, also preserve or restore the functions of natural systems. Examples: land acquisition, floodplain protection, watershed management, beach and dune preservation, erosion and sediment control, wetland preservation and restoration, habitat preservation, slope stabilization, riparian buffers, and forest management.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Structural Projects</u> Actions intended to lessen the impact of a hazard by modifying the natural progression of the hazard. Examples: dams, levees, seawalls, detention/retention basins, channel modification, beach nourishment, retaining walls and storm sewers.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Emergency Services</u> Actions that protect people and property during and immediately after a hazard event. Examples: warning systems, evacuation planning, emergency response training, sandbagging for flood protection, and installing temporary shutters for wind protection.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Public Education and Awareness</u> Actions to inform citizens about hazards and the techniques they can use to protect themselves and their property. Examples: outreach projects, speaker series/demos, hazard mapping, real estate disclosure, school education programs, library materials, hazard expos and inter-governmental coordination.	☒	☐	☐

- 17. Did the examples of activities from Question 16 trigger any additional ideas, thoughts or priorities you believe are important for your community's plan to reduce the cost and impact of hazards?**

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION!

This survey may be submitted anonymously, however if you provide us with your name and contact information below we will have the ability to follow up with you to learn more about your ideas or concerns and provide information on upcoming meetings related to the plan update process (optional):

Name:

Address:

Phone:

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SURVEY FOR HAZARD MITIGATION PLANNING

We need your help!

Your community is currently engaged in a planning process to become less vulnerable to natural disasters and manmade hazards, and your participation is important to us.

Hampton Roads communities are jointly updating their Hazard Mitigation Plans and combining the documents, which were adopted between 2011 and early this year. The purpose of these plans is to identify and assess each community's hazard risks (such as floods, hurricanes, nor'easters, and winter storms), and determine how to best minimize or manage those risks. Upon completion, the multi-jurisdictional plan will be presented to each community's governing board for adoption and submitted to the Virginia Division of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency for review and approval. The process of preparing and updating these plans in accordance with Federal regulations helps guarantee the availability of disaster assistance and mitigation funds when needed.

This survey questionnaire provides an opportunity for you to share your opinions and participate in the mitigation planning process. The information you provide will help us better understand your hazard concerns and can lead to mitigation activities that should help lessen the impact of future hazard events.

Please help us by completing this survey and returning it to our planning consultant:

Leigh Chapman, CFM
Salter's Creek Consulting, Inc.
1 Peek Street
Hampton, VA 23669
Fax: 270-721-9649
leigh.morgan2@verizon.net

If you have any questions regarding this survey, or would like to learn about more ways you can participate in the development of our Hazard Mitigation Plan, please contact the Hampton Roads Planning District Commission Emergency Management Department at 757-420-8300.

1. In what community do you live?

- | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Isle of Wight County | 13. Town of Courtland |
| 2. Town of Smithfield | 14. Town of Ivor |
| 3. Town of Windsor | 15. Town of Newsoms |
| 4. City of Norfolk | 16. City of Poquoson |
| 5. City of Portsmouth | 17. City of Chesapeake |
| 6. City of Suffolk | 18. City of Hampton |
| 7. City of Virginia Beach | 19. City of Newport News |
| 8. City of Franklin | 20. City of Williamsburg |
| 9. Southampton County | 21. James City County |
| 10. Town of Boykins | 22. York County |
| 11. Town of Branchville | |
| 12. Town of Capron | |

2. In what neighborhood do you live? (optional)

off SMITH WALK ROAD

3. Have you ever experienced or been impacted by a natural or manmade disaster?

- ☒ Yes (please explain): DISPLACED BY FLOOD / TORNADO HURRICANES
☐ No

4. How concerned are you about the possibility of our community being impacted by a natural hazard or manmade disaster?

- ☒ Extremely concerned
☐ Somewhat concerned
☐ Not concerned

5. Please select the one hazard you think is the *highest threat* to your community:

Hazards	
☐ Flood	☐ Extreme Heat
☐ Sea Level Rise	☐ Earthquake
☒ Tropical Storm	☐ Wildfire
☐ Severe T-Storm	☐ Erosion
☐ Tsunami	☐ Sinkhole
☐ Urban Fire	☐ Mosquito Diseases
☒ Winter Storm/	☐ Hazardous Materials Incidents
☒ Nor'easter	☐ Terrorism
☐ Drought	☐ Biological Threats
☐ Dam Failure	☐ Radiological Threats
☒ Tornado	☐ Pandemic Flu

6. Please select the one hazard you think is the *second highest threat* to your community:

Hazards	
☐ Flood	☐ Extreme Heat
☐ Sea Level Rise	☐ Earthquake
☒ Tropical Storm	☐ Wildfire
☐ Severe T-Storm	☐ Erosion
☐ Tsunami	☐ Sinkhole
☐ Urban Fire	☐ Mosquito Diseases
☐ Winter Storm/	☐ Hazardous Materials Incidents
☐ Nor'easter	☐ Terrorism
☐ Drought	☐ Biological Threats
☐ Dam Failure	☐ Radiological Threats
☐ Tornado	☐ Pandemic Flu

7. Is there another hazard not listed above that you think is a wide-scale threat to your neighborhood?

- ☒ Yes (please explain): HURRICANE
☐ No

8. Is your home located in a floodplain?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No
☐ I don't know

9. Do you have flood insurance?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No
☐ I don't know

If "No", why not?

- ☐ Not located in floodplain
☐ Too expensive
☐ Not necessary because it never floods
☐ Not necessary because I'm elevated or otherwise protected
☐ Not necessary because I own my house
☐ Never really considered it
☐ Other (please explain): _____

10. Have you ever investigated the potential impact of hazards other than flooding affecting your neighborhood?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

If "Yes", please explain:

TORNADO
HURRICANE
FIRE

→ EDUCATION

11. Have you taken any actions to make your home or neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

If "Yes", please explain:

LAND CLEARANCE & DEBRIS REMOVAL
BUILDING UPGRADES
TRAINING / SELF-EDUCATION
VOLUNTEERISM

12. Are you interested in making your home or neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

13. What is the most effective way for you to receive information about how to make your home and neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☐ Newspaper
☐ Television
☐ Radio
☐ Internet (web site and social media sites)
☒ Mail
☒ Public workshops/meetings
☒ E-Mail
☐ Phone
☐ Other (please explain): _____

14. In your opinion, what are some steps your local government could take to reduce or eliminate the risk of future hazard damages in your neighborhood?

IDENTIFY & MITIGATE LOSS OF VOLUNTEERS
TO PRIMARY DUTIES DURING DISASTER

15. The Hazard Mitigation Plan should not stand alone, but should be incorporated into other community planning documents. Which documents do you feel are most important for this purpose (check all that apply)?

- | | |
|--|---|
| ☒ Local Comprehensive Plan | ☐ Tree Protection Ordinance |
| ☒ Land Use Plan | ☐ Site Plan/Design Review procedures |
| ☐ Sustainability Plan | ☐ Historic Preservation Program |
| ☒ Capital Improvements Plan | ☒ Regional Transportation Plans |
| ☐ Redevelopment/Post-Disaster/Recovery Plan | ☒ School Facilities Plan |
| ☒ Open Space Plan | ☐ Recreation Facilities Program |
| ☒ Flood Mitigation Plan | ☐ Economic Development Authority |
| ☐ College Campus Plans | ☐ Downtown Redevelopment Authority |
| ☒ Emergency Operations Plan | ☒ Local and Regional Evacuation Plans |
| ☐ Zoning & Subdivision Regulations | ☒ Mutual Aid Agreements |
| ☐ Property Deed Restrictions | ☐ Temp Animal Relocation Programs |

16. A number of community-wide activities can reduce our risk from hazards. In general, these activities fall into one of the following six broad categories. Please tell us how important you think each one is for your community to consider pursuing.

Category	Very Important	Somewhat Important	Not Important
<u>Prevention</u> Administrative or regulatory actions that influence the way land is developed and buildings are built. Examples: planning and zoning, building codes, open space preservation, stormwater management regulations, drainage system maintenance, capital improvements programming, shoreline/riverine setbacks, Chesapeake Bay Act regulations, and floodplain regulations.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Property Protection</u> Actions that involve the modification of existing buildings to protect them from a hazard or removal from the hazard area. Examples: acquisition, relocation, elevation, critical facilities protection, structural retrofits, storm shutters, and insurance.	☐	☒	☐
<u>Natural Resource Protection</u> Actions that, in addition to minimizing hazard losses, also preserve or restore the functions of natural systems. Examples: land acquisition, floodplain protection, watershed management, beach and dune preservation, erosion and sediment control, wetland preservation and restoration, habitat preservation, slope stabilization, riparian buffers, and forest management.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Structural Projects</u> Actions intended to lessen the impact of a hazard by modifying the natural progression of the hazard. Examples: dams, levees, seawalls, detention/retention basins, channel modification, beach nourishment, retaining walls and storm sewers.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Emergency Services</u> Actions that protect people and property during and immediately after a hazard event. Examples: warning systems, evacuation planning, emergency response training, sandbagging for flood protection, and installing temporary shutters for wind protection.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Public Education and Awareness</u> Actions to inform citizens about hazards and the techniques they can use to protect themselves and their property. Examples: outreach projects, speaker series/demos, hazard mapping, real estate disclosure, school education programs, library materials, hazard expos and inter-governmental coordination.	☒	☐	☐

- 17. Did the examples of activities from Question 16 trigger any additional ideas, thoughts or priorities you believe are important for your community's plan to reduce the cost and impact of hazards?**

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION!

This survey may be submitted anonymously, however if you provide us with your name and contact information below we will have the ability to follow up with you to learn more about your ideas or concerns and provide information on upcoming meetings related to the plan update process (optional):

Name:

Address:

Phone:

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SURVEY FOR HAZARD MITIGATION PLANNING

We need your help!

Your community is currently engaged in a planning process to become less vulnerable to natural disasters and manmade hazards, and your participation is important to us.

Hampton Roads communities are jointly updating their Hazard Mitigation Plans and combining the documents, which were adopted between 2011 and early this year. The purpose of these plans is to identify and assess each community's hazard risks (such as floods, hurricanes, nor'easters, and winter storms), and determine how to best minimize or manage those risks. Upon completion, the multi-jurisdictional plan will be presented to each community's governing board for adoption and submitted to the Virginia Division of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency for review and approval. The process of preparing and updating these plans in accordance with Federal regulations helps guarantee the availability of disaster assistance and mitigation funds when needed.

This survey questionnaire provides an opportunity for you to share your opinions and participate in the mitigation planning process. The information you provide will help us better understand your hazard concerns and can lead to mitigation activities that should help lessen the impact of future hazard events.

Please help us by completing this survey and returning it to our planning consultant:

Leigh Chapman, CFM
Salter's Creek Consulting, Inc.
1 Peek Street
Hampton, VA 23669
Fax: 270-721-9649
leigh.morgan2@verizon.net

If you have any questions regarding this survey, or would like to learn about more ways you can participate in the development of our Hazard Mitigation Plan, please contact the Hampton Roads Planning District Commission Emergency Management Department at 757-420-8300.

1. In what community do you live?

- | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Isle of Wight County | 13. Town of Courtland |
| 2. Town of Smithfield | 14. Town of Ivor |
| 3. Town of Windsor | 15. Town of Newsoms |
| 4. City of Norfolk | 16. City of Poquoson |
| 5. City of Portsmouth | 17. City of Chesapeake |
| ⑥ City of Suffolk | 18. City of Hampton |
| 7. City of Virginia Beach | 19. City of Newport News |
| 8. City of Franklin | 20. City of Williamsburg |
| 9. Southampton County | 21. James City County |
| 10. Town of Boykins | 22. York County |
| 11. Town of Branchville | |
| 12. Town of Capron | |

2. In what neighborhood do you live? (optional)

woodlands of the Nansemond

3. Have you ever experienced or been impacted by a natural or manmade disaster?

- ☒ Yes (please explain):
☐ No

*Hurricane & Tsunami & earthquake
Tornado & Flood*

4. How concerned are you about the possibility of our community being impacted by a natural hazard or manmade disaster?

- ☒ Extremely concerned
☐ Somewhat concerned
☐ Not concerned

5. Please select the one hazard you think is the *highest threat* to your community:

Hazards	
☐ Flood	☐ Extreme Heat
☐ Sea Level Rise	☐ Earthquake
☐ Tropical Storm	☐ Wildfire
☒ Severe T-Storm	☐ Erosion
☐ Tsunami	☐ Sinkhole
☐ Urban Fire	☒ Mosquito Diseases
☒ Winter Storm/	☒ Hazardous Materials Incidents
☒ Nor'easter	☐ Terrorism
☐ Drought	☐ Biological Threats
☐ Dam Failure	☒ Radiological Threats
☒ Tornado #1	☐ Pandemic Flu

6. Please select the one hazard you think is the *second highest threat* to your community:

Hazards	
☐ Flood	☐ Extreme Heat
☐ Sea Level Rise	☐ Earthquake
☐ Tropical Storm	☐ Wildfire
☐ Severe T-Storm	☐ Erosion
☐ Tsunami	☐ Sinkhole
☐ Urban Fire	☐ Mosquito Diseases
☐ Winter Storm/	☒ Hazardous Materials Incidents
☐ Nor'easter	☐ Terrorism
☐ Drought	☐ Biological Threats
☐ Dam Failure	☐ Radiological Threats
☐ Tornado	☐ Pandemic Flu

7. Is there another hazard not listed above that you think is a wide-scale threat to your neighborhood?

- ☐ Yes (please explain): _____
☒ No

8. Is your home located in a floodplain?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No
☐ I don't know

9. Do you have flood insurance?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No
☐ I don't know

If "No", why not?

- ☒ Not located in floodplain
☐ Too expensive
☐ Not necessary because it never floods
☐ Not necessary because I'm elevated or otherwise protected
☐ Not necessary because I own my house
☐ Never really considered it
☐ Other (please explain): _____

10. Have you ever investigated the potential impact of hazards other than flooding affecting your neighborhood?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No

If "Yes", please explain:

11. Have you taken any actions to make your home or neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No

If "Yes", please explain:

12. Are you interested in making your home or neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

13. What is the most effective way for you to receive information about how to make your home and neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☐ Newspaper
☐ Television
☐ Radio
☐ Internet (web site and social media sites)
☐ Mail
☐ Public workshops/meetings
☒ E-Mail
☐ Phone
☐ Other (please explain): _____

14. In your opinion, what are some steps your local government could take to reduce or eliminate the risk of future hazard damages in your neighborhood?

More funding for public education & preparedness.

15. The Hazard Mitigation Plan should not stand alone, but should be incorporated into other community planning documents. Which documents do you feel are most important for this purpose (check all that apply)?

- | | |
|--|--|
| ☒ Local Comprehensive Plan | ☒ Tree Protection Ordinance |
| ☐ Land Use Plan | ☐ Site Plan/Design Review procedures |
| ☐ Sustainability Plan | ☐ Historic Preservation Program |
| ☐ Capital Improvements Plan | ☐ Regional Transportation Plans |
| ☐ Redevelopment/Post-Disaster/Recovery Plan | ☐ School Facilities Plan |
| ☐ Open Space Plan | ☐ Recreation Facilities Program |
| ☐ Flood Mitigation Plan | ☒ Economic Development Authority |
| ☐ College Campus Plans | ☐ Downtown Redevelopment Authority |
| ☐ Emergency Operations Plan | ☐ Local and Regional Evacuation Plans |
| ☐ Zoning & Subdivision Regulations | ☐ Mutual Aid Agreements |
| ☐ Property Deed Restrictions | ☐ Temp Animal Relocation Programs |

16. A number of community-wide activities can reduce our risk from hazards. In general, these activities fall into one of the following six broad categories. Please tell us how important you think each one is for your community to consider pursuing.

Category	Very Important	Somewhat Important	Not Important
<u>Prevention</u> Administrative or regulatory actions that influence the way land is developed and buildings are built. Examples: planning and zoning, building codes, open space preservation, stormwater management regulations, drainage system maintenance, capital improvements programming, shoreline/riverine setbacks, Chesapeake Bay Act regulations, and floodplain regulations.	☐	☒	☐
<u>Property Protection</u> Actions that involve the modification of existing buildings to protect them from a hazard or removal from the hazard area. Examples: acquisition, relocation, elevation, critical facilities protection, structural retrofits, storm shutters, and insurance.	☐	☒	☐
<u>Natural Resource Protection</u> Actions that, in addition to minimizing hazard losses, also preserve or restore the functions of natural systems. Examples: land acquisition, floodplain protection, watershed management, beach and dune preservation, erosion and sediment control, wetland preservation and restoration, habitat preservation, slope stabilization, riparian buffers, and forest management.	☐	☒	☐
<u>Structural Projects</u> Actions intended to lessen the impact of a hazard by modifying the natural progression of the hazard. Examples: dams, levees, seawalls, detention/retention basins, channel modification, beach nourishment, retaining walls and storm sewers.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Emergency Services</u> Actions that protect people and property during and immediately after a hazard event. Examples: warning systems, evacuation planning, emergency response training, sandbagging for flood protection, and installing temporary shutters for wind protection.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Public Education and Awareness</u> Actions to inform citizens about hazards and the techniques they can use to protect themselves and their property. Examples: outreach projects, speaker series/demos, hazard mapping, real estate disclosure, school education programs, library materials, hazard expos and inter-governmental coordination.	☒	☐	☐

- 17. Did the examples of activities from Question 16 trigger any additional ideas, thoughts or priorities you believe are important for your community's plan to reduce the cost and impact of hazards?**

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION!

This survey may be submitted anonymously, however if you provide us with your name and contact information below we will have the ability to follow up with you to learn more about your ideas or concerns and provide information on upcoming meetings related to the plan update process (optional):

Name: _____

Address: _____

Phone: _____

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SURVEY FOR HAZARD MITIGATION PLANNING

We need your help!

Your community is currently engaged in a planning process to become less vulnerable to natural disasters and manmade hazards, and your participation is important to us.

Hampton Roads communities are jointly updating their Hazard Mitigation Plans and combining the documents, which were adopted between 2011 and early this year. The purpose of these plans is to identify and assess each community's hazard risks (such as floods, hurricanes, nor'easters, and winter storms), and determine how to best minimize or manage those risks. Upon completion, the multi-jurisdictional plan will be presented to each community's governing board for adoption and submitted to the Virginia Division of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency for review and approval. The process of preparing and updating these plans in accordance with Federal regulations helps guarantee the availability of disaster assistance and mitigation funds when needed.

This survey questionnaire provides an opportunity for you to share your opinions and participate in the mitigation planning process. The information you provide will help us better understand your hazard concerns and can lead to mitigation activities that should help lessen the impact of future hazard events.

Please help us by completing this survey and returning it to our planning consultant:

Leigh Chapman, CFM
Salter's Creek Consulting, Inc.
1 Peek Street
Hampton, VA 23669
Fax: 270-721-9649
leigh.morgan2@verizon.net

If you have any questions regarding this survey, or would like to learn about more ways you can participate in the development of our Hazard Mitigation Plan, please contact the Hampton Roads Planning District Commission Emergency Management Department at 757-420-8300.

1. In what community do you live?

- | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| ① Isle of Wight County | 13. Town of Courtland |
| 2. Town of Smithfield | 14. Town of Ivor |
| 3. Town of Windsor | 15. Town of Newsoms |
| 4. City of Norfolk | 16. City of Poquoson |
| 5. City of Portsmouth | 17. City of Chesapeake |
| 6. City of Suffolk | 18. City of Hampton |
| 7. City of Virginia Beach | 19. City of Newport News |
| 8. City of Franklin | 20. City of Williamsburg |
| 9. Southampton County | 21. James City County |
| 10. Town of Boykins | 22. York County |
| 11. Town of Branchville | |
| 12. Town of Capron | |

2. In what neighborhood do you live? (optional)

Carrollton

3. Have you ever experienced or been impacted by a natural or manmade disaster?

- ☒ Yes (please explain): chapel
☐ No

4. How concerned are you about the possibility of our community being impacted by a natural hazard or manmade disaster?

- ☒ Extremely concerned
☒ Somewhat concerned
☐ Not concerned

5. Please select the one hazard you think is the *highest threat* to your community:

Hazards	
☐ Flood	☐ Extreme Heat
☐ Sea Level Rise	☐ Earthquake
☐ Tropical Storm	☐ Wildfire
☐ Severe T-Storm	☐ Erosion
☐ Tsunami	☐ Sinkhole
☐ Urban Fire	☐ Mosquito Diseases
☒ Winter Storm/	☐ Hazardous Materials Incidents
☒ Nor'easter	☐ Terrorism
☐ Drought	☐ Biological Threats
☐ Dam Failure	☐ Radiological Threats
☐ Tornado	☐ Pandemic Flu

6. Please select the one hazard you think is the *second highest threat* to your community:

Hazards	
☐ Flood	☐ Extreme Heat
☐ Sea Level Rise	☐ Earthquake
☒ Tropical Storm	☐ Wildfire
☐ Severe T-Storm	☐ Erosion
☐ Tsunami	☐ Sinkhole
☐ Urban Fire	☐ Mosquito Diseases
☐ Winter Storm/	☐ Hazardous Materials Incidents
☐ Nor'easter	☐ Terrorism
☐ Drought	☐ Biological Threats
☐ Dam Failure	☐ Radiological Threats
☐ Tornado	☐ Pandemic Flu

7. Is there another hazard not listed above that you think is a wide-scale threat to your neighborhood?

- ☐ Yes (please explain): _____
☐ No

8. Is your home located in a floodplain?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No
☐ I don't know

9. Do you have flood insurance?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No
☐ I don't know

If "No", why not?

- ☒ Not located in floodplain
☐ Too expensive
☐ Not necessary because it never floods
☐ Not necessary because I'm elevated or otherwise protected
☐ Not necessary because I own my house
☐ Never really considered it
☐ Other (please explain): _____

10. Have you ever investigated the potential impact of hazards other than flooding affecting your neighborhood?

- ☐ Yes
☐ No

If "Yes", please explain:

11. Have you taken any actions to make your home or neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

If "Yes", please explain:

nauling up windows with plywood

12. Are you interested in making your home or neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

13. What is the most effective way for you to receive information about how to make your home and neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☐ Newspaper
☐ Television
☐ Radio
☐ Internet (web site and social media sites)
☒ Mail
☐ Public workshops/meetings
☐ E-Mail
☐ Phone
☐ Other (please explain): _____

14. In your opinion, what are some steps your local government could take to reduce or eliminate the risk of future hazard damages in your neighborhood?

15. The Hazard Mitigation Plan should not stand alone, but should be incorporated into other community planning documents. Which documents do you feel are most important for this purpose (check all that apply)?

- | | |
|--|--|
| ☐ Local Comprehensive Plan | ☐ Tree Protection Ordinance |
| ☐ Land Use Plan | ☐ Site Plan/Design Review procedures |
| ☐ Sustainability Plan | ☐ Historic Preservation Program |
| ☐ Capital Improvements Plan | ☐ Regional Transportation Plans |
| ☐ Redevelopment/Post-Disaster/Recovery Plan | ☐ School Facilities Plan |
| ☐ Open Space Plan | ☐ Recreation Facilities Program |
| ☐ Flood Mitigation Plan | ☐ Economic Development Authority |
| ☐ College Campus Plans | ☐ Downtown Redevelopment Authority |
| ☐ Emergency Operations Plan | ☐ Local and Regional Evacuation Plans |
| ☐ Zoning & Subdivision Regulations | ☐ Mutual Aid Agreements |
| ☐ Property Deed Restrictions | ☐ Temp Animal Relocation Programs |

16. A number of community-wide activities can reduce our risk from hazards. In general, these activities fall into one of the following six broad categories. Please tell us how important you think each one is for your community to consider pursuing.

Category	Very Important	Somewhat Important	Not Important
<u>Prevention</u> Administrative or regulatory actions that influence the way land is developed and buildings are built. Examples: planning and zoning, building codes, open space preservation, stormwater management regulations, drainage system maintenance, capital improvements programming, shoreline/riverine setbacks, Chesapeake Bay Act regulations, and floodplain regulations.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Property Protection</u> Actions that involve the modification of existing buildings to protect them from a hazard or removal from the hazard area. Examples: acquisition, relocation, elevation, critical facilities protection, structural retrofits, storm shutters, and insurance.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Natural Resource Protection</u> Actions that, in addition to minimizing hazard losses, also preserve or restore the functions of natural systems. Examples: land acquisition, floodplain protection, watershed management, beach and dune preservation, erosion and sediment control, wetland preservation and restoration, habitat preservation, slope stabilization, riparian buffers, and forest management.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Structural Projects</u> Actions intended to lessen the impact of a hazard by modifying the natural progression of the hazard. Examples: dams, levees, seawalls, detention/retention basins, channel modification, beach nourishment, retaining walls and storm sewers.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Emergency Services</u> Actions that protect people and property during and immediately after a hazard event. Examples: warning systems, evacuation planning, emergency response training, sandbagging for flood protection, and installing temporary shutters for wind protection.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Public Education and Awareness</u> Actions to inform citizens about hazards and the techniques they can use to protect themselves and their property. Examples: outreach projects, speaker series/demos, hazard mapping, real estate disclosure, school education programs, library materials, hazard expos and inter-governmental coordination.	☒	☐	☐

17. Did the examples of activities from Question 16 trigger any additional ideas, thoughts or priorities you believe are important for your community's plan to reduce the cost and impact of hazards?

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION!

This survey may be submitted anonymously, however if you provide us with your name and contact information below we will have the ability to follow up with you to learn more about your ideas or concerns and provide information on upcoming meetings related to the plan update process (optional):

Name:

Address:

Phone:

75

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SURVEY FOR HAZARD MITIGATION PLANNING

We need your help!

Your community is currently engaged in a planning process to become less vulnerable to natural disasters and manmade hazards, and your participation is important to us.

Hampton Roads communities are jointly updating their Hazard Mitigation Plans and combining the documents, which were adopted between 2011 and early this year. The purpose of these plans is to identify and assess each community's hazard risks (such as floods, hurricanes, nor'easters, and winter storms), and determine how to best minimize or manage those risks. Upon completion, the multi-jurisdictional plan will be presented to each community's governing board for adoption and submitted to the Virginia Division of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency for review and approval. The process of preparing and updating these plans in accordance with Federal regulations helps guarantee the availability of disaster assistance and mitigation funds when needed.

This survey questionnaire provides an opportunity for you to share your opinions and participate in the mitigation planning process. The information you provide will help us better understand your hazard concerns and can lead to mitigation activities that should help lessen the impact of future hazard events.

Please help us by completing this survey and returning it to our planning consultant:

Leigh Chapman, CFM
Salter's Creek Consulting, Inc.
1 Peek Street
Hampton, VA 23669
Fax: 270-721-9649
leigh.morgan2@verizon.net

If you have any questions regarding this survey, or would like to learn about more ways you can participate in the development of our Hazard Mitigation Plan, please contact the Hampton Roads Planning District Commission Emergency Management Department at 757-420-8300.

1. In what community do you live?

- | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Isle of Wight County | 13. Town of Courtland |
| 2. Town of Smithfield | 14. Town of Ivor |
| 3. Town of Windsor | 15. Town of Newsoms |
| 4. City of Norfolk | 16. City of Poquoson |
| 5. City of Portsmouth | 17. City of Chesapeake |
| 6. City of Suffolk | 18. City of Hampton |
| 7. City of Virginia Beach | 19. City of Newport News |
| 8. City of Franklin | 20. City of Williamsburg |
| 9. Southampton County | 21. James City County |
| 10. Town of Boykins | 22. York County |
| 11. Town of Branchville | |
| 12. Town of Capron | |

2. In what neighborhood do you live? (optional)

Pagan Point

3. Have you ever experienced or been impacted by a natural or manmade disaster?

- ☒ Yes (please explain): hurricane
☐ No

4. How concerned are you about the possibility of our community being impacted by a natural hazard or manmade disaster?

- ☐ Extremely concerned
☒ Somewhat concerned
☐ Not concerned

5. Please select the one hazard you think is the *highest threat* to your community:

Hazards	
☐ Flood	☐ Extreme Heat
☐ Sea Level Rise	☐ Earthquake
☒ Tropical Storm/ <u>Hurricane</u>	☐ Wildfire
☐ Severe T-Storm	☐ Erosion
☐ Tsunami	☐ Sinkhole
☐ Urban Fire	☐ Mosquito Diseases
☐ Winter Storm/	☐ Hazardous Materials Incidents
☐ Nor'easter	☐ Terrorism
☐ Drought	☐ Biological Threats
☐ Dam Failure	☐ Radiological Threats
☐ Tornado	☐ Pandemic Flu

6. Please select the one hazard you think is the *second highest threat* to your community:

Hazards	
☐ Flood	☐ Extreme Heat
☐ Sea Level Rise	☐ Earthquake
☐ Tropical Storm	☐ Wildfire
☐ Severe T-Storm	☐ Erosion
☐ Tsunami	☐ Sinkhole
☐ Urban Fire	☐ Mosquito Diseases
☐ Winter Storm/	☒ Hazardous Materials Incidents
☐ Nor'easter	☐ Terrorism
☐ Drought	☐ Biological Threats
☐ Dam Failure	☐ Radiological Threats
☐ Tornado	☐ Pandemic Flu

7. Is there another hazard not listed above that you think is a wide-scale threat to your neighborhood?

- ☐ Yes (please explain): _____
☒ No

8. Is your home located in a floodplain?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No
☐ I don't know

9. Do you have flood insurance?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No
☐ I don't know

If "No", why not?

- ☐ Not located in floodplain
☐ Too expensive
☐ Not necessary because it never floods
☒ Not necessary because I'm elevated or otherwise protected
☐ Not necessary because I own my house
☐ Never really considered it
☐ Other (please explain): _____

10. Have you ever investigated the potential impact of hazards other than flooding affecting your neighborhood?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No

If "Yes", please explain:

11. Have you taken any actions to make your home or neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No

If "Yes", please explain:

12. Are you interested in making your home or neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

13. What is the most effective way for you to receive information about how to make your home and neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☐ Newspaper
☐ Television
☐ Radio
☐ Internet (web site and social media sites)
☐ Mail
☐ Public workshops/meetings
☐ E-Mail
☒ Phone
☐ Other (please explain): _____

14. In your opinion, what are some steps your local government could take to reduce or eliminate the risk of future hazard damages in your neighborhood?

15. The Hazard Mitigation Plan should not stand alone, but should be incorporated into other community planning documents. Which documents do you feel are most important for this purpose (check all that apply)?

- | | |
|--|--|
| ☐ Local Comprehensive Plan | ☐ Tree Protection Ordinance |
| ☐ Land Use Plan | ☐ Site Plan/Design Review procedures |
| ☐ Sustainability Plan | ☐ Historic Preservation Program |
| ☐ Capital Improvements Plan | ☐ Regional Transportation Plans |
| ☐ Redevelopment/Post-Disaster/Recovery Plan | ☐ School Facilities Plan |
| ☐ Open Space Plan | ☐ Recreation Facilities Program |
| ☐ Flood Mitigation Plan | ☐ Economic Development Authority |
| ☐ College Campus Plans | ☐ Downtown Redevelopment Authority |
| ☐ Emergency Operations Plan | ☐ Local and Regional Evacuation Plans |
| ☐ Zoning & Subdivision Regulations | ☐ Mutual Aid Agreements |
| ☐ Property Deed Restrictions | ☐ Temp Animal Relocation Programs |

16. A number of community-wide activities can reduce our risk from hazards. In general, these activities fall into one of the following six broad categories. Please tell us how important you think each one is for your community to consider pursuing.

Category	Very Important	Somewhat Important	Not Important
<u>Prevention</u> Administrative or regulatory actions that influence the way land is developed and buildings are built. Examples: planning and zoning, building codes, open space preservation, stormwater management regulations, drainage system maintenance, capital improvements programming, shoreline/riverine setbacks, Chesapeake Bay Act regulations, and floodplain regulations.	☐	☒	☐
<u>Property Protection</u> Actions that involve the modification of existing buildings to protect them from a hazard or removal from the hazard area. Examples: acquisition, relocation, elevation, critical facilities protection, structural retrofits, storm shutters, and insurance.	☐	☒	☐
<u>Natural Resource Protection</u> Actions that, in addition to minimizing hazard losses, also preserve or restore the functions of natural systems. Examples: land acquisition, floodplain protection, watershed management, beach and dune preservation, erosion and sediment control, wetland preservation and restoration, habitat preservation, slope stabilization, riparian buffers, and forest management.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Structural Projects</u> Actions intended to lessen the impact of a hazard by modifying the natural progression of the hazard. Examples: dams, levees, seawalls, detention/retention basins, channel modification, beach nourishment, retaining walls and storm sewers.	☐	☒	☐
<u>Emergency Services</u> Actions that protect people and property during and immediately after a hazard event. Examples: warning systems, evacuation planning, emergency response training, sandbagging for flood protection, and installing temporary shutters for wind protection.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Public Education and Awareness</u> Actions to inform citizens about hazards and the techniques they can use to protect themselves and their property. Examples: outreach projects, speaker series/demos, hazard mapping, real estate disclosure, school education programs, library materials, hazard expos and inter-governmental coordination.	☒	☐	☐

17. Did the examples of activities from Question 16 trigger any additional ideas, thoughts or priorities you believe are important for your community's plan to reduce the cost and impact of hazards?

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION!

This survey may be submitted anonymously, however if you provide us with your name and contact information below we will have the ability to follow up with you to learn more about your ideas or concerns and provide information on upcoming meetings related to the plan update process (optional):

Name:

Address:

Phone:

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SURVEY FOR HAZARD MITIGATION PLANNING

We need your help!

Your community is currently engaged in a planning process to become less vulnerable to natural disasters and manmade hazards, and your participation is important to us.

Hampton Roads communities are jointly updating their Hazard Mitigation Plans and combining the documents, which were adopted between 2011 and early this year. The purpose of these plans is to identify and assess each community's hazard risks (such as floods, hurricanes, nor'easters, and winter storms), and determine how to best minimize or manage those risks. Upon completion, the multi-jurisdictional plan will be presented to each community's governing board for adoption and submitted to the Virginia Division of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency for review and approval. The process of preparing and updating these plans in accordance with Federal regulations helps guarantee the availability of disaster assistance and mitigation funds when needed.

This survey questionnaire provides an opportunity for you to share your opinions and participate in the mitigation planning process. The information you provide will help us better understand your hazard concerns and can lead to mitigation activities that should help lessen the impact of future hazard events.

Please help us by completing this survey and returning it to our planning consultant:

Leigh Chapman, CFM
Salter's Creek Consulting, Inc.
1 Peek Street
Hampton, VA 23669
Fax: 270-721-9649
leigh.morgan2@verizon.net

If you have any questions regarding this survey, or would like to learn about more ways you can participate in the development of our Hazard Mitigation Plan, please contact the Hampton Roads Planning District Commission Emergency Management Department at 757-420-8300.

1. In what community do you live?

- | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Isle of Wight County | 13. Town of Courtland |
| 2. Town of Smithfield | 14. Town of Ivor |
| 3. Town of Windsor | 15. Town of Newsoms |
| 4. City of Norfolk | 16. City of Poquoson |
| 5. City of Portsmouth | 17. City of Chesapeake |
| 6. City of Suffolk | 18. City of Hampton |
| 7. City of Virginia Beach | 19. City of Newport News |
| 8. City of Franklin | 20. City of Williamsburg |
| 9. Southampton County | 21. James City County |
| 10. Town of Boykins | 22. York County |
| 11. Town of Branchville | |
| 12. Town of Capron | |

2. In what neighborhood do you live? (optional)

Deep Creek

3. Have you ever experienced or been impacted by a natural or manmade disaster?

- ☒ Yes (please explain): Isabel, Irene
☐ No

4. How concerned are you about the possibility of our community being impacted by a natural hazard or manmade disaster?

- ☒ Extremely concerned
☐ Somewhat concerned
☐ Not concerned

5. Please select the one hazard you think is the *highest threat* to your community:

Hazards	
☐ Flood	☐ Extreme Heat
☐ Sea Level Rise	☐ Earthquake
☒ Tropical Storm	☐ Wildfire
☐ Severe T-Storm	☐ Erosion
☐ Tsunami	☐ Sinkhole
☐ Urban Fire	☐ Mosquito Diseases
☐ Winter Storm/	☐ Hazardous Materials Incidents
☐ Nor'easter	☐ Terrorism
☐ Drought	☐ Biological Threats
☐ Dam Failure	☐ Radiological Threats
☐ Tornado	☐ Pandemic Flu

6. Please select the one hazard you think is the *second highest threat* to your community:

Hazards	
☒ Flood	☐ Extreme Heat
☐ Sea Level Rise	☐ Earthquake
☐ Tropical Storm	☐ Wildfire
☐ Severe T-Storm	☐ Erosion
☐ Tsunami	☐ Sinkhole
☐ Urban Fire	☐ Mosquito Diseases
☐ Winter Storm/	☐ Hazardous Materials Incidents
☐ Nor'easter	☐ Terrorism
☐ Drought	☐ Biological Threats
☐ Dam Failure	☐ Radiological Threats
☐ Tornado	☐ Pandemic Flu

7. Is there another hazard not listed above that you think is a wide-scale threat to your neighborhood?

- ☐ Yes (please explain): _____
☒ No

8. Is your home located in a floodplain?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No
☐ I don't know

9. Do you have flood insurance?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No
☐ I don't know

If "No", why not?

- ☐ Not located in floodplain
☐ Too expensive
☐ Not necessary because it never floods
☐ Not necessary because I'm elevated or otherwise protected
☐ Not necessary because I own my house
☐ Never really considered it
☐ Other (please explain): _____

10. Have you ever investigated the potential impact of hazards other than flooding affecting your neighborhood?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

If "Yes", please explain:

Close to I-64 + Norfolk Southern RR. POSSIBLE
HAZMAT incidents.

11. Have you taken any actions to make your home or neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

If "Yes", please explain:

Elevated A/C units,
Installed 120 mph garage door
Purchased generator
Installed natural gas logs for heat
during power failure.

12. Are you interested in making your home or neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

13. What is the most effective way for you to receive information about how to make your home and neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☐ Newspaper
☐ Television
☐ Radio
☐ Internet (web site and social media sites)
☐ Mail
☒ Public workshops/meetings
☒ E-Mail
☐ Phone
☐ Other (please explain): _____

14. In your opinion, what are some steps your local government could take to reduce or eliminate the risk of future hazard damages in your neighborhood?

15. The Hazard Mitigation Plan should not stand alone, but should be incorporated into other community planning documents. Which documents do you feel are most important for this purpose (check all that apply)?

- | | |
|---|--|
| ☒ Local Comprehensive Plan | ☐ Tree Protection Ordinance |
| ☐ Land Use Plan | ☐ Site Plan/Design Review procedures |
| ☐ Sustainability Plan | ☐ Historic Preservation Program |
| ☐ Capital Improvements Plan | ☐ Regional Transportation Plans |
| ☒ Redevelopment/Post-Disaster/Recovery Plan | ☐ School Facilities Plan |
| ☐ Open Space Plan | ☐ Recreation Facilities Program |
| ☒ Flood Mitigation Plan | ☒ Economic Development Authority |
| ☐ College Campus Plans | ☐ Downtown Redevelopment Authority |
| ☐ Emergency Operations Plan | ☐ Local and Regional Evacuation Plans |
| ☒ Zoning & Subdivision Regulations | ☐ Mutual Aid Agreements |
| ☒ Property Deed Restrictions | ☐ Temp Animal Relocation Programs |

16. A number of community-wide activities can reduce our risk from hazards. In general, these activities fall into one of the following six broad categories. Please tell us how important you think each one is for your community to consider pursuing.

Category	Very Important	Somewhat Important	Not Important
<u>Prevention</u> Administrative or regulatory actions that influence the way land is developed and buildings are built. Examples: planning and zoning, building codes, open space preservation, stormwater management regulations, drainage system maintenance, capital improvements programming, shoreline/riverine setbacks, Chesapeake Bay Act regulations, and floodplain regulations.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Property Protection</u> Actions that involve the modification of existing buildings to protect them from a hazard or removal from the hazard area. Examples: acquisition, relocation, elevation, critical facilities protection, structural retrofits, storm shutters, and insurance.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Natural Resource Protection</u> Actions that, in addition to minimizing hazard losses, also preserve or restore the functions of natural systems. Examples: land acquisition, floodplain protection, watershed management, beach and dune preservation, erosion and sediment control, wetland preservation and restoration, habitat preservation, slope stabilization, riparian buffers, and forest management.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Structural Projects</u> Actions intended to lessen the impact of a hazard by modifying the natural progression of the hazard. Examples: dams, levees, seawalls, detention/retention basins, channel modification, beach nourishment, retaining walls and storm sewers.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Emergency Services</u> Actions that protect people and property during and immediately after a hazard event. Examples: warning systems, evacuation planning, emergency response training, sandbagging for flood protection, and installing temporary shutters for wind protection.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Public Education and Awareness</u> Actions to inform citizens about hazards and the techniques they can use to protect themselves and their property. Examples: outreach projects, speaker series/demos, hazard mapping, real estate disclosure, school education programs, library materials, hazard expos and inter-governmental coordination.	☒	☐	☐

- 17. Did the examples of activities from Question 16 trigger any additional ideas, thoughts or priorities you believe are important for your community's plan to reduce the cost and impact of hazards?**

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION!

This survey may be submitted anonymously, however if you provide us with your name and contact information below we will have the ability to follow up with you to learn more about your ideas or concerns and provide information on upcoming meetings related to the plan update process (optional):

Name:

Address:

Phone:

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SURVEY FOR HAZARD MITIGATION PLANNING

We need your help!

Your community is currently engaged in a planning process to become less vulnerable to natural disasters and manmade hazards, and your participation is important to us.

Hampton Roads communities are jointly updating their Hazard Mitigation Plans and combining the documents, which were adopted between 2011 and early this year. The purpose of these plans is to identify and assess each community's hazard risks (such as floods, hurricanes, nor'easters, and winter storms), and determine how to best minimize or manage those risks. Upon completion, the multi-jurisdictional plan will be presented to each community's governing board for adoption and submitted to the Virginia Division of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency for review and approval. The process of preparing and updating these plans in accordance with Federal regulations helps guarantee the availability of disaster assistance and mitigation funds when needed.

This survey questionnaire provides an opportunity for you to share your opinions and participate in the mitigation planning process. The information you provide will help us better understand your hazard concerns and can lead to mitigation activities that should help lessen the impact of future hazard events.

Please help us by completing this survey and returning it to our planning consultant:

Leigh Chapman, CFM
Salter's Creek Consulting, Inc.
1 Peek Street
Hampton, VA 23669
Fax: 270-721-9649
leigh.morgan2@verizon.net

If you have any questions regarding this survey, or would like to learn about more ways you can participate in the development of our Hazard Mitigation Plan, please contact the Hampton Roads Planning District Commission Emergency Management Department at 757-420-8300.

1. In what community do you live?

- | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Isle of Wight County | 13. Town of Courtland |
| 2. Town of Smithfield | 14. Town of Ivor |
| 3. Town of Windsor | 15. Town of Newsoms |
| 4. City of Norfolk | 16. City of Poquoson |
| 5. City of Portsmouth | 17. City of Chesapeake |
| 6. City of Suffolk | 18. City of Hampton |
| 7. City of Virginia Beach | 19. City of Newport News |
| 8. City of Franklin | 20. City of Williamsburg |
| 9. Southampton County | 21. James City County |
| 10. Town of Boykins | 22. York County |
| 11. Town of Branchville | |
| 12. Town of Capron | |

2. In what neighborhood do you live? (optional)

Cape Henry Shores

3. Have you ever experienced or been impacted by a natural or manmade disaster?

- ☐ Yes (please explain): _____
☒ No

4. How concerned are you about the possibility of our community being impacted by a natural hazard or manmade disaster?

- ☐ Extremely concerned
☒ Somewhat concerned
☐ Not concerned

5. Please select the one hazard you think is the *highest threat* to your community:

Hazards	
☐ Flood	☐ Extreme Heat
☒ Sea Level Rise	☐ Earthquake
☒ Tropical Storm	☐ Wildfire
☒ Severe T-Storm	☐ Erosion
☐ Tsunami	☐ Sinkhole
☐ Urban Fire	☒ Mosquito Diseases
☐ Winter Storm/	☐ Hazardous Materials Incidents
☐ Nor'easter	☐ Terrorism
☐ Drought	☐ Biological Threats
☐ Dam Failure	☐ Radiological Threats
☐ Tornado	☐ Pandemic Flu

6. Please select the one hazard you think is the *second highest threat* to your community:

Hazards	
☒ Flood	☐ Extreme Heat
☐ Sea Level Rise	☐ Earthquake
☐ Tropical Storm	☐ Wildfire
☐ Severe T-Storm	☐ Erosion
☐ Tsunami	☐ Sinkhole
☐ Urban Fire	☐ Mosquito Diseases
☐ Winter Storm/	☐ Hazardous Materials Incidents
☒ Nor'easter	☐ Terrorism
☐ Drought	☐ Biological Threats
☐ Dam Failure	☐ Radiological Threats
☐ Tornado	☐ Pandemic Flu

7. Is there another hazard not listed above that you think is a wide-scale threat to your neighborhood?

- ☐ Yes (please explain): _____
☒ No

8. Is your home located in a floodplain?

- ☒ Yes - After modernization
☐ No
☐ I don't know

9. Do you have flood insurance?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No
☐ I don't know

If "No", why not?

- ☐ Not located in floodplain
☐ Too expensive
☐ Not necessary because it never floods
☐ Not necessary because I'm elevated or otherwise protected
☐ Not necessary because I own my house
☐ Never really considered it
☐ Other (please explain): _____

10. Have you ever investigated the potential impact of hazards other than flooding affecting your neighborhood?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No

If "Yes", please explain:

11. Have you taken any actions to make your home or neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No

If "Yes", please explain:

12. Are you interested in making your home or neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No *maybe*

13. What is the most effective way for you to receive information about how to make your home and neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☐ Newspaper
- ☐ Television
- ☐ Radio
- ☒ Internet (web site and social media sites)
- ☐ Mail
- ☐ Public workshops/meetings
- ☒ E-Mail
- ☐ Phone
- ☐ Other (please explain): _____

14. In your opinion, what are some steps your local government could take to reduce or eliminate the risk of future hazard damages in your neighborhood?

*green roofs / green infrastructure
plant trees
create / restore wetlands / greenspace
reduce CO₂ / GHG emissions
distributed energy for power outages
solar, wind*

15. The Hazard Mitigation Plan should not stand alone, but should be incorporated into other community planning documents. Which documents do you feel are most important for this purpose (check all that apply)?

- | | |
|--|--|
| ☒ Local Comprehensive Plan | ☐ Tree Protection Ordinance |
| ☐ Land Use Plan | ☐ Site Plan/Design Review procedures |
| ☐ Sustainability Plan | ☐ Historic Preservation Program |
| ☐ Capital Improvements Plan | ☐ Regional Transportation Plans |
| ☐ Redevelopment/Post-Disaster/Recovery Plan | ☐ School Facilities Plan |
| ☐ Open Space Plan | ☐ Recreation Facilities Program |
| ☐ Flood Mitigation Plan | ☐ Economic Development Authority |
| ☐ College Campus Plans | ☐ Downtown Redevelopment Authority |
| ☐ Emergency Operations Plan | ☐ Local and Regional Evacuation Plans |
| ☐ Zoning & Subdivision Regulations | ☐ Mutual Aid Agreements |
| ☐ Property Deed Restrictions | ☐ Temp Animal Relocation Programs |

16. A number of community-wide activities can reduce our risk from hazards. In general, these activities fall into one of the following six broad categories. Please tell us how important you think each one is for your community to consider pursuing.

Category	Very Important	Somewhat Important	Not Important
<u>Prevention</u> Administrative or regulatory actions that influence the way land is developed and buildings are built. Examples: planning and zoning, building codes, open space preservation, stormwater management regulations, drainage system maintenance, capital improvements programming, shoreline/riverine setbacks, Chesapeake Bay Act regulations, and floodplain regulations.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Property Protection</u> Actions that involve the modification of existing buildings to protect them from a hazard or removal from the hazard area. Examples: acquisition, relocation, elevation, critical facilities protection, structural retrofits, storm shutters, and insurance.	☐	☒	☐
<u>Natural Resource Protection</u> Actions that, in addition to minimizing hazard losses, also preserve or restore the functions of natural systems. Examples: land acquisition, floodplain protection, watershed management, beach and dune preservation, erosion and sediment control, wetland preservation and restoration, habitat preservation, slope stabilization, riparian buffers, and forest management.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Structural Projects</u> Actions intended to lessen the impact of a hazard by modifying the natural progression of the hazard. Examples: dams, levees, seawalls, detention/retention basins, channel modification, beach nourishment, retaining walls and storm sewers.	☐	☒	☐
<u>Emergency Services</u> Actions that protect people and property during and immediately after a hazard event. Examples: warning systems, evacuation planning, emergency response training, sandbagging for flood protection, and installing temporary shutters for wind protection.	☐	☒	☐
<u>Public Education and Awareness</u> Actions to inform citizens about hazards and the techniques they can use to protect themselves and their property. Examples: outreach projects, speaker series/demos, hazard mapping, real estate disclosure, school education programs, library materials, hazard expos and inter-governmental coordination.	☐	☒	☐

17. Did the examples of activities from Question 16 trigger any additional ideas, thoughts or priorities you believe are important for your community's plan to reduce the cost and impact of hazards?

Include manmade hazards —
transderiment/oil spills —
Potentially mitigated by
pipelines?

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION!

This survey may be submitted anonymously, however if you provide us with your name and contact information below we will have the ability to follow up with you to learn more about your ideas or concerns and provide information on upcoming meetings related to the plan update process (optional):

Name:

Address:

Phone:

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SURVEY FOR HAZARD MITIGATION PLANNING

We need your help!

Your community is currently engaged in a planning process to become less vulnerable to natural disasters and manmade hazards, and your participation is important to us.

Hampton Roads communities are jointly updating their Hazard Mitigation Plans and combining the documents, which were adopted between 2011 and early this year. The purpose of these plans is to identify and assess each community's hazard risks (such as floods, hurricanes, nor'easters, and winter storms), and determine how to best minimize or manage those risks. Upon completion, the multi-jurisdictional plan will be presented to each community's governing board for adoption and submitted to the Virginia Division of Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency for review and approval. The process of preparing and updating these plans in accordance with Federal regulations helps guarantee the availability of disaster assistance and mitigation funds when needed.

This survey questionnaire provides an opportunity for you to share your opinions and participate in the mitigation planning process. The information you provide will help us better understand your hazard concerns and can lead to mitigation activities that should help lessen the impact of future hazard events.

Please help us by completing this survey and returning it to our planning consultant:

Leigh Chapman, CFM
Salter's Creek Consulting, Inc.
1 Peek Street
Hampton, VA 23669
Fax: 270-721-9649
leigh.morgan2@verizon.net

If you have any questions regarding this survey, or would like to learn about more ways you can participate in the development of our Hazard Mitigation Plan, please contact the Hampton Roads Planning District Commission Emergency Management Department at 757-420-8300.

1. In what community do you live?

- | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Isle of Wight County | 13. Town of Courtland |
| 2. Town of Smithfield | 14. Town of Ivor |
| 3. Town of Windsor | 15. Town of Newsoms |
| ④ 4. City of Norfolk | 16. City of Poquoson |
| 5. City of Portsmouth | 17. City of Chesapeake |
| 6. City of Suffolk | 18. City of Hampton |
| 7. City of Virginia Beach | 19. City of Newport News |
| 8. City of Franklin | 20. City of Williamsburg |
| 9. Southampton County | 21. James City County |
| 10. Town of Boykins | 22. York County |
| 11. Town of Branchville | |
| 12. Town of Capron | |

2. In what neighborhood do you live? (optional)

Riverfront

3. Have you ever experienced or been impacted by a natural or manmade disaster?

- ☒ Yes (please explain): HURRICANES - T-STORMS
☐ No

4. How concerned are you about the possibility of our community being impacted by a natural hazard or manmade disaster?

- ☒ Extremely concerned
☐ Somewhat concerned
☐ Not concerned

5. Please select the one hazard you think is the *highest threat* to your community:

Hazards	
☐ Flood	☐ Extreme Heat
☐ Sea Level Rise	☐ Earthquake
☒ Tropical Storm	☐ Wildfire
☐ Severe T-Storm	☐ Erosion
☐ Tsunami	☐ Sinkhole
☐ Urban Fire	☐ Mosquito Diseases
☐ Winter Storm/	☐ Hazardous Materials Incidents
☐ Nor'easter	☐ Terrorism
☐ Drought	☐ Biological Threats
☐ Dam Failure	☐ Radiological Threats
☐ Tornado	☐ Pandemic Flu

6. Please select the one hazard you think is the *second highest threat* to your community:

Hazards	
☐ Flood	☐ Extreme Heat
☒ Sea Level Rise	☐ Earthquake
☐ Tropical Storm	☐ Wildfire
☐ Severe T-Storm	☐ Erosion
☐ Tsunami	☐ Sinkhole
☐ Urban Fire	☐ Mosquito Diseases
☐ Winter Storm/	☐ Hazardous Materials Incidents
☐ Nor'easter	☐ Terrorism
☐ Drought	☐ Biological Threats
☐ Dam Failure	☐ Radiological Threats
☐ Tornado	☐ Pandemic Flu

7. Is there another hazard not listed above that you think is a wide-scale threat to your neighborhood?

- ☒ Yes (please explain): TERRORISM'S EFFECTS
☐ No

8. Is your home located in a floodplain?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No
☐ I don't know

9. Do you have flood insurance?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No
☐ I don't know

If "No", why not?

- ☐ Not located in floodplain
☐ Too expensive
☐ Not necessary because it never floods
☐ Not necessary because I'm elevated or otherwise protected
☐ Not necessary because I own my house
☐ Never really considered it
☐ Other (please explain): _____

10. Have you ever investigated the potential impact of hazards other than flooding affecting your neighborhood?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

If "Yes", please explain:

C.E.R.T. CLASSES FOR ALL TYPES OF
CONCERNS FOR HAZARDS,

11. Have you taken any actions to make your home or neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

If "Yes", please explain:

FLOOD INSURANCE, REINFORCED STRUCTURES
EVACUATION PLAN, C.E.R.T. CLASS

12. Are you interested in making your home or neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

13. What is the most effective way for you to receive information about how to make your home and neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

- ☐ Newspaper
- ☐ Television
- ☐ Radio
- ☒ Internet (web site and social media sites)
- ☐ Mail
- ☐ Public workshops/meetings
- ☐ E-Mail
- ☐ Phone
- ☐ Other (please explain): _____

14. In your opinion, what are some steps your local government could take to reduce or eliminate the risk of future hazard damages in your neighborhood?

CLEAR SNOW, KEEP GUTTERS AND CATCH
BASINS CLEAN, LIMIT NEW CONSTRUCTION
IN RISK AREAS

15. The Hazard Mitigation Plan should not stand alone, but should be incorporated into other community planning documents. Which documents do you feel are most important for this purpose (check all that apply)?

- | | |
|---|---|
| ☒ Local Comprehensive Plan | ☐ Tree Protection Ordinance |
| ☐ Land Use Plan | ☐ Site Plan/Design Review procedures |
| ☐ Sustainability Plan | ☒ Historic Preservation Program |
| ☐ Capital Improvements Plan | ☒ Regional Transportation Plans |
| ☒ Redevelopment/Post-Disaster/Recovery Plan | ☒ School Facilities Plan |
| ☐ Open Space Plan | ☐ Recreation Facilities Program |
| ☒ Flood Mitigation Plan | ☐ Economic Development Authority |
| ☐ College Campus Plans | ☐ Downtown Redevelopment Authority |
| ☒ Emergency Operations Plan | ☒ Local and Regional Evacuation Plans |
| ☒ Zoning & Subdivision Regulations | ☐ Mutual Aid Agreements |
| ☐ Property Deed Restrictions | ☒ Temp Animal Relocation Programs |

16. A number of community-wide activities can reduce our risk from hazards. In general, these activities fall into one of the following six broad categories. Please tell us how important you think each one is for your community to consider pursuing.

Category	Very Important	Somewhat Important	Not Important
<u>Prevention</u> Administrative or regulatory actions that influence the way land is developed and buildings are built. Examples: planning and zoning, building codes, open space preservation, stormwater management regulations, drainage system maintenance, capital improvements programming, shoreline/riverine setbacks, Chesapeake Bay Act regulations, and floodplain regulations.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Property Protection</u> Actions that involve the modification of existing buildings to protect them from a hazard or removal from the hazard area. Examples: acquisition, relocation, elevation, critical facilities protection, structural retrofits, storm shutters, and insurance.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Natural Resource Protection</u> Actions that, in addition to minimizing hazard losses, also preserve or restore the functions of natural systems. Examples: land acquisition, floodplain protection, watershed management, beach and dune preservation, erosion and sediment control, wetland preservation and restoration, habitat preservation, slope stabilization, riparian buffers, and forest management.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Structural Projects</u> Actions intended to lessen the impact of a hazard by modifying the natural progression of the hazard. Examples: dams, levees, seawalls, detention/retention basins, channel modification, beach nourishment, retaining walls and storm sewers.	☐	☒	☐
<u>Emergency Services</u> Actions that protect people and property during and immediately after a hazard event. Examples: warning systems, evacuation planning, emergency response training, sandbagging for flood protection, and installing temporary shutters for wind protection.	☒	☐	☐
<u>Public Education and Awareness</u> Actions to inform citizens about hazards and the techniques they can use to protect themselves and their property. Examples: outreach projects, speaker series/demos, hazard mapping, real estate disclosure, school education programs, library materials, hazard expos and inter-governmental coordination.	☒	☐	☐

17. Did the examples of activities from Question 16 trigger any additional ideas, thoughts or priorities you believe are important for your community's plan to reduce the cost and impact of hazards?

JUST THE HAZARDS LISTED,

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION!

This survey may be submitted anonymously, however if you provide us with your name and contact information below we will have the ability to follow up with you to learn more about your ideas or concerns and provide information on upcoming meetings related to the plan update process (optional):


Name:

Address:


Phone:

Q1 In what community do you live?

Answered: 1,110 Skipped: 5



PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SURVEY FOR HAZARD MITIGATION PLANNING




Answer Choices	Responses
Isle of Wight County	1.44% 16
Town of Smithfield	0.27% 3
Town of Windsor	0.09% 1
City of Norfolk	15.86% 176
City of Portsmouth	1.80% 20
City of Suffolk	1.71% 19
City of Virginia Beach	35.95% 399
City of Franklin	0.00% 0
Southampton County	0.81% 9
Town of Boykins	0.09% 1
Town of Branchville	0.00% 0
Town of Capron	0.18% 2
Town of Courtland	0.09% 1
Town of Ivor	0.00% 0
Town of Newsoms	0.09% 1
City of Poquoson	2.34% 26
City of Chesapeake	5.86% 65
City of Hampton	17.84% 198
City of Newport News	3.51% 39
City of Williamsburg	3.06% 34
James City County	8.11% 90
York County	0.90% 10
Total	1,110

Q2 In what neighborhood do you live?
(optional)

Answered: 988 Skipped: 127

Q3 Have you ever experienced or been impacted by a natural or manmade disaster?


Answered: 1,106 Skipped: 9



Answer Choices	Responses	
No	22.88%	253
Yes (please explain)	77.12%	853
Total		1,106

Q4 How concerned are you about the possibility of our community being impacted by a natural hazard or manmade disaster?


Answered: 1,107 Skipped: 8




Answer Choices	Responses	
Extremely concerned	43.18%	478
Somewhat concerned	53.84%	596
Not concerned	2.98%	33
Total		1,107

Q5 Please select the one hazard you think is the highest threat to your community:

Answered: 1,111 Skipped: 4




PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SURVEY FOR HAZARD MITIGATION PLANNING




Answer Choices	Responses
Flood	31.95% 355
Sea Level Rise	7.92% 88
Tropical Storm	29.88% 332
Severe T-Storm	5.31% 59
Tsunami	0.63% 7
Urban Fire	0.09% 1
Winter Storm	1.80% 20
Nor'easter	10.26% 114
Drought	0.09% 1
Dam Failure	0.00% 0
Tornado	1.98% 22
Extreme Heat	0.54% 6
Earthquake	0.09% 1
Wildfire	0.27% 3
Erosion	1.17% 13
Sinkhole	0.00% 0
Mosquito Diseases	1.80% 20
Hazardous Materials Incidents	1.62% 18
Terrorism	3.60% 40
Biological Threats	0.18% 2
Radiological Threats	0.63% 7
Pandemic Flu	0.18% 2
Total	1,111

Q6 Please select the one hazard you think is the second highest threat to your community:

Answered: 1,107 Skipped: 8




PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SURVEY FOR HAZARD MITIGATION PLANNING



Answer Choices	Responses	
Flood	14.54%	161
Sea Level Rise	11.83%	131
Tropical Storm	19.96%	221
Severe T-Storm	8.22%	91
Tsunami	0.27%	3
Urban Fire	0.27%	3
Winter Storm	4.79%	53
Nor'easter	18.97%	210
Drought	0.45%	5
Dam Failure	0.09%	1
Tornado	3.79%	42
Extreme Heat	1.81%	20
Earthquake	0.09%	1
Wildfire	0.09%	1
Erosion	0.99%	11
Sinkhole	0.45%	5
Mosquito Diseases	5.24%	58
Hazardous Materials Incidents	1.81%	20
Terrorism	4.79%	53
Biological Threats	0.72%	8
Radiological Threats	0.63%	7
Pandemic Flu	0.18%	2
Total	1,107	

Q7 Is there another hazard not listed above that you think is a wide-scale threat to your neighborhood?


Answered: 1,026 Skipped: 89



Answer Choices	Responses	
No	60.14%	617
Yes (please explain):	39.86%	409
Total		1,026

Q8 Is your home located in a floodplain?


Answered: 1,112 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	29.59%	329
No	56.47%	628
I don't know	13.94%	155
Total		1,112

Q9 Do you have flood insurance?


Answered: 1,111 Skipped: 4



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	39.96%	444
No	54.64%	607
I don't know	3.42%	38
Other (please specify)	1.98%	22
Total		1,111

Q10 If you answered "no" to question 9 above, why not?


Answered: 644 Skipped: 471



Answer Choices	Responses	
Not located in floodplain	53.26%	343
Too expensive	8.85%	57
Not necessary because it never floods	3.26%	21
Not necessary because I'm elevated or otherwise protected	13.20%	85
Not necessary because I own my house	1.09%	7
Never really considered it	3.88%	25
Other (please explain)	16.46%	106
Total		644

Q11 Have you ever investigated the potential impact of hazards other than flooding affecting your neighborhood?


Answered: 1,097 Skipped: 18



Answer Choices	Responses	
No	70.10%	769
Yes (please explain):	29.90%	328
Total		1,097

Q12 Have you taken any actions to make your home or neighborhood more resistant to hazards?


Answered: 1,107 Skipped: 8



Answer Choices	Responses	
No	49.05%	543
Yes (please explain):	50.95%	564
Total		1,107

Q13 Are you interested in making your home or neighborhood more resistant to hazards?


Answered: 1,105 Skipped: 10



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	92.94%	1,027
No	7.06%	78
Total		1,105

Q14 What is the most effective way for you to receive information about how to make your home and neighborhood more resistant to hazards?

Answered: 1,110 Skipped: 5




Answer Choices	Responses	
Newspaper	12.25%	136
Television	13.78%	153
Radio	5.05%	56
Internet (web site and social media sites)	45.32%	503
Mail	23.96%	266
Public workshops/meetings	12.43%	138
E-Mail	52.07%	578
Phone	4.23%	47
Other (please specify):	5.77%	64
Total Respondents: 1,110		

**Q15 In your opinion, what are some steps
your local government could take to reduce
or eliminate the risk of future hazard
damages in your neighborhood?**


Answered: 900 Skipped: 215

Q16 The Hazard Mitigation Plan should not stand alone, but should be incorporated into other community planning documents. Which documents do you feel are most important for this purpose (check all that apply)?

Answered: 1,066 Skipped: 49



PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SURVEY FOR HAZARD MITIGATION PLANNING




Answer Choices	Responses	
Local Comprehensive Plan	55.53%	592
Land Use Plan	41.74%	445
Sustainability Plan	31.99%	341
Capital Improvements Plan	38.09%	406
Redevelopment/Post-Disaster/Recovery Plan	53.38%	569
Open Space Plan	16.70%	178
Flood Mitigation Plan	76.83%	819
College Campus Plans	9.38%	100
Emergency Operations Plan	62.48%	666
Zoning & Subdivision Regulations	37.52%	400
Property Deed Restrictions	16.51%	176
Tree Protection Ordinance	21.20%	226
Site Plan/Design Review procedures	24.39%	260
Historic Preservation Program	13.98%	149
Regional Transportation Plans	30.58%	326
School Facilities Plan	21.67%	231
Recreation Facilities Program	11.26%	120
Economic Development Authority	17.82%	190
Downtown Redevelopment Authority	11.54%	123
Local and Regional Evacuation Plans	50.94%	543
Mutual Aid Agreements	22.51%	240
Temporary Animal Relocation Programs	29.36%	313

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SURVEY FOR HAZARD MITIGATION PLANNING

Total Respondents: 1,066

Q17 A number of community-wide activities can reduce our risk from hazards. In general, these activities fall into one of the following six broad categories. Please tell us how important you think each one is for your community to consider pursuing.

Answered: 1,083 Skipped: 32



Importance for Your Community				
	Very Important	Somewhat Important	Not Important	Total
Prevention Activities - Administrative or regulatory actions that influence the way land is developed and buildings are built. Examples: building codes, open space preservation, stormwater management regulations	77.07% 820	20.58% 219	2.35% 25	1,064
Property Protection -Actions that involve the modification of existing buildings to protect them from a hazard or removal from the hazard area. Examples: acquisition, relocation, elevation, critical facilities protection	45.26% 482	46.38% 494	8.36% 89	1,065
Natural Resource Protection -Actions that, in addition to minimizing hazard losses, also preserve or restore the functions of natural systems. Examples: land acquisition, floodplain protection, watershed management	68.25% 733	28.40% 305	3.35% 36	1,074
Structural Projects -Actions intended to lessen the impact of a hazard by modifying the natural progression of the hazard. Examples: dams, levees, seawalls, detention/retention basins, channel modification, beach nourishment	64.46% 691	30.78% 330	4.76% 51	1,072
Emergency Services -Actions that protect people and property during and immediately after a hazard event. Examples: warning systems, evacuation planning, emergency response training, sandbagging for flood protection	83.88% 900	15.19% 163	0.93% 10	1,073
Public Education and Awareness -Actions to inform citizens about hazards and the techniques they can use to protect themselves and their property. Examples: outreach projects, speaker series/demos, hazard mapping	66.95% 713	29.11% 310	3.94% 42	1,065

Q18 Did the examples of activities from Question 17 trigger any additional ideas, thoughts or priorities you believe are important for your community's plan to reduce the cost and impact of hazards?

Answered: 411 Skipped: 704

Q19 Contact information is optional:

Answered: 489 Skipped: 626

Answer Choices	Responses	
Name	92.43%	452
Company	0.00%	0
Address	85.07%	416
Address 2	4.91%	24
City/Town	87.12%	426
Virginia	0.00%	0
ZIP/Postal Code	89.98%	440
Country	0.00%	0
Email Address	92.02%	450
Phone Number	65.64%	321

APPENDIX E: REVIEW COMMENTS

This section of the Plan includes each of the written comments received by various parties during review of the plan, as well as a response from the primary consultant addressing each comment in detail.

Reviewer: Bill Sammler, National Weather Service**Date: May 3, 2016**

1. Comment: A request for my input on page 43. I would re-word the sentence as follows: "Direct impacts from hurricanes category 3 and 4 intensity are rare in Hampton Roads due to 1). historical tracks remaining offshore or impacting land before reaching Hampton Roads; and cooler Atlantic Ocean water temperatures north of Cape Hatteras, which diminish a storm's ability to maintain intensity, or intensify. A Category 5 hurricane is considered implausible in Hampton Roads due to the cooler water temperatures mentioned above."
Response: Revised
2. Comment: On page 49, the note you have regarding Joaquin. There should be no reference to Joaquin in the document, as Joaquin had no direct impact on Hampton Roads. There should be some info regarding the coastal flooding associated with an anomalously strong/nearly stationary area of high pressure over New England. This produced a prolonged period of NE winds that resulted in the early October coastal flooding.
Response: Revised
3. Page 51 - first paragraph should be rewritten to read: "According to the NWS, tornado wind speeds normally range from 40 to more than 200 mph. The most violent tornadoes (EF5) have rotating winds of 200 mph or more and are capable of causing extreme destruction and turning normally harmless objects into deadly missiles."
Response: Revised
4. Page 51 - second paragraph, last sentence should read: "Highly destructive tornadoes may carve out a path over a mile wide and tens of miles long."
Response: Revised
5. Page 65 - Figure 4-20 - I would suggest deleting in favor of Figure 4-21. I don't see the usefulness of Figure 4-21, given the low resolution of the graphic.
Response: Revised

Reviewer: George Glazner, City of Newport News**Date: May 10, 2016**

1. Comment: Section 5 that the header says "2014 Update". Should this read 2016 instead?
Response: Revised

Reviewer: Sara Ruch, City of Hampton**Date: May 12, 2016**

1. Comment: Hampton Mitigation Action 2. Delete levees and backflow preventers. Modify cost to indicate cost will be based on specific flood protection measures chosen.
Response: Revised
2. Comment: Hampton Mitigation Action 4. Modify funding sources to indicate to be determined.
Response: Revised
3. Comment: Hampton Mitigation Action 6. Modify title to indicate watershed plan.
Response: Revised
4. Comment: Hampton Mitigation Action 7. Modify lead agency to indicate Marketing Inc. Department.
Response: Revised, but removed "Inc."
5. Comment: Hampton Mitigation Action 9. Modify cost to 7.9 million and indicate MS4 permit in comments.

- Response: Revised
6. Comment: Hampton Mitigation Action 12. Keep and modify goals to Goal 1 and estimated cost "to be determined".
Response: Revised
 7. Comment: Hampton Mitigation Action 14. Modify estimated cost to 2.605 million.
Response: Revised
 8. Comment: Hampton Mitigation Action 15. Remove additional comments.
Response: Revised
 9. Comment: Pg. 4:41 I would combine the 2 Irene sections right now Hurricane Sandy is in the middle of the 2 sections.
Response: Revised
 10. Comment: Pg. 6:12 The second paragraph on the page is talking about Chesapeake's government structure.
Response: Revised

Reviewer: Jim Redick, City of Norfolk

Date: May 16, 2016

1. Comment: No reference to the [National Disaster Mitigation Framework](#) in Introduction or throughout. This Framework is part of FEMA's National Preparedness Goal and deserves mention.
Response: Revised in section 1 and section 6 to include mention and discussion.
2. Comment: Surge flooding (p. 4:10) is no longer associated with the Saffir-Simpson Wind scale. Here are a couple articles: http://usatoday30.usatoday.com/weather/storms/hurricanes/2010-05-12-storm-surge-hurricane-scale_N.htm and <https://www2.ucar.edu/atmosnews/perspective/7834/hurricane-storm-surge-category-its-own>.
Response: Concur and the Saffir Simpson table indicates this. However discussion on 4:10 is about the SLOSH model and our understanding is that the model still associates the surge and wind for modeling purposes.
3. Comment: Sea Level Rise and Land Subsidence section starting at p. 4:25:
 - a. Maybe cite some local sources like [ODU](#) and possibly the [State report](#) on Recurrent Flooding. DHS has also conducted a study on the potential impacts to critical infrastructure due to SLR.
 - b. I don't recall seeing anything about the impacts of increased wave activity due to greater amounts of inundation.
 - c. Perhaps some of Norfolk's objectives might also come from the [State report](#)? As well as [Vision2100](#)? The Resilience Office and HUD grant objectives?
 Response: Revised section 5 on pages 5:26 and 5:27 to include added effects from ODU site. Added localized impacts to section 4 at 4:26. See p. 4:25, paragraph 2 (added) and paragraph 4 (previously included discussion about wave activity). Objectives in this plan are regionwide and the committee worked through developing them as a group. Revisions specifically for Norfolk would have to be added by Norfolk in an appendix at a later date.
4. Comment: Per comment on p. 4:49, Norfolk did experience shoreline erosion during Joaquin / Noreaster last October.
Response: Revised
5. Comment: Worth referencing the 2012 Regional THIRA for Hurricane?
Response: Revised in section 6, page 6:5.
6. Comment: Mention Commodity Flow Study for Chesapeake, Norfolk, Portsmouth and Suffolk as a shared objective for HazMat Incident? And maybe the process by which the VEOC makes contact with the appropriate locality(ies) when they are notified of inbound Hazardous Materials?
Response: Included as Regional Mitigation Action #4

7. Comment: While discussing NFIP properties, it might be worth mention these are the ones we know about... that there are likely uninsured properties in under-resourced neighborhoods which also experience damage due to flooding. Possible to reference and cite Dr. Behr's and Dr. Diaz's study from ODU?
Response: Revised section 5 discussion.
8. Comment: P. 5:15 – a goal should be to update HAZUS with more accurate data as to better reflect more accurate cost impacts.
Response: Revised Regional Mitigation Action #3.
9. Comment: P. 5:17 – Who's map is this? Maybe use HRPDC's maps? Maybe somewhere list the different flood impact viewer tools for each locality?
Response: Map pertains to wildfire not flood. Flood map property viewers for each locality added to section 4.
10. Comment: Capability Assessment (P. 6:1) – mention the Region V Measures? Also, on what standards is Table 6.1 based? NFPA 1600? EMAP? CRS?
Response: Unsure what "Region V measures" are. Table 6.1 is based on amalgamation of various documents over the past 10 years as used by consultant.
11. Comment: Table 6.3 – In the spirit of whole-of-community, wouldn't access to people/resources suffice rather than actual City staff?
Response: Revised table before sentence to clarify that this was approach used.
12. Comment: P. 6:16, second bullet for Norfolk – The city has also acquired Everbridge, calling it Norfolk Alert, which will be used to alert those properties in flood-prone areas of the need to evacuate, etc.
Response: Revised
13. Comment: P. 6:17, next to last bullet for Norfolk, change "Public Works" to "The city..." Also, Norfolk GIS developed another tool called TITAN ([Tidal Inundation Tracking Application for Norfolk](#)) which shows potential flooding based on current tide projections or hypothetical scenarios. Note: a small MLLW to NAVD88 conversion of -1' must be used for better accuracy.
Response: Revised without the note.
14. Comment: Norfolk Mitigation Actions (p. 7:89)
 - a. #3 – I believe this to be completed with the procurement of Everbridge / NorfolkAlert.
 - b. #4 and #5 – Rather than limiting the resources to just CERT, perhaps say stakeholder agencies (all who have a role to play in flood management and response and can disseminate flood-related information).
 - c. #6 – Perhaps list inclusion in the [USACE EPFAT program](#) as the desired goal first while funding is being sought for generators?
 - d. #10 – Along with recommendation, another consideration might be to have volunteers identified, trained and used to capture and submit high-water marks for inclusion in data mapping as well as damage assessments to the state.
 - e. #12 – Instead of identifying if and how a property has been mitigated, how about *changing* it to decrease the number of SLR and RL properties?
 Response: Revised
15. Comment: I wonder if under Mitigation Strategy (p. 7:1), consideration should be coordination with and/or projects in line with Virginia's State Resilience Officer and VDEM State Resilience Officer in the region and/or the soon-to-be updated [Floodplain Management Plan for the Commonwealth of Virginia](#).
Response: It is the consultant's hope that the VDEM input over several meetings, emails and reviews will help provide this coordination. Discussions with VDEM officials at project onset helped set the stage.
16. Comment: Additional mitigation actions for Norfolk:
 - a. Develop and implement a Post-Incident Data Collection Plan - deploy volunteers, damage assessment teams, low-cost sensors and community (via social media) to capture high water data and marks.

- b. Have projects / designs / applications prepared in advance for potential, unannounced, short-fused funding opportunities.
- c. In coordination with Chief Marketing Officer, establish communication / information sharing plans and coordinate outreach materials - all using the same information and/or consolidating into one effort.
- d. Partner with higher education and Norfolk Public Schools and invest in the education of Norfolk's youth and college graduates – the city and region's future scientists and planners.

Response: These items were reviewed and incorporated with the assistance of Robert Tajan, City of Norfolk. In some cases, they were incorporated as aspects of other mitigation actions rather than as completely separate actions.

Reviewer: Pat Dent, City of Williamsburg

Date: May 17-18, 2016

1. Comment: I question Williamsburg's Repetitive Loss information on 5:9. Based on our previous discussions where the properties were located and the information for the claim was not accurate. However, I guess that is the information on file.
Response: Revised table to indicate City's stance on the data. The data still exist in NFIP database, so unless City submits AW-501 to have properties removed or changed, this issue will likely arise with each update to the plan.
2. Comment: Williamsburg Action #2, revise funding sources, lead agency and implementation schedule to focus on Public Works.
Response: Revised
3. Comment: Williamsburg Action #7, revise lead agency to indicate Human Services.
Response: Revised
4. Comment: page 4:11, Lake Matoaka is in the City of Williamsburg not James City County. Waller Mill Dam is operated and maintained by the City of Williamsburg, but is actually within the boundaries of York County.
Response: Revised
5. Comment: section 6. Revise matrix/tables as shown in attached.
Response: Revised

Reviewer: Darryl Cook, James City County

Date: May 18, 2016

1. Comment: Section 4, Figure 4.1. James City County now does have VE zones on both the James and York Rivers as a result of the FEMA coastal study. [not current flood data]
Response: Revised map to reflect current flood data, but HAZUS modeling was done at project outset and, out of necessity, was based on current effective flood data.
2. Comment: Section 4, Table 4.2. All the dams in JCC are earth dams.
Response: Revised.
3. Comment: Table 5.3. JCC Current Effective map date – 12/16/2015
Response: Revised.
Comment: JCC Mitigation Action 4. Cost is \$90,000 per structure, not per year.
Response: Revised.

Reviewer: Andrea Clontz, Isle of Wight County**Date: May 19, 2016**

1. Comment: IOW Mitigation Action #14 – last sentence under additional comments section – change City to County
Response: Revised

Reviewer: Meg Pittenger, City of Portsmouth**Date: May 19, 2016**

1. Comment: Section 3 page 2 – Seems like the wrong size in the chart for Franklin.
Response: Revised.
2. Comment: Section 4 page 2 – The nor'easter in 2009 was in November instead of December.
Response: This was the date of the declaration, not the event. Clarified column title.
3. Comment: Section 4 page 8 – These appear to be the wrong flood maps for Portsmouth. It looks like the 2009 maps instead of the 2015 maps.
Response: Revised map to reflect current flood data, but HAZUS modeling was done at project outset and, out of necessity, was based on current effective flood data.
4. Comment: Section 6 page 6 – Portsmouth has adopted the 2012 USBC.
Response: Revised
5. Comment: Section 6 page 11 & 12 – These sections seem to only reference Chesapeake. Certainly, those do not apply to the other localities.
Response: Revised
6. Comment: Section 6 page 14 – Under “Regional Activities”, the CRS Workgroup is the Coastal Virginia
Response: Revised
7. Comment: Section 7 – Mitigation Actions - #9 – I agree with that change (removal of “citizen actions”) - #14 – “Implement” seems like a good option there.
Response: Revised

Reviewer: Whitney McNamara, City of Virginia Beach**Date: May 19, 2016**

1. Comment: Page 4.8, Figure 4.2 does not fully show Virginia Beach or Chesapeake
Response: Revised these figures.
2. Comment: Table 4.2 is missing the high hazard dams in Virginia Beach – I think there are 3 (Stumpy Lake for sure)
Response: Revised to add dams with limited data from DCR; however, map cannot be revised until the National Inventory of Dams is updated to include all cities in Virginia. This will be done prior to plan publication.
3. Comment: Page 6.6 references the 2009 VA USBC, however, the 2012 VA USBC went into effect in 2014
Response: Revised
4. Comment: Page 6.11 only references Chesapeake, not the broader region in the text.
Response: Revised

Reviewer: Shar Mohammed, City of Newport News**Date: May 20, 2016**

1. Comment: In the Introduction section, page 1:2, first paragraph, line 6, I think we should clarify the word (Elevation) and making it elevation changing.

Response: Revised to clarify, but used different wording than suggested.

2. Comment: Section 7, Mitigation Strategy, Page 33, Shoreline and Roadway along Chesapeake Ave, the proposed amount was \$20M not \$1M. Also, we should add a line item stating that this proposed project was not approved or selected by HUD for Newport News (they announced it on January 21, 2016).

Response: Revised

Reviewer: Vince Holt, City of Franklin

Date: May 20, 2016

1. Comment: Table 3.1 shows the City of Franklin being 42 square miles. It is actually 8.75 square miles.

Response: Revised

Reviewer: Kim Hummel, Isle of Wight County

Date: May 20, 2016

1. Comment: Table 3.2, the temperature and precipitation statistics for the region. The maximum temperature data looked off to me, since summertime temperatures can easily rise into the 90s and sometimes even the 100s. Why would the table list maximum temperatures in the 70s? That just doesn't jive with my experience here in Isle of Wight County. Is the table inaccurate or am I misinterpreting the data?

Response: Doublechecked the data from the source and then requested clarification from NWS. Response from Bill Sammler: "Those numbers look correct to me, Leigh. One must remember that these are annual averages, and not averages for a particular season or month. Basically, winter "cancels out" summer (from a practical perspective), and the spring/autumn averages are close to the annual average."

Reviewer: Brian Donegan, Norfolk Resident

Date: June 27, 2016

Note: The full text of Mr. Donegan's comments is available upon request from the HRPDC. The following are his Conclusions and Recommendations.

1. Comment: HRPDC's attempts at conducting public outreach for and incorporating public feedback into the HRHMP planning process were so ineffective as to obstruct meaningful public participation.
Response: Steering Committee members and other consultants nationwide note that public participation is generally low for similar planning efforts unless a recent disaster has brought mitigation issues to the forefront of the public conscious. While the committee felt that our *efforts* were worthwhile, the results were admittedly less than desired. An additional effort was made to gather public feedback in summer 2016 and the results have been documented in Section 2 and the appendices.
2. Comment: HRPDC efforts produced no public participation of significance and generated scant public feedback in time to affect the substantive decision making and planning processes.
Response: Concur. As stated above, additional efforts were made to gather public feedback in summer 2016, edit the plan as indicated, and the results have been documented in Section 2 and the appendices.
3. Comment: Although HRPDC had limited public feedback in its possession, it withheld this from the Planning Committee during decision-making and planning sessions.
Response: Information obtained from public comment was utilized in both presentations to the planning committee and drafting of the plan. Additionally, in July 2016, we reached back out to the committee with all of the public feedback received and asked that each community reconvene

their subgroup to discuss the public feedback and report back to the PDC whether the information resulted in recommended changes to any sections of the plan, including Sections 4 and 5.

4. Comment: The HRHMP presents a narrative surrounding public outreach, participation, feedback collection and incorporation, and communication with the public that is so inaccurate it distorts the facts to the point an external reviewer cannot effectively evaluate 44 CFR §201.6 compliance.
Response: While we do not concur that the Section 2 narrative is fundamentally inaccurate, nor that it hinders evaluation against 44 CFR §201.6, we have made minor revisions to the descriptions as suggested, in an effort to address the stated concerns.
5. Comment: As a result, the 2016 Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan does not comply with requirements 44 CFR §201.6 as interpreted and articulated in FEMA Local Mitigation Plan Review Guide, October 1, 2011. The plan appears to be noncompliant with Element A.3 and only partially compliant with Element A.1
Response: Element A1 requires documenting the planning process and indicating how the public was involved as we have done in Section 2. Element A3 requires that the planning process include an opportunity for the public to comment on the plan during drafting stage and prior to plan approval. We have hosted and adequately advertised 5 public meetings, regionally distributed throughout the planning area, to gather public comment, and have added an additional meeting in September and more outreach in summer 2016. It is our opinion that this amount of public outreach is ample. Plan adoption will not occur until later fall 2016, so all of this outreach happened prior to adoption by communities.
6. Recommendation: HRPDC should attempt to achieve full compliance with Element A.1 of the Guide by implementing the recommendations provided above to correct the misrepresentations in the HRHMP regarding public outreach, participation, feedback collection and incorporation, and communication with the public.
Response: As indicated in 4 above, we have made revisions to the descriptions in Section 2 in an effort to address the stated concerns.
7. Recommendation: As described in the above section regarding Incorporation of Public Feedback, the only point in the HRHMP development process [where] substantive public feedback could be practically incorporated in a meaningful way was during the Planning Committee meetings of late 2015. After those meetings, the decision-making and planning processes were so advanced that to incorporate substantive public comment would require reconvening the Planning Committee to develop new elements of the plan from new entering arguments. Such activity would be a misuse of grant funding, taxpayer dollars and municipal staff time. At this point in the plan development process there is no reasonable, cost effective, action to remediate the omission of public feedback. As a result, conducting additional public outreach to collect feedback that may alter the structure of the plan is not recommended.
Response: We have delayed the review and adoption process by several weeks to make additional efforts to seek committee feedback on previously-obtained public comment, to obtain additional public comment, to repost the hazard mitigation plan, to host another public meeting and to again ask for committee feedback on public comments.
8. Recommendation: HRPDC should seek agreement with state and federal regulators to move forward with the plan while noting its non-compliance with Element A.3. HRPDC should propose that this deficiency be remediated by the development of detailed corrective action plan. The detailed corrective action itself should be the focus of a concerted effort to generate public involvement. This corrective action plan should be used as the basis for structuring the Continued Public Involvement section of the HRHMP.
Response: We disagree that the plan does not comply with Element A3 as noted in 5 above. We will restructure the Continued Public Involvement subsection in Section 8 to include more detail on the recommended strategies. The HRPDC cannot, however, dictate or mandate how individual communities implement the plan during the 5 years after adoption. The strategies are simply actions that may have proven effective for other communities in the past. We have added an Opportunities for Improvement subsection in Section 8 to identify possible methods for improving public outreach in future updates to the plan.
9. Recommendation: The current Continued Public Involvement section of the HRHMP should be replaced with a new section that incorporates the corrective action plan described above. This section, in its current form, is not credible given the dearth of public involvement achieved during

18 months of supposedly dedicated effort. It is impossible to forecast the success of planned actions such as:

- ☐ Advertising meetings of the committee in the local newspaper, public bulletin boards, web sites, social media and City buildings;
- ☐ Designating willing citizens and private sector representatives as official members of the planning committee;
- ☐ Using local media to update the public about any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place;
- ☐ Using questionnaires and Open Houses to obtain public comments on the Plan and its implementation;
- ☐ Using community web sites to advertise any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place; and
- ☐ Maintaining copies of the Plan in public libraries, on the web, or other appropriate venues. (HRHMP 8:4)

Response: Public involvement during the 5-year period between plan updates is up to the discretion of each community's committee and, for this reason, is not specified or required in detail in the plan. In Section 8, the plan provides some guidance for ideas that have worked in the past for Hampton Roads or other communities and provides some ideas for involving the public. Again, these are strategies and ideas, not mandates. We will investigate other methods that have worked nationwide and add detail or other options to this section.

10. Recommendation: However, it clear that similar aspirations expressed during the past 18 months did not produce any meaningful public involvement. Therefore, HRPDC should conduct a thorough examination to determine how such poor participation was tolerated during the current effort and what best practices could be applied to generate meaningful public participation during HRHMP maintenance and 2021 revision. This examination should be the precursor to and should inform the development of, the corrective action plan.

Response: See 8 above for actions that we will take to modify the plan in response to this comment.

Reviewer: Carole Garrison, Hampton Resident

Date: August 4, 2016

1. Comment: I am concerned because in the chart 4.8 the Ocean and Lake surf events Hampton is only listed on the final event of last year. That is incorrect. Strong waves hit the beaches and flooded neighborhoods on the following dates which already have other cities listed for these events: 1) Oct 2006; 2) Nov 2006; 3) Nov 2009; 4) Oct 2012. Also no area is listed as being effected for surf during Isabel Sept 2003. That caused massive flooding and damage in the whole region. The current was so strong it blew an opening in the beach at Factory Point which needed to be repaired. I thought maybe it was left off because it was a named storm but then realized you had already listed Sandy which was also a named storm. Thank you for looking into this matter I do not want it to appear Hampton does not have issues resulting from waves.

Response: Thank you for the careful review of the table. We have added this information to Table 4:8.

Reviewer: Ryan M. Kmetz

Date: August 31, 2016

1. Comment: Overall- There does not seem to be any sensitivity analysis of the potential effects of climate change. While all the references are best practices - they primarily focus on historical data. Many municipalities and regions in the Northeast have recognized this and have included this analysis in the HMP updates (e.g. Manchester-by-the-Sea, MA).

Response: Climate change is a sensitive political topic and attempting to have all of the elected officials in Hampton Roads agree on the potential effects of climate change would likely have become a strong focus of the plan, thereby detracting from the necessary focus on mitigation

actions. By focusing on historical impacts in Section 5, and providing just one potential scenario as selected from the sensitivity analysis which is included in the 2012 report referenced (*Climate Change in Hampton Roads, Phase III: Sea Level Rise in Hampton Roads, Virginia*), we felt that the vulnerability was sufficiently described in enough detail for the committee and the public to prepare associated mitigation actions.

2. Comment: Frictional Effects - How valid are the model outputs if the data inputs are based on the NLCD data from 2001? In addition to significant anthropogenic land use changes, we have had at least one major hurricane (Isabel). One would assume that this storm would have significantly altered the land cover.

Response: Individual model components and data inputs to FEMA's HAZUS program are many, complicated and slow to change. It was not until 2015 that the model incorporated data from the 2010 Census, and the model still runs on outdated versions of the requisite GIS software. However, HAZUS is the only tool we know that provides useful hurricane vulnerability numbers for planning purposes such as this. Our HAZUS consultants indicate that the apparent focus of recent updates to HAZUS have been making certain that the building and population data are as up to date as possible.

Reviewer: Linda Killebrew via email

Date: September 21, 2016

1. Keep the drains cleared in the ravines behind people's houses.

Response: Drainage system maintenance is a component for many communities covered under this plan. Your comment was forwarded and reviewed by the committee to determine if changes to the mitigation action plan were necessary.

Reviewer: Patricia VonOhlen, Newport News Resident

Date: September 21, 2016

1. I would like to see more flood prevention strategies used: living shorelines, more green spaces, and other strategies to help shoreline residency, green infrastructure that slows storm water from delivery to our waterways so that rain can soak in and natural filtering occurs, find/fix bacteria leaks.

Response: Your comment was forwarded and reviewed by the Newport News committee members to determine if changes to the mitigation action plan were called for. We also contacted VDEM to determine fairly recent changes to the hazard mitigation funding programs that involve green infrastructure in order to guide our inclusion of this in the plan. Several actions were updated to indicate that green infrastructure considerations may change the benefits cost ratio and increase available funding sources.

Reviewer: unknown

Date: September 27, 2016

1. Sec 5, p. 33. Figure 5.17 the colors are not useful or visible for colorblind people.

Response: While we appreciate your comment and are happy to accommodate your needs upon request, we find it is impractical to alter all of the figures in this document to be black and white.

Reviewer: unknown

Date: September 27, 2016

1. Include information about how to get hazard mitigation assistance for homes and companies.

Response: We appreciate your comment, but this task is outside the scope of this plan. The plan is geared toward identifying actions communities should take with regard to hazard

mitigation. Please note that many communities have included public information campaign actions to do precisely as you have indicated.

Reviewer: Robert Lane, Williamsburg Resident

Date: September 29, 2016

1. I live in Williamsburg and received an email from the City asking me to provide feedback to you on the working draft. I spent some time with it, (can't say I read it all), and was quite impressed with the amount and organization of the information. I did not review the Appendices. I don't have any specific comments and I didn't see anything that appeared to me to be in error. I could suggest that the overall conclusions be collected and summarized either at the beginning or the end, (even though this would mean most would only read that section). Otherwise, it is a bit voluminous to be an effective working document. Although on second thought, perhaps this is more an umbrella document for all the various municipality implementation plans addressing the hazards as they pertain to their locality. Overall, it seems thorough and well thought out.
Response: The hazard mitigation strategies in Section 7 are the result and thus the main conclusion of the document. These are specific to each of the 22 communities, thus it is difficult to summarize them in an effective way.

APPENDIX F: PREVIOUS ACTIONS

The following table provides a summary of the mitigation actions found in the previous hazard mitigation plan for each of the study area communities. The table provides the previous plan strategy number, a brief description of the action, and status information regarding whether each activity has been retained as is, retained and modified, completed and deleted, or deleted for other reasons.

TABLE F-1: SUMMARY OF STATUS OF PREVIOUS MITIGATION ACTIONS

Community	Strategy Number	Strategy Description	Activity Status and Current Plan Action
Hampton	1	Mitigate hazards at communication sites	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #3
Hampton	2	Relocate EOC/911/311 facility outside of floodplain	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #3
Hampton	3	Continue Waterways Committee/Community Plan public outreach	Delete - Completed
Hampton	4	Expand shelter capacity w/other localities	In Progress; deleted because it is a response activity
Hampton	5	Work w/other localities within MOU to provide emergency generators to shelters	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #3
Hampton	6	Continue evaluation of pump station upgrades	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #3
Hampton	7	Adopt and periodically evaluate floodplain ordinance	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #3
Hampton	8	Update snow removal plan	Delete - incorporated into management procedures
Hampton	9	Secure additional supplies for special needs population	Delete - incorporated into management procedures
Hampton	8 (2006)	Evaluate regulations to strengthen floodplain management program	Delete - incorporated into management procedures
Hampton	6 (2006)	Evaluate options to upgrade Reverse 911/implement new system	Delete - Completed
Hampton	10	Review rep loss data for accuracy, verify whether properties have been mitigated and submit documentation	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #11
Hampton	11	Review compliance with NFIP; annual review of floodplain ordinance & permitted activities	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #1
Hampton	12	Educate elected officials/citizens re: NFIP	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #13
Hampton	13	Mitigation of floodprone structures	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #2
Hampton	14	Implement revolving loan fund for residential elevation projects	Delete - incorporated into management procedures
Hampton	15	Maintain StormReady designation	Delete - incorporated into management procedures
Hampton	16	Incorporate Rep Loss Plan into HazMit Plan	Delete - plan contains basic elements and Mitigation Action #11 continues with rep loss area analyses
Hampton	17	Submit elevation grants to VDEM/FEMA	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #2
Hampton	18	Continue developing storm-resistant beach	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #14
Hampton	19	Implement zoning recommendations from Hampton/Langley Land Use Plan	Delete - Completed
Hampton	20	Prepare public outreach materials	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #13
Hampton	21	Continue training for pandemics	Delete - incorporated into management procedures
Hampton	22	Outreach to rep loss on mitigation options	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #11

Hampton	23	Provide weather radios to at-risk populations	Delete - Completed
Hampton	7 (2006)	Continue generator program	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #3
Hampton	24	Examine feasibility of pilot neighborhood education and self-help org for emergency notification and hazmit	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #8
Hampton	25	Seek funding to adopt warning system for coastal storms	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #15
Hampton	26	Examine feasibility of small structural flood control measures	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #2
Hampton	27	Evaluate relocation of School Maintenance Facility out of floodplain	Delete - Building destroyed by tornado in 2014 and was not rebuilt.
Hampton	28	Seek grants for SWM improvements	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #9
Newport News	1	Evaluate feasibility of a 311 system	Delete - Completed
Newport News	2	Evaluate feasibility of join municipal shelter	Delete - Completed
Newport News	3	Perform needs assessment; identify potential refuges of last resort locations	Delete - Considered a response action, not a priority for mitigation planning
Newport News	4	Evaluate options for new community alert system	Delete - Completed
Newport News	5	Investigate use of social media for alerts, outreach	Delete - Completed
Newport News	5 (2006)	Continue forest management program to mitigate wildfire hazards, promote forest health	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #13
Newport News	4 (2006)	Continue implementation of Flood Assistance Program	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #2
Newport News	9 (2006)	Upgrade stormwater drainage system maintenance	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #12
Newport News	6	Conduct annual review of rep loss data for accuracy; verify whether properties have been mitigated; submit mitigation verification	Delete - This action will be completed as part of CRS if City participates in the program
Newport News	7	Review compliance with NFIP; annual review of floodplain ordinance & permitted activities	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #1
Newport News	8	Educate elected officials/citizens re: NFIP	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #14
Newport News	9	Mitigation of floodprone structures	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #2
Newport News	10	Evaluate and implement CAD interface	Delete - This action, while not complete, is significantly underway.
Newport News	8 (2006)	Provide contingency planning guidance to businesses	Deleted - Information may be placed on the web site, but not considered mitigation priority at this time
Newport News	12 (2006)	Engineering feasibility study of flood-proofing pumping stations, pursue funding, elevate pump stations	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #3
Newport News	7 (2006)	Continue development of natural hazards school curriculum	Delete - Completed
Newport News	11 (2006)	Continue participation in CRS; prepare outreach	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #1. City has not joined CRS at this time but is considering.

Newport News	10 (2006)	Continue flood hazard awareness program	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #14
Newport News	6 (2006)	Review floodplain ordinance, enact new requirements	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #1
Poquoson	1	Participate in annual HRPDC mitigation funding workshop	Retained as Regional Mitigation Action #2
Poquoson	2	Continue participation in CRS; consider ordinance changes for more credit	Retained
Poquoson	3	Mitigate flood- and wind-prone structures	Retained
Poquoson	4	Implement VIMS Shoreline Management Plan	Retained
Poquoson	5	Critical facilities flood/wind mitigation	Retained
Poquoson	6	Collect/share hazard-related GIS data: high water marks, Elevation Certificates, real-time surge data, low-lying evac roads	Retained
Poquoson	7	Implement Pre-Disaster Debris Management Plan	Retained
Poquoson	8	Trim trees in public right-of-way; coordinate with public utility companies	Retained
Poquoson	9	Eliminate barriers to the orderly evacuation of citizens (elevate/widen Wythe Creek Road, widen Victory Blvd, continue car evac agreement w/ Langley Motor Speedway, address low-lying roads)	Retained
Poquoson	10	Maintain decal system for re-entry to City post-disaster; use social media to strengthen	Retained
Poquoson	11	Maintain Code Red, Reverse 911 system; prepare messages	Retained
Poquoson	12	Protect flood-prone natural resources as buffer against SLR (3 steps)	Retained
Poquoson	13	Continue participation w/Virginia Tech to use drones to assess storm damage, manage fires	Retained
Poquoson	14	Create PPI for outreach, CRS	Retained
Williamsburg	1	Conduct annual review of rep loss data for accuracy; verify whether properties have been mitigated; submit mitigation verification	Delete - this activity is geared toward CRS communities and is not necessary for Williamsburg's limited flood hazard
Williamsburg	2	Review compliance with NFIP; annual review of floodplain ordinance & permitted activities	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #2
Williamsburg	3	Educate elected officials/citizens re: NFIP	Delete - The low number of floodprone structures does not necessitate this action
Williamsburg	4	Mitigation of floodprone structures	Delete - The low number of floodprone structures does not necessitate this action
Williamsburg	2 (2006)	Maintain StormReady designation	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #3
Williamsburg	7 (2006)	Continue Colonial Williamsburg Annual Tree Maintenance Program	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #4
Williamsburg	6 (2006)	Upgrade drainage system	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #1
Williamsburg	4 (2006)	Shelter generator maintenance program	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #5
Williamsburg	1 (2006)	Evaluate citizen alerting systems	Delete - completed

Williamsburg	3 (2006)	Strengthen GIS digital mapping program	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #6
Williamsburg	5 (2006)	Continue training CERT team members	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #7
Williamsburg	5	Provide outreach to rep loss properties re: mitigation options	Delete - The low number of floodprone structures does not necessitate this action
Williamsburg	8	Explore feasibility of developing Disaster-Resistant University (DRU) Plan for the College of William & Mary	Delete - completed
James City County	1	Evaluate critical facilities for safety and sustainability during emergencies; take corrective actions	Retained as Mitigation Action #1
James City County	2	Revise FIRMs to incorporate Powhatan Creek watershed studies	Completed, but with some elements retained as Mitigation Action #2
James City County	3	Mitigate flood problems identified in Powhatan Creek watershed study	Retained as Mitigation Action #2
James City County	4	Annual meeting with VDOT/utilities to identify hazard areas and potential mitigation projects	Retained as Mitigation Action #3
James City County	4 (2006)	Adopt freeboard	Deleted
James City County	1 (2006)	Continue flood mitigation project, focus on rep loss	Retained as Mitigation Action #4
James City County	3 (2006)	Include flood hazard/zone information in site plan application/building permits	Modified and retained as Mitigation Action #5
James City County	5	Review rep loss data for accuracy, verify whether properties have been mitigated and submit documentation	Incorporated into Mitigation Action #5
James City County	6	Review compliance with NFIP; annual review of floodplain ordinance & permitted activities	Incorporated into Mitigation Action #5
James City County	7	Educate elected officials/citizens re: NFIP	Modified and retained as Mitigation Action #6
James City County	8	Mitigation of floodprone structures	Incorporated into Mitigation Action #4
James City County	7 (2006)	Convene task force to assess wildfire hazards	Incorporated into Mitigation Action #7
James City County	9	Complete StormReady certification	Incorporated into Mitigation Action #8
James City County	10	Evaluate integrating web-based data analysis tools with current county capability	Deleted
James City County	11	Outreach program for flood mitigation options	Incorporated into Mitigation Action #6
James City County	2 (2006)	Identify first floor elevations; County-wide needs assessment	Incorporated into Regional Action #1
James City County	6 (2006)	Continue and expand Drought-Resistant Landscaping Program	Complete - Deleted
James City County	5 (2006)	Provide disaster mitigation planning guidance to businesses	Incorporated into Mitigation Action #6
York County	1	Evaluate new/upgraded community alert system	Modified and retained as part of Mitigation Action #1
York County	2	Conduct annual review of rep loss data for accuracy; verify whether properties have been mitigated; submit mitigation verification	Modified and retained as Mitigation Action #2
York County	3	Review compliance with NFIP; annual review of floodplain ordinance & permitted activities	Incorporated into Mitigation Action #2

York County	4	Educate elected officials/citizens re: NFIP	Incorporated into Mitigation Action #1
York County	5	Mitigation of floodprone structures	Incorporated into Mitigation Action #3
York County	6	Complete annual floodplain management report	Incorporated into Mitigation Action #2
York County	7	Develop public outreach materials for wildfire	Retained as Mitigation Action #4
York County	8	Program for assessment of stormwater choke points	Retained as Mitigation Action #5
York County	13 (2006)	Mitigate rep loss structures	Incorporated into Mitigation Action #3
York County	5 (2006)	Evaluate critical facilities for safety and sustainability during emergencies; take corrective actions	Retained as Mitigation Action #6
York County	2 (2006)	Continue flood hazard awareness program	Incorporated into Mitigation Action #1
York County	3 (2006)	Implement stormwater Capital Improvement Projects	Deleted
York County	8 (2006)	Maintain awareness/support of Newport News' forest management program	Retained as Mitigation Action #7
York County	10 (2006)	Continue to provide contingency planning guidance to businesses	Incorporated into Mitigation Action #1
York County	1 (2006)	Review feasibility of adopting 2' freeboard	Completed - Deleted
York County	9	Evaluate emergency/crisis management systems and tools	Deleted
York County	10	Continue PSA program; develop new PSAs as needed; assess media outlets	Incorporated into Mitigation Action #1
York County	12 (2006)	Continue Comp Plan element to protect County shorelines from erosion	Retained as Mitigation Action #8
Isle of Wight County	1	Mitigate floodprone structures	Retained as Mitigation Action #1
Isle of Wight County	2	Join the CRS	Retained as Mitigation Action #2
Isle of Wight County	3	Put utility lines to municipal facilities and emergency shelters underground	Retained as Mitigation Action #3
Isle of Wight County	4	Implement 4-phase strategy to guide development in areas vulnerable to SLR	Retained as Mitigation Action #4
Isle of Wight County	5	Stormwater drainage plan for floodprone areas, implement plan	Stormwater master plan is about to be developed. Retained as Mitigation Action # 5
Isle of Wight County	6	Countywide Transportation Plan, address flooding	Retained as Mitigation Action #6
Isle of Wight County	7	Annual outreach with Dominion re: nuclear hazard	Deleted. This is an ongoing effort.
Isle of Wight County	8	Expand notification system to new media	Deleted. Action complete; although annual costs still incurred.
Isle of Wight County	9	Build additional fueling station	Deleted. Action complete.
Isle of Wight County	10	Replace and maintain regional interoperable communications system	Retained as Mitigation Action #
Isle of Wight County	11	Determine if repetitive loss structures have been mitigated	Retained as Mitigation Action #11

Isle of Wight County	12	Address hazards along high traffic evac routes, includes burying utility lines	Retained as Mitigation Action #12
Norfolk	1	Maintain and protect beaches and shorelines	Split into Mitigation Actions #1 & #2
Norfolk	2	Incorporate mitigation goals/objectives/actions into Comp Plan	Deleted
Norfolk	3	Expand notification system to new media types and notices	Deleted. Action complete.
Norfolk	4	Create vehicle/equipment staging areas outside of SFHA	Deleted
Norfolk	5	Multi-hazard public outreach/education; focus on flood	Split into Mitigation Actions #3 & #4
Norfolk	6	Generators for critical facilities and infrastructure	Retained as Mitigation Action #5
Norfolk	7	Additional fueling station	Deleted
Norfolk	8	Improve stormwater system	Retained as Mitigation Action #6
Norfolk	9	Improve critical facilities and infrastructure and shelters	Retained as Mitigation Action #7
Norfolk	10	Protect floodprone structures	Retained as Mitigation Action #8
Norfolk	11	Improve damage assessment procedures	Retained as Mitigation Action #9
Norfolk	12	Improve CRS rating	Retained as Mitigation Action #11
Norfolk	13	Determine whether repetitive loss structures have been mitigated	Modified and retained as Mitigation Action #11
Portsmouth	1	Develop a post-disaster continuity of operations plan	Retained as Mitigation Action #1
Portsmouth	2	Designate non-flood-prone pick-ups with city evacuation routes to assist citizens relying on public transportation	Retained as Mitigation Action #2
Portsmouth	3	Hurricane/flood outreach/education to hotels, motels, business travelers, tourists	Retained as Mitigation Action #3
Portsmouth	4	Use GIS to identify location/vulnerability of special needs populations for mitigation, evacuation, response, recovery	Retained as Mitigation Action #4
Portsmouth	5	Purchase/lease generators for pump stations and shelters	Deleted
Portsmouth	6	Implement stream gauge system in rep loss areas where streets flood	Modified and retained as Mitigation Action #5
Portsmouth	7	Implement Citywide Drainage Needs Assessment completed in November 2007	Retained as Mitigation Action #7
Portsmouth	8	Implement action items from 2010 Floodplain Management Plan and Rep Loss Plan	Modified and retained as Mitigation Action #8
Portsmouth	9	Mitigate repetitive loss structures	Retained as Mitigation Action #9
Portsmouth	10	Determine whether Rep Loss properties have been mitigated	Retained as Mitigation Action #10
Suffolk	1	Collect data to support flood mitigation projects (high water marks, lowest flood elev, flood elev, structure condition for rep loss)	Deleted - Completed
Suffolk	2	Develop regional dam failure plan	Deleted - Completed

Suffolk	3	Mitigate repetitively flooded structures and infrastructure	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #1
Suffolk	4	Provide emergency power to critical facilities/infrastructure/shelters	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #2
Suffolk	5	Provide hurricane/flood outreach/education to travelers and tourists	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #3
Suffolk	6	Improve stormwater management	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #4
Suffolk	7	Update Comp Plan to incorporate mitigation	Delete - Completed
Suffolk	8	Develop stormwater drainage plan for flood-prone areas	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #5
Suffolk	9	Continue to strengthen floodplain management program (5 steps)	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #6
Suffolk	10	Review whether rep loss structures have been mitigated	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #7
Virginia Beach	1	Ensure consistency across locality plans - Comp Plan, Critical Infrastructure, CIP, HazMit Plan, Stormwater Management, Open Space, Energy	Delete - Completed
Virginia Beach	2	Relocate ComIT Data Center	Retained as Mitigation Action #1
Virginia Beach	3	Strengthen City's Floodplain Management Program (5 steps)	Retained as Mitigation Action #2
Virginia Beach	4	Update Site Plan Review process to include hazards and additional review by Fire & EM, Green Ribbon Committee, Joint-Energy Comm	Delete - Substantially complete. Floodplain management aspects included under Mitigation Action #2
Virginia Beach	5	Create coalition of business owners to promote mitigation	Retained as Mitigation Action #3
Virginia Beach	6	Provide emergency power to critical facilities and infrastructure and shelters	Retained as Mitigation Action #4
Virginia Beach	7	Move utilities underground	Delete - Cancelled
Virginia Beach	8	Mitigate public safety facilities	Retained as Mitigation Action #5
Virginia Beach	9	Public education on flood insurance and natural floodplain functions	Updated and Retained as Mitigation Action #6
Virginia Beach	10	Incorporate property protection measures into CERT curriculum	Modified and retained as Mitigation Action #6
Virginia Beach	11	Replace/maintain interoperable communications system	Retained as Mitigation Action #7
Virginia Beach	12	Maintain Hurricane Protection Project and beaches	Updated and Retained as Mitigation Action #8
Virginia Beach	13	Develop dam failure plan	Updated and Retained as Mitigation Action #9
Virginia Beach	14	Install mast arm supports with mechanical dampening systems for traffic signals	Retained as Mitigation Action #10
Virginia Beach	15	Improve/update alert, warning, notification capabilities	Retained as Mitigation Action #11
Virginia Beach	16	Retrofit stormdrains	Updated and Retained as Mitigation Action #12
Virginia Beach	17	Mitigate tidal flooding	Retained as Mitigation Action #13
Virginia Beach	18	Modify V-Zone standards to include enclosure limits	Delete - Cancelled - Most V Zone areas have ground elevations above BFE

Virginia Beach	19	Provide incentives to developers to increase landscape or dune management	Delete - Cancelled
Virginia Beach	20	Investigate coastal barrier and stream diversion technologies	Retained as part of Mitigation Action #13
Virginia Beach	21	Mitigate rep loss structures	Retained as Mitigation Action #14
Virginia Beach	22	Verify whether rep loss properties have been mitigated	Retained as Mitigation Action #16
Chesapeake	1	Participate in annual HRPDC Mitigation Funding Workshop (if available).	Retained as Regional Mitigation Action #2
Chesapeake	2	Maintain participation in NFIP and CRS.	Retained
Chesapeake	3	Protect structures in floodprone areas through structural mitigation or small flood control projects	Retained
Chesapeake	4	Continually review manufactured home locations relative to repetitive loss areas and SFHAs; review vulnerability to flood and wind; mitigate where possible	Retained
Chesapeake	5	Part I: study critical facilities relative to SFHA and repetitive loss areas, assess vulnerability Part II: Mitigate structures and/or components	Retained
Chesapeake	6	Flow test and inspect dry hydrants annually to help maintain operability.	Retained
Chesapeake	7	Seek/use revenue sources and local matching funds for mitigation planning and projects	Retained
Chesapeake	8	Develop and implement a Pre-Disaster Homeowner Tree Preventive Maintenance and Hazard Awareness Program	Retained
Chesapeake	9	Improve stormwater management infrastructure: prepare and implement maintenance schedule, provide replacement schedule (inspection equipment, vehicles, plows for new trucks)	Retained
Chesapeake	10	Part I: Maximize training opportunities in hazard mitigation for NEMAC, staff, elected officials, CERT members, citizen/neighborhood leaders Part II: Support at least two staff in Development and Permits to become CFMs	Retained
Chesapeake	11	Conduct HEAT program for industrial/hazardous facilities to discuss hazards and mitigation	Retained
Chesapeake	12	Support/maintain reverse-911 system, prepare messages for hazard events	Retained
Chesapeake	13	Prevent sanitary sewer inflows during floods, smoke test public and private sanitary sewer infrastructure to determine priorities	Retained
Chesapeake	14	Continue lease agreement and maintenance of facilities along the Dismal Swamp Canal Trail to accommodate recreational use of floodplain	Retained
Chesapeake	15	Continue outreach efforts through PPI following steps laid out in CRS Manual	Retained
Franklin	1	Revise floodplain regulation to require 1 ft freeboard	Completed - Deleted
Franklin	2	Use existing studies to prioritize and implement improvements to stormwater and drainage systems	Retained as Mitigation Action #1
Franklin	3	Enroll in CRS	Retained as Mitigation Action #2

Franklin	4	Compile elevation and flood damage data	Retained as Mitigation Action #3
Franklin	5	Work with Downtown Franklin Association and local business owners to wet and dry floodproof structures; provide flood audits to business owners	Retained as Mitigation Action #4
Franklin	6	Community disaster awareness campaign through City Clips and cable Public, Education, and Government (PEG) channel	Retained as Mitigation Action #5
Franklin	7	Increase protection of critical facilities and infrastructure	Retained as Mitigation Action #6
Franklin	8	Reduce prevalence of hazardous trees; 1) education on properly caring for aging trees, 2) provide inspections	Retained as Mitigation Action #7
Franklin	9	Coordinate with CSX and Norfolk Southern to regulate hazardous materials and prep for accidents	Retained as Mitigation Action #8
Franklin	10	Continue upgrades to radio system	Retained as Mitigation Action #9
Franklin	11	Install citywide wireless network	Retained as Mitigation Action #10
Franklin	12	Upgrade GIS to include risk info for plan review process for new dev	Retained as Mitigation Action #11
Franklin	13	Help business develop disaster recovery plans	Retained as Mitigation Action #12
Franklin	14	Continue evaluating schools as shelters; upgrades	Retained as Mitigation Action #13
Franklin	15	Install flood gates	Not cost effective - Deleted
Franklin	16	CFM on Community Development staff	Retained as Mitigation Action #14
Franklin	17	StormReady designation	Completed - Deleted
Franklin	18	Identify, repair, demolish unsafe structures	Retained as Mitigation Action #15
Franklin	19	Determine if repetitive loss structures have been mitigated	Retained as Mitigation Action #16
Franklin	20	Participate in annual HRPDC mitigation funding workshop	Retained as Regional Mitigation Action #2
Southampton County	1	Identify new sites for Emergency Operations Center outside floodplain or retrofit; Site new public safety buildings outside of 500-year floodplain	Retained as Mitigation Action #1
Southampton County	2	Solicit comments from VDOF on proposed subdivisions	Retained as Mitigation Action #2
Southampton County	3	Protect rep loss properties from flood damage	Retained as Mitigation Action #3
Southampton County	4	Complete 6 countywide drainage studies to prioritize maintenance	Modified and retained as Mitigation Action #4
Southampton County	5	Retrofit County High School for shelter	Retained as Mitigation Action #5
Southampton County	6	Multi-hazard mailing to property owners	Retained as Mitigation Action #6
Southampton County	7	Include hazard element in Comp Plan	Deleted
Southampton County	8	CFM training for staff	Modified and retained as Mitigation Action #8

Southampton County	9	Verify whether rep loss properties have been mitigated	Retained as Mitigation Action #7
Boykins	1	Support railroad efforts to widen ditches	Retained
Boykins	2	Coordinate with Dominion Powerto upgrade electric utilities	Retained
Boykins	3	Increase outreach/participation in Reverse 911	Retained
Boykins	4	Public education campaign w/ Red Cross on preparedness, including flood insurance	Retained
Boykins	5	Install generator/backup power for water availability (w/ County)	Completed - Deleted
Boykins	6	Acquire/mitigate floodprone structures	Completed - Deleted
Boykins	7	Address drainage issues in Meherrin River, identify ways to improve drainage on Tarrara Creek	Completed - Deleted
Branchville	1	Increase outreach/participation in Reverse 911	Substantially Complete - Deleted
Branchville	2	Enhance community center's ability to serve as assembly point, distribution center	Retained as Mitigation Action #1
Branchville	3	Coordinate with County on culvert expansion under CSX trestle	Retained as Mitigation Action #2
Branchville	4	Public education campaign w/ Red Cross on preparedness, including flood insurance	Retained as Mitigation Action #3
Branchville	5	Educate residents on what can be expected following major disaster, how to prepare	Retained as Mitigation Action #4
Branchville	6	Request, assist, distribute infor on evac plans - VA and NC	Retained as Mitigation Action #5
Branchville	7	Mitigate floodprone structures	Retained as Mitigation Action #6
Capron	1	Public education campaign w/ County, Red Cross on preparedness, including flood insurance	Retained as Mitigation Action #1
Courtland	1	Continue to implement stormwater drainage projects	Retained as Mitigation Action #1
Courtland	2	Increase outreach/participation in Reverse 911	Retained as Mitigation Action #2
Courtland	3	Retrofit Courtland Elementary School to serve as a shelter	Retained as Mitigation Action #3
Courtland	4	Public education campaign w/ County, Red Cross on preparedness, including flood insurance	Retained as Mitigation Action #4
Courtland	5	Mitigate floodprone structures	Retained as Mitigation Action #5
Ivor	1	Public awareness campaign on burning laws	Retained as Mitigation Action #1
Ivor	2	Establish buffer zones between residential construction and wooded areas	Retained as Mitigation Action #2
Ivor	3	Public education campaign w/ County, Red Cross on preparedness, including flood insurance	Retained as Mitigation Action #3
Ivor	4	Mitigate floodprone structures	Retained as Mitigation Action #4
Newsoms	1	Public education campaign w/ County, Red Cross on preparedness, including flood insurance	Retained as Mitigation Action #1
Newsoms	2	Increase outreach/participation in Reverse 911	Retained as Mitigation Action #2

Newsoms	3	Improve drainage as identified in 2011 stormwater study	Substantially Complete - Deleted
Newsoms	4	Coordinate with DCR on NFIP questions	Retained as Mitigation Action #
Newsoms	5	Mitigate floodprone structures	Retained as Mitigation Action #
Smithfield	1	Provide training on proper pruning of hazardous trees	Deleted - action complete
Smithfield	2	Have staff become CFMs	Retained as Mitigation Action #1
Smithfield	3	Add DFIRM to Town's GIS	Deleted - action complete
Smithfield	4	Ensure continued NFIP compliance on Zoning Permit Application	Retained as Mitigation Action #2
Smithfield	5	Remove utility poles/bury lines	Retained as Mitigation Action #3
Smithfield	6	Determine whether rep loss properties have been mitigated	Retained as Mitigation Action #4
Windsor	1	Have staff become CFMs	Retained as Mitigation Action #1
Windsor	2	Ensure continued NFIP compliance on Zoning Permit Application	Retained as Mitigation Action #2

APPENDIX G: ACRONYMS

The following list of acronyms may help with interpretation of terms used in this document.

Acronym List

ASCE – American Society of Civil Engineers
BFE – Base Flood Elevation
CDBG – Community Development Block Grant Program
CERT – Community Emergency Response Team
CFM – Certified Floodplain Manager
CRS – Community Rating System
CWA – Clean Water Act Section 319 Grants
CZM – Virginia Coastal Zone Management Program
DHS – U.S. Department of Homeland Security
DMA 2000 – Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000
DPW – Department of Public Works
EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
EWP – Emergency Watershed Protection
FEMA – Federal Emergency Management Agency
FIRM – Flood Insurance Rate Map
FMA – Flood Mitigation Assistance Program
FPMS – Flood Plain Management Services Program
GIS – Geographical Information System
HAZUS-MH – Hazards U.S. Multi-Hazard
HIRA – Hazard Identification Risk Assessment
HMGP – Hazard Mitigation Grant Program
HRPDC – Hampton-Roads Planning District Commission
HUD – U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
IDA – Intensely Developed Area
ISO – Verisk Analytics
LEPC – Local Emergency Planning Committee
LWCF – Land and Water Conservation Fund Grants
MAC – Mitigation Advisory Committee
MAP – Mitigation Action Plan
MLLW – Mean Lower Low Water
NAS – Naval Air Station

NESIS – Northeast Snowfall Impact Scale
NCDC – National Climatic Data Center
NEMAC – National Event Mitigation Advisory Committee (Chesapeake)
NFIP – National Flood Insurance Program
NOAA – National Oceanic Atmospheric Administration
NWS – National Weather Service
ODU – Old Dominion University
PDM – Pre-Disaster Mitigation Program
PDSI – Palmer Drought Severity Index
RFC – Repetitive Flood Claims Program
RL – Repetitive [flood] Loss
RMA – Resource Management Area
RPA – Resource Protection Area
SARA - Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act
SFCP – Small Flood Control Projects
SFHA – Special Flood Hazard Area
SLOSH – Sea, Lake and Overland Surges from Hurricanes
SRL – Severe Repetitive [flood] Loss
STAPLE/E – Social, Technical, Administrative, Political, Legal, Economic and Environmental
TBA – Tidewater Builders Association
USACE – U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USBC - Virginia Uniform Statewide Building Code
USDA – United States Department of Agriculture
USGS – United States Geological Survey
VDEM – Virginia Department of Emergency Management
VDEQ – Virginia Department of Environmental Quality
VDOF – Virginia Department of Forestry
VIMS – Virginia Institute of Marine Science
VMRC – Virginia Marine Resources Commission
WHO – World Health Organization
WNV – West Nile Virus
WPFP – Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention
WSP – Watershed Surveys and Planning
WUI – Wildland Urban Interface

APPENDIX H

This Appendix provides a copy of the Public Input Assessment worksheets completed by Committee members at three different points in the planning process.

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Committee Assessment of Public Input

July 15, 2016

PLEASE RETURN TO LEIGH CHAPMAN (leigh.morgan2@verizon.net) BY July 26, 2016

In an effort to ensure that Committee members of the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update have been adequately informed of the comments received from the public during the planning process, I am providing a copy of those items received to date. THIS IS IMPORTANT.

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Distribute these materials to your community's participating members;
2. Meet together as a group to review the materials; and
3. Fill out the assessment below as a community to help us determine if edits/changes are necessary to the plan as a result of your thorough evaluation of public feedback received thus far.

COMMUNITY: _____ Hampton _____

COMMITTEE LEADER: _____ Hui-Shan Walker _____

COMMITTEE LEADER EMAIL: _____ hui-shan.walker@hampton.gov _____

1. Has your committee reviewed all of the comments and responses to the plan as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?
☒ YES
☐ NO
2. Has your committee reviewed all of the public surveys and comments received from the public as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?
☒ YES
☐ NO
3. Has your committee reviewed all of the public meeting minutes as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?
☒ YES
☐ NO

Please take some time to outline any actions with regard to the plan that your committee believes are advisable based on your review of public input. Use additional pages, if necessary, to provide a thorough response to each question.

1. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 2 of the plan, which describes the Planning Process? *There are still some highlighted areas in this section. So we would suggest completing those areas: 2:9 and 2:10,*

2. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

No

3. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

No

4. Are there changes to the Goals and Objectives in Section 7 that you feel are needed?

No

5. Does your community Mitigation Action Plan (Section 7) adequately address the hazards impacting your community and are there any actions you wish to add or remove?

On page 7:29 Please change cost benefit section to read:

Make sure homeowners have flood insurance coverage. Flood insurance coverage has been shown to reduce response needs and help Hampton's citizens return to normalcy more quickly after flooding.

6. Section 8 addresses how communities will maintain and implement the plan during the 5-year period after adoption. What specific methods does your community intend to implement to include the public in the process? Please add to the list if your intentions are not provided below.

- ☐ Advertising meetings of the committee in the local newspaper, public bulletin boards, web sites, social media and City buildings;
- ☐ Designating willing citizens and private sector representatives as official members of the planning committee;

- ☐ Using local media to update the public about any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place;
- ☐ Using questionnaires and Open Houses to obtain public comments on the Plan and its implementation;
- ☐ Using community web sites to advertise any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place; and
- ☒ Maintaining copies of the Plan in public libraries, on the web, or other appropriate venues.
- ☐ Other (please explain): _____

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Committee Assessment of Public Input

July 15, 2016

PLEASE RETURN TO LEIGH CHAPMAN (leigh.morgan2@verizon.net) BY July 26, 2016

In an effort to ensure that Committee members of the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update have been adequately informed of the comments received from the public during the planning process, I am providing a copy of those items received to date. THIS IS IMPORTANT.

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Distribute these materials to your community's participating members;
2. Meet together as a group to review the materials; and
3. Fill out the assessment below as a community to help us determine if edits/changes are necessary to the plan as a result of your thorough evaluation of public feedback received thus far.

COMMUNITY: City of Newport News

COMMITTEE LEADER: George Glazner

COMMITTEE LEADER EMAIL: gglazner@nnva.gov

1. Has your committee reviewed all of the comments and responses to the plan as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?

☒ YES

☐ NO

2. Has your committee reviewed all of the public surveys and comments received from the public as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?

☒ YES

☐ NO

3. Has your committee reviewed all of the public meeting minutes as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?

☒ YES

☐ NO

Please take some time to outline any actions with regard to the plan that your committee believes are advisable based on your review of public input. Use additional pages, if necessary, to provide a thorough response to each question.

1. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 2 of the plan, which describes the Planning Process?

No. To the best of my recollection the process is accurately described in this document

2. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

No changes recommended for this plan. In the future it might be worthwhile to revisit the discussions about mitigating potential disruption to the electrical grid from electromagnetic and solar events.

3. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

No changes recommended

4. Are there changes to the Goals and Objectives in Section 7 that you feel are needed?

Goal 2.1.1 in the future might be better stated to *Encourage and provide incentives for property owners.....*

5. Does your community Mitigation Action Plan (Section 7) adequately address the hazards impacting your community and are there any actions you wish to add or remove?

No.

6. Section 8 addresses how communities will maintain and implement the plan during the 5-year period after adoption. What specific methods does your community intend to implement to include the public in the process? Please add to the list if your intentions are not provided below.

- X Advertising meetings of the committee in the local newspaper, public bulletin boards, web sites, social media and City buildings;
- X Designating willing citizens and private sector representatives as official members of the planning committee;
- X Using local media to update the public about any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place;
- X Using questionnaires and Open Houses to obtain public comments on the Plan and its implementation;
- X Using community web sites to advertise any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place; and
- X Maintaining copies of the Plan in public libraries, on the web, or other appropriate venues.
- ☐ Other (please explain): _____

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Committee Assessment of Public Input

July 15, 2016

PLEASE RETURN TO LEIGH CHAPMAN (leigh.morgan2@verizon.net) BY July 26, 2016

In an effort to ensure that Committee members of the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update have been adequately informed of the comments received from the public during the planning process, I am providing a copy of those items received to date. THIS IS IMPORTANT.

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Distribute these materials to your community's participating members;
2. Meet together as a group to review the materials; and
3. Fill out the assessment below as a community to help us determine if edits/changes are necessary to the plan as a result of your thorough evaluation of public feedback received thus far.

COMMUNITY: City of Poquoson

COMMITTEE LEADER: Michael D. Bryant, Deputy Fire Chief/EM Coordinator

COMMITTEE LEADER EMAIL: Michael.Bryant@poquoson-va.gov

1. Has your committee reviewed all of the comments and responses to the plan as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?

X YES

☐ NO

2. Has your committee reviewed all of the public surveys and comments received from the public as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?

X YES

☐ NO

3. Has your committee reviewed all of the public meeting minutes as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?

X YES

☐ NO

Please take some time to outline any actions with regard to the plan that your committee believes are advisable based on your review of public input. Use additional pages, if necessary, to provide a thorough response to each question.

1. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 2 of the plan, which describes the Planning Process? **No changes recommended.**
2. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed. **No changes recommended.**
3. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed. **No changes recommended.**
4. Are there changes to the Goals and Objectives in Section 7 that you feel are needed? **No changes recommended.**
5. Does your community Mitigation Action Plan (Section 7) adequately address the hazards impacting your community and are there any actions you wish to add or remove? **No changes recommended.**
6. Section 8 addresses how communities will maintain and implement the plan during the 5-year period after adoption. What specific methods does your community intend to implement to include the public in the process? Please add to the list if your intentions are not provided below.

X Advertising meetings of the committee in the local newspaper, public bulletin boards, web sites, social media and City buildings;

X Designating willing citizens and private sector representatives as official members of the planning committee;

X Using local media to update the public about any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place;

X Using questionnaires and Open Houses to obtain public comments on the Plan and its implementation;

X Using community web sites to advertise any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place; and

X Maintaining copies of the Plan in public libraries, on the web, or other appropriate venues.

☐ Other (please explain):

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Committee Assessment of Public Input

July 15, 2016

PLEASE RETURN TO LEIGH CHAPMAN (leigh.morgan2@verizon.net) BY July 26, 2016

In an effort to ensure that Committee members of the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update have been adequately informed of the comments received from the public during the planning process, I am providing a copy of those items received to date. THIS IS IMPORTANT.

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Distribute these materials to your community's participating members;
2. Meet together as a group to review the materials; and
3. Fill out the assessment below as a community to help us determine if edits/changes are necessary to the plan as a result of your thorough evaluation of public feedback received thus far.

COMMUNITY: **City of Williamsburg**

COMMITTEE LEADER: **Pat Dent, Fire Chief / Emergency Management Coordinator**

COMMITTEE LEADER EMAIL: **wdent@williamsburgva.gov**

1. Has your committee reviewed all of the comments and responses to the plan as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?

X YES

NO

2. Has your committee reviewed all of the public surveys and comments received from the public as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?

X YES

NO

3. Has your committee reviewed all of the public meeting minutes as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?

X YES

NO

Please take some time to outline any actions with regard to the plan that your committee believes are advisable based on your review of public input. Use additional pages, if necessary, to provide a thorough response to each question.

1. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 2 of the plan, which describes the Planning Process? **No recommended changes.**
2. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed. **No recommended changes. One committee member questioned the accuracy of the 2013 Dam data. After reading the criteria one would assume Dams not listed did not fall within the guidelines for inclusion on this list.**
3. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed. **No recommendations.**
4. Are there changes to the Goals and Objectives in Section 7 that you feel are needed? **No changes suggested for Goals & Objectives.**
5. Does your community Mitigation Action Plan (Section 7) adequately address the hazards impacting your community and are there any actions you wish to add or remove? **Section 7 adequately addresses the hazards impacting our community and the committee has no additions or deletions.**
6. Section 8 addresses how communities will maintain and implement the plan during the 5-year period after adoption. What specific methods does your community intend to implement to include the public in the process? Please add to the list if your intentions are not provided below.

- ☒ Advertising meetings of the committee in the local newspaper, public bulletin boards, web sites, social media and City buildings;
- ☐ Designating willing citizens and private sector representatives as official members of the planning committee;
- ☒ Using local media to update the public about any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place;
- ☐ Using questionnaires and Open Houses to obtain public comments on the Plan and its implementation;
- ☒ Using community web sites to advertise any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place; and
- ☒ Maintaining copies of the Plan in public libraries, on the web, or other appropriate venues.
- ☐ Other (please explain): _____

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Committee Assessment of Public Input

July 15, 2016

PLEASE RETURN TO LEIGH CHAPMAN (leigh.morgan2@verizon.net) BY July 26, 2016

In an effort to ensure that Committee members of the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update have been adequately informed of the comments received from the public during the planning process, I am providing a copy of those items received to date. THIS IS IMPORTANT.

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Distribute these materials to your community's participating members;
2. Meet together as a group to review the materials; and
3. Fill out the assessment below as a community to help us determine if edits/changes are necessary to the plan as a result of your thorough evaluation of public feedback received thus far.

COMMUNITY: James City County

COMMITTEE LEADER: Kathleen Hale

COMMITTEE LEADER EMAIL: kathleen.hale @
jamescitycountyva.gov

1. Has your committee reviewed all of the comments and responses to the plan as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?

YES

NO

2. Has your committee reviewed all of the public surveys and comments received from the public as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?

YES

NO

3. Has your committee reviewed all of the public meeting minutes as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?

YES

NO

Please take some time to outline any actions with regard to the plan that your committee believes are advisable based on your review of public input. Use additional pages, if necessary, to provide a thorough response to each question.

1. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 2 of the plan, which describes the Planning Process? NO
2. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed. NO
3. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed. NO
4. Are there changes to the Goals and Objectives in Section 7 that you feel are needed? NO
5. Does your community Mitigation Action Plan (Section 7) adequately address the hazards impacting your community and are there any actions you wish to add or remove? yes; NO
6. Section 8 addresses how communities will maintain and implement the plan during the 5-year period after adoption. What specific methods does your community intend to implement to include the public in the process? Please add to the list if your intentions are not provided below.
 - ☒ Advertising meetings of the committee in the local newspaper, public bulletin boards, web sites, social media and City buildings;
 - ☒ Designating willing citizens and private sector representatives as official members of the planning committee;
 - ☒ Using local media to update the public about any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place;
 - ☒ Using questionnaires and Open Houses to obtain public comments on the Plan and its implementation;
 - ☒ Using community web sites to advertise any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place; and
 - ☒ Maintaining copies of the Plan in public libraries, on the web, or other appropriate venues.Other (please explain): _____

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Committee Assessment of Public Input

July 15, 2016

PLEASE RETURN TO LEIGH CHAPMAN (leigh.morgan2@verizon.net) BY July 26, 2016

In an effort to ensure that Committee members of the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update have been adequately informed of the comments received from the public during the planning process, I am providing a copy of those items received to date. THIS IS IMPORTANT.

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Distribute these materials to your community's participating members;
2. Meet together as a group to review the materials; and
3. Fill out the assessment below as a community to help us determine if edits/changes are necessary to the plan as a result of your thorough evaluation of public feedback received thus far.

COMMUNITY: County of York

COMMITTEE LEADER: Jim Dishner

COMMITTEE LEADER EMAIL: dishnerj@yorkcounty.gov

1. Has your committee reviewed all of the comments and responses to the plan as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?

☒ YES

☐ NO

2. Has your committee reviewed all of the public surveys and comments received from the public as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?

☒ YES

☐ NO

3. Has your committee reviewed all of the public meeting minutes as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?

4.

☒ YES

☐ NO

Please take some time to outline any actions with regard to the plan that your committee believes are advisable based on your review of public input. Use additional pages, if necessary, to provide a thorough response to each question.

1. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 2 of the plan, which describes the Planning Process? *NO*
2. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed. *Include a Mean Sea Level Trend Chart for the NOAA station at the USCG Yorktown Training Center (Station ID-8637689)*
3. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed. *See # 3*
4. Are there changes to the Goals and Objectives in Section 7 that you feel are needed?
NO
5. Does your community Mitigation Action Plan (Section 7) adequately address the hazards impacting your community and are there any actions you wish to add or remove? *Yes & NO*
6. Section 8 addresses how communities will maintain and implement the plan during the 5-year period after adoption. What specific methods does your community intend to implement to include the public in the process? Please add to the list if your intentions are not provided below.

Advertising meetings of the committee in the local newspaper, public bulletin boards, web sites, social media and City buildings;

- ☐ Designating willing citizens and private sector representatives as official members of the planning committee;

- ☐ Using local media to update the public about any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place;
 - X Using questionnaires and Open Houses to obtain public comments on the Plan and its implementation;
 - ☐ Using community web sites to advertise any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place; and
 - X Maintaining copies of the Plan in public libraries, on the web, or other appropriate venues.
- Other (please explain): _____

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Committee Assessment of Public Input

July 15, 2016

PLEASE RETURN TO LEIGH CHAPMAN (leigh.morgan2@verizon.net) BY July 26, 2016

In an effort to ensure that Committee members of the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update have been adequately informed of the comments received from the public during the planning process, I am providing a copy of those items received to date. THIS IS IMPORTANT.

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Distribute these materials to your community's participating members;
2. Meet together as a group to review the materials; and
3. Fill out the assessment below as a community to help us determine if edits/changes are necessary to the plan as a result of your thorough evaluation of public feedback received thus far.

COMMUNITY: _____ Norfolk _____

COMMITTEE LEADER: _____ Robert Tajan _____

COMMITTEE LEADER EMAIL: _____ Robert.Tajan@norfolk.gov _____

1. Has your committee reviewed all of the comments and responses to the plan as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?

☒ YES

☐ NO

2. Has your committee reviewed all of the public surveys and comments received from the public as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?

☒ YES

☐ NO

3. Has your committee reviewed all of the public meeting minutes as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?

☒ YES

☐ NO

Please take some time to outline any actions with regard to the plan that your committee believes are advisable based on your review of public input. Use additional pages, if necessary, to provide a thorough response to each question.

1. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 2 of the plan, which describes the Planning Process?

Yes. I would note that additional public meetings were held with more lead time for viewing the document to allow for more possible public input. It should note that there was concern of not enough public input which led to additional comments being addressed and X number of additional public meetings coordinated with all the city's Public Relations departments.

2. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

Yes. Information should be added that though the list is not comprehensive, these are the hazards identified as being what the focus of the plan should be based on Committee members input and the detailed needs assessment in Chapter 5. A section should be included that shows all the hazards identified by entire Committee and that these shown are the specific ones chosen through a voting/vetting process as to what are the most important.

3. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

Yes. The flood events should be tied to the dated historical events where possible to show that there are other events between the historical events.

4. Are there changes to the Goals and Objectives in Section 7 that you feel are needed?

No, not unless there are more hazards added to address.

5. Does your community Mitigation Action Plan (Section 7) adequately address the hazards impacting your community and are there any actions you wish to add or remove?

No, no unless there are more hazards that are added and need to be addressed.

6. Section 8 addresses how communities will maintain and implement the plan during the 5-year period after adoption. What specific methods does your community intend to implement to include the public in the process? Please add to the list if your intentions are not provided below.

- ☐ Advertising meetings of the committee in the local newspaper, public bulletin boards, web sites, social media and City buildings;
- ☐ Designating willing citizens and private sector representatives as official members of the planning committee;
- ☐ Using local media to update the public about any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place;
- ☐ Using questionnaires and Open Houses to obtain public comments on the Plan and its implementation;
- X Using community web sites to advertise any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place; and
- X Maintaining copies of the Plan in public libraries, on the web, or other appropriate venues.
- X Other (please explain): ____ Yearly updated provided to City Council _____

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Committee Assessment of Public Input

July 15, 2016

PLEASE RETURN TO LEIGH CHAPMAN (leigh.morgan2@verizon.net) BY July 26, 2016

In an effort to ensure that Committee members of the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update have been adequately informed of the comments received from the public during the planning process, I am providing a copy of those items received to date. THIS IS IMPORTANT.

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Distribute these materials to your community's participating members;
2. Meet together as a group to review the materials; and
3. Fill out the assessment below as a community to help us determine if edits/changes are necessary to the plan as a result of your thorough evaluation of public feedback received thus far.

COMMUNITY: _____ City of Portsmouth _____

COMMITTEE LEADER: __Meg Pittenger_____

COMMITTEE LEADER EMAIL: __megp@portsmouthva.gov_____

1. Has your committee reviewed all of the comments and responses to the plan as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?
☒ YES
NO
2. Has your committee reviewed all of the public surveys and comments received from the public as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?
☒ YES
☐ NO
3. Has your committee reviewed all of the public meeting minutes as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?
☒ YES
☐ NO

Please take some time to outline any actions with regard to the plan that your committee believes are advisable based on your review of public input. Use additional pages, if necessary, to provide a thorough response to each question.

1. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 2 of the plan, which describes the Planning Process? **More time between the committee meetings series might have been helpful. In particular, the time between the November 2015 and December 2015 was very short and exacerbated by it occurring during holiday times. Also, need more than 2 weeks in between open public meeting and plan adoption timeframe.**
2. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed. **No, although stressing the parameters of DMA 2000 might help emphasize the nature of the hazards that this plan is designed to address.**
3. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed. **Duplicate question?**
4. Are there changes to the Goals and Objectives in Section 7 that you feel are needed? **No**
5. Does your community Mitigation Action Plan (Section 7) adequately address the hazards impacting your community and are there any actions you wish to add or remove? **Yes. No.**
6. Section 8 addresses how communities will maintain and implement the plan during the 5-year period after adoption. What specific methods does your community intend to implement to include the public in the process? Please add to the list if your intentions are not provided below.

☒ Advertising meetings of the committee in the local newspaper, public bulletin boards, web sites, social media and City buildings;

☐ Designating willing citizens and private sector representatives as official members of the planning committee;

☒ Using local media to update the public about any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place;

☒ Using questionnaires and Open Houses to obtain public comments on the Plan and its implementation;

☒ Using community web sites to advertise any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place; and

☒ Maintaining copies of the Plan in public libraries, on the web, or other appropriate venues.

☐ Other (please explain): _____

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Committee Assessment of Public Input

July 15, 2016

PLEASE RETURN TO LEIGH CHAPMAN (leigh.morgan2@verizon.net) BY July 26, 2016

In an effort to ensure that Committee members of the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update have been adequately informed of the comments received from the public during the planning process, I am providing a copy of those items received to date. THIS IS IMPORTANT.

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Distribute these materials to your community's participating members;
2. Meet together as a group to review the materials; and
3. Fill out the assessment below as a community to help us determine if edits/changes are necessary to the plan as a result of your thorough evaluation of public feedback received thus far.

COMMUNITY: _____ Suffolk _____

COMMITTEE LEADER: _____ Brian Spicer _____

COMMITTEE LEADER EMAIL: _____ bspicer@suffolkva.us _____

1. Has your committee reviewed all of the comments and responses to the plan as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?
☒ YES
☐ NO
2. Has your committee reviewed all of the public surveys and comments received from the public as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?
☒ YES
☐ NO
3. Has your committee reviewed all of the public meeting minutes as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?
☒ YES
☐ NO

Please take some time to outline any actions with regard to the plan that your committee believes are advisable based on your review of public input. Use additional pages, if necessary, to provide a thorough response to each question.

1. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 2 of the plan, which describes the Planning Process? No recommended changes at this time.
2. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed. No recommended changes.
3. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed. No recommended changes.
4. Are there changes to the Goals and Objectives in Section 7 that you feel are needed? No.
5. Does your community Mitigation Action Plan (Section 7) adequately address the hazards impacting your community and are there any actions you wish to add or remove? Yes. No additions.
6. Section 8 addresses how communities will maintain and implement the plan during the 5-year period after adoption. What specific methods does your community intend to implement to include the public in the process? Please add to the list if your intentions are not provided below.
 - ☐ Advertising meetings of the committee in the local newspaper, public bulletin boards, web sites, social media and City buildings;
 - ☐ Designating willing citizens and private sector representatives as official members of the planning committee;
 - ☐ Using local media to update the public about any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place;
 - ☐ Using questionnaires and Open Houses to obtain public comments on the Plan and its implementation;
 - ☐ Using community web sites to advertise any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place; and
 - ☐ Maintaining copies of the Plan in public libraries, on the web, or other appropriate venues.
 - ☐ Other (please explain): _____

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Committee Assessment of Public Input

July 15, 2016

PLEASE RETURN TO LEIGH CHAPMAN (leigh.morgan2@verizon.net) BY July 26, 2016

In an effort to ensure that Committee members of the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update have been adequately informed of the comments received from the public during the planning process, I am providing a copy of those items received to date. THIS IS IMPORTANT.

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Distribute these materials to your community's participating members;
2. Meet together as a group to review the materials; and
3. Fill out the assessment below as a community to help us determine if edits/changes are necessary to the plan as a result of your thorough evaluation of public feedback received thus far.

COMMUNITY: Va. Beach

COMMITTEE LEADER: Erin Sutton

COMMITTEE LEADER EMAIL: eesutton@vb.gov.com

1. Has your committee reviewed all of the comments and responses to the plan as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?
☒ YES
☐ NO
2. Has your committee reviewed all of the public surveys and comments received from the public as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?
☒ YES Part of the committee
☐ NO
3. Has your committee reviewed all of the public meeting minutes as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?
☒ YES
☐ NO

Please take some time to outline any actions with regard to the plan that your committee believes are advisable based on your review of public input. Use additional pages, if necessary, to provide a thorough response to each question.

1. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 2 of the plan, which describes the Planning Process?

Yes they do - understanding the challenges w/ the process

2. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

Agree w/ Section 4, committee participated in the hazard analysis process very actively.

3. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

same

4. Are there changes to the Goals and Objectives in Section 7 that you feel are needed?

^{committee}
No - supports

5. Does your community Mitigation Action Plan (Section 7) adequately address the hazards impacting your community and are there any actions you wish to add or remove?

~~community~~ Committee approved w/ no changes.

6. Section 8 addresses how communities will maintain and implement the plan during the 5-year period after adoption. What specific methods does your community intend to implement to include the public in the process? Please add to the list if your intentions are not provided below.

- ☒ Advertising meetings of the committee in the local newspaper, public bulletin boards, web sites, social media and City buildings;
- ☐ Designating willing citizens and private sector representatives as official members of the planning committee;
- ☒ Using local media to update the public about any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place;
- ☐ Using questionnaires and Open Houses to obtain public comments on the Plan and its implementation;
- ☒ Using community web sites to advertise any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place; and
- ☒ Maintaining copies of the Plan in public libraries, on the web, or other appropriate venues.
- ☐ Other (please explain): _____

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Committee Assessment of Public Input

July 15, 2016

PLEASE RETURN TO LEIGH CHAPMAN (leigh.morgan2@verizon.net) BY July 26, 2016

In an effort to ensure that Committee members of the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update have been adequately informed of the comments received from the public during the planning process, I am providing a copy of those items received to date. THIS IS IMPORTANT.

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Distribute these materials to your community's participating members;
2. Meet together as a group to review the materials; and
3. Fill out the assessment below as a community to help us determine if edits/changes are necessary to the plan as a result of your thorough evaluation of public feedback received thus far.

COMMUNITY: ____ City of Chesapeake_____

COMMITTEE LEADER: ____ Martha Burns_____

COMMITTEE LEADER EMAIL: ____mfburns@cityofchesapeake.net_____

1. Has your committee reviewed all of the comments and responses to the plan as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?

xYES-the opportunity has been given to do so

NO

2. Has your committee reviewed all of the public surveys and comments received from the public as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?

xYES the opportunity has been given to do so

☐ NO

3. Has your committee reviewed all of the public meeting minutes as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?

xYES the opportunity has been given to do so

☐ NO

Please take some time to outline any actions with regard to the plan that your committee believes are advisable based on your review of public input. Use additional pages, if necessary, to provide a thorough response to each question.

1. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 2 of the plan, which describes the Planning Process? NO
2. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed. NO
3. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed. NO
4. Are there changes to the Goals and Objectives in Section 7 that you feel are needed? NO
5. Does your community Mitigation Action Plan (Section 7) adequately address the hazards impacting your community and are there any actions you wish to add or remove? NO
6. Section 8 addresses how communities will maintain and implement the plan during the 5-year period after adoption. What specific methods does your community intend to implement to include the public in the process? Please add to the list if your intentions are not provided below.

Advertising meetings of the committee in the local newspaper, public bulletin boards, web sites, social media and City buildings;

xDesignating willing citizens and private sector representatives as official members of the planning committee;

xUsing local media to update the public about any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place;

xUsing questionnaires and Open Houses to obtain public comments on the Plan and its implementation;

xUsing community web sites to advertise any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place; and

xMaintaining copies of the Plan in public libraries, on the web, or other appropriate venues.

Other (please explain): _____

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Committee Assessment of Public Input

July 15, 2016

PLEASE RETURN TO LEIGH CHAPMAN (leigh.morgan2@verizon.net) BY July 26, 2016

In an effort to ensure that Committee members of the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update have been adequately informed of the comments received from the public during the planning process, I am providing a copy of those items received to date. THIS IS IMPORTANT.

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Distribute these materials to your community's participating members;
2. Meet together as a group to review the materials; and
3. Fill out the assessment below as a community to help us determine if edits/changes are necessary to the plan as a result of your thorough evaluation of public feedback received thus far.

COMMUNITY: Isle of Wight County

COMMITTEE LEADER: Andrea Clontz

COMMITTEE LEADER EMAIL: Aclontz@isleofwightva.net

1. Has your committee reviewed all of the comments and responses to the plan as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?
☒ YES
☐ NO
2. Has your committee reviewed all of the public surveys and comments received from the public as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?
☒ YES
☐ NO
3. Has your committee reviewed all of the public meeting minutes as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?
☒ YES
☐ NO

Please take some time to outline any actions with regard to the plan that your committee believes are advisable based on your review of public input. Use additional pages, if necessary, to provide a thorough response to each question.

1. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 2 of the plan, which describes the Planning Process?

Comments previously submitted

2. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

Comments previously submitted

3. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

comment previously submitted

4. Are there changes to the Goals and Objectives in Section 7 that you feel are needed?

Comments previously submitted

5. Does your community Mitigation Action Plan (Section 7) adequately address the hazards impacting your community and are there any actions you wish to add or remove?

Yes - has previously been reviewed and edits were already incorporated

6. Section 8 addresses how communities will maintain and implement the plan during the 5-year period after adoption. What specific methods does your community intend to implement to include the public in the process? Please add to the list if your intentions are not provided below.

Advertising meetings of the committee in the local newspaper, public bulletin boards, web sites, social media and City buildings;

Designating willing citizens and private sector representatives as official members of the planning committee;

Using local media to update the public about any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place;

Using questionnaires and Open Houses to obtain public comments on the Plan and its implementation;

Using community web sites to advertise any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place; and

Maintaining copies of the Plan in public libraries, on the web, or other appropriate venues.

Other (please explain): _____

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Committee Assessment of Public Input

July 15, 2016

PLEASE RETURN TO LEIGH CHAPMAN (leigh.morgan2@verizon.net) BY July 26, 2016

In an effort to ensure that Committee members of the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update have been adequately informed of the comments received from the public during the planning process, I am providing a copy of those items received to date. THIS IS IMPORTANT.

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Distribute these materials to your community's participating members;
2. Meet together as a group to review the materials; and
3. Fill out the assessment below as a community to help us determine if edits/changes are necessary to the plan as a result of your thorough evaluation of public feedback received thus far.

COMMUNITY: Town of Smithfield

COMMITTEE LEADER: Josiah Jendrey

COMMITTEE LEADER EMAIL: jjendrey@smithfieldva.gov

1. Has your committee reviewed all of the comments and responses to the plan as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?

☒ YES

☐ NO

2. Has your committee reviewed all of the public surveys and comments received from the public as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?

☒ YES

☐ NO

3. Has your committee reviewed all of the public meeting minutes as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?

☒ YES

☐ NO

Please take some time to outline any actions with regard to the plan that your committee believes are advisable based on your review of public input. Use additional pages, if necessary, to provide a thorough response to each question.

1. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 2 of the plan, which describes the Planning Process?

No changes needed

2. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

Appears to address all relevant hazards and does not need any changes to be made.

3. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

Looks to be well done and cover all necessary areas.

4. Are there changes to the Goals and Objectives in Section 7 that you feel are needed?

No

5. Does your community Mitigation Action Plan (Section 7) adequately address the hazards impacting your community and are there any actions you wish to add or remove?

Yes

6. Section 8 addresses how communities will maintain and implement the plan during the 5-year period after adoption. What specific methods does your community intend to implement to include the public in the process? Please add to the list if your intentions are not provided below.

- ☐ Advertising meetings of the committee in the local newspaper, public bulletin boards, web sites, social media and City buildings;
- ☐ Designating willing citizens and private sector representatives as official members of the planning committee;

- ☐ Using local media to update the public about any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place;
- ☐ Using questionnaires and Open Houses to obtain public comments on the Plan and its implementation;
- ☐ Using community web sites to advertise any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place; and
- ☐ Maintaining copies of the Plan in public libraries, on the web, or other appropriate venues.
- ☐ Other (please explain): _____

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Committee Assessment of Public Input

July 15, 2016

PLEASE RETURN TO LEIGH CHAPMAN (leigh.morgan2@verizon.net) BY July 26, 2016

In an effort to ensure that Committee members of the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update have been adequately informed of the comments received from the public during the planning process, I am providing a copy of those items received to date. THIS IS IMPORTANT.

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Distribute these materials to your community's participating members;
2. Meet together as a group to review the materials; and
3. Fill out the assessment below as a community to help us determine if edits/changes are necessary to the plan as a result of your thorough evaluation of public feedback received thus far.

COMMUNITY: CITY OF FRANKLIN

COMMITTEE LEADER: VINCE HOLT

COMMITTEE LEADER EMAIL: vholt@franklinva.com

1. Has your committee reviewed all of the comments and responses to the plan as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?
☒ YES
☐ NO
2. Has your committee reviewed all of the public surveys and comments received from the public as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?
☒ YES
☐ NO
3. Has your committee reviewed all of the public meeting minutes as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?
☒ YES
☐ NO

Please take some time to outline any actions with regard to the plan that your committee believes are advisable based on your review of public input. Use additional pages, if necessary, to provide a thorough response to each question.

1. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 2 of the plan, which describes the Planning Process?

No

2. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

No

3. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

No

4. Are there changes to the Goals and Objectives in Section 7 that you feel are needed?

No

5. Does your community Mitigation Action Plan (Section 7) adequately address the hazards impacting your community and are there any actions you wish to add or remove?

YES . ACTIONS IDENTIFIED ARE APPROPRIATE

6. Section 8 addresses how communities will maintain and implement the plan during the 5-year period after adoption. What specific methods does your community intend to implement to include the public in the process? Please add to the list if your intentions are not provided below.

- ☒ Advertising meetings of the committee in the local newspaper, public bulletin boards, web sites, social media and City buildings;
- ☐ Designating willing citizens and private sector representatives as official members of the planning committee;
- ☒ Using local media to update the public about any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place;
- ☐ Using questionnaires and Open Houses to obtain public comments on the Plan and its implementation;
- ☒ Using community web sites to advertise any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place; and
- ☒ Maintaining copies of the Plan in public libraries, on the web, or other appropriate venues.
- ☐ Other (please explain): _____

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Committee Assessment of Public Input

July 15, 2016

PLEASE RETURN TO LEIGH CHAPMAN (leigh.morgan2@verizon.net) BY July 26, 2016

In an effort to ensure that Committee members of the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update have been adequately informed of the comments received from the public during the planning process, I am providing a copy of those items received to date. THIS IS IMPORTANT.

INSTRUCTIONS:

- 1. Distribute these materials to your community's participating members;**
- 2. Meet together as a group to review the materials; and**
- 3. Fill out the assessment below as a community to help us determine if edits/changes are necessary to the plan as a result of your thorough evaluation of public feedback received thus far.**

COMMUNITY: Southampton County

COMMITTEE LEADER: Beth Lewis, City of Franklin

COMMITTEE LEADER EMAIL: blewis@franklinva.com

1. Has your committee reviewed all of the comments and responses to the plan as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?

YES

2. Has your committee reviewed all of the public surveys and comments received from the public as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?

YES

3. Has your committee reviewed all of the public meeting minutes as posted on the HRPDC ftp site 7/15/16?

YES

Please take some time to outline any actions with regard to the plan that your committee believes are advisable based on your review of public input. Use additional pages, if necessary, to provide a thorough response to each question.

1. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 2 of the plan, which describes the Planning Process? None beyond the fact that the Southampton County needs to have as its representative someone in emergency management, through the sheriff's office, driving this process. Emergency management planning needs to be undertaken by those whose expertise and training is in emergency management.
2. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed. No
3. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed. No
4. Are there changes to the Goals and Objectives in Section 7 that you feel are needed? No
5. Does your community Mitigation Action Plan (Section 7) adequately address the hazards impacting your community and are there any actions you wish to add or remove? Adequately addresses, no additions or deletions
6. Section 8 addresses how communities will maintain and implement the plan during the 5-year period after adoption. What specific methods does your community intend to implement to include the public in the process? Please add to the list if your intentions are not provided below.
 - ☐ Advertising meetings of the committee in the local newspaper, public bulletin boards, web sites, social media and City buildings;
 - ☐ Using local media to update the public about any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place;
 - ☐ Using questionnaires and Open Houses to obtain public comments on the Plan and its implementation; including booth at County fair when appropriate
 - ☐ Using community web sites to advertise any maintenance or periodic review activities taking place; and
 - ☐ Maintaining copies of the Plan in public libraries, on the web, or other appropriate venues.

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Second Committee Assessment of Public Input

August 18, 2016

PLEASE RETURN TO LEIGH CHAPMAN (leigh.morgan2@verizon.net) BY August 31, 2016

In an effort to ensure that Committee members of the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update have been adequately informed of the comments received from the public during the planning process, I am providing a copy of those items received to date. THIS IS IMPORTANT.

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Distribute these materials to your community's participating members;
2. Meet together as a group to review the materials; and
3. Fill out the assessment below as a community to help us determine if edits/changes are necessary to the plan as a result of your thorough evaluation of public feedback received thus far.

COMMUNITY: HAMPTON

COMMITTEE LEADER: HUI - SHAN WALKER

COMMITTEE LEADER EMAIL: hui-shan.walker@hampton.gov

1. Has your committee reviewed all of the results, trends and summaries to the public survey as provided on <https://www.surveymonkey.com/results/SM-5V5KLRWM/> (password



~~YES~~

NO

2. Has your committee reviewed the public survey results specific to your community as provided in email from Leigh Chapman, 8/18/16?

~~YES~~

NO

3. Has your committee reviewed all of the public comments as provided in email from Leigh Chapman, 8/18/16?

~~YES~~

NO

Please take some time to outline any actions with regard to the plan that your committee believes are advisable based on your review of public input. Use additional pages, if necessary, to provide a thorough response to each question.

1. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 2 of the plan, which describes the Planning Process? We will include summary info from the public survey in an appendix and include discussion of our procedures for distributing it in Section 2.

no

2. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

no

{ 47 out of 198 indicated 1st or 2nd most concern = no ice storms
our plan indicates tropical / coastal is #1 hazard

3. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 5 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of Hampton Roads' vulnerability to the hazards described previously? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

no

4. Are there changes to the Goals and Objectives in Section 7 that you feel are needed?

no

5. Does your community Mitigation Action Plan (Section 7) adequately address all the hazards impacting your community and are there any actions you wish to add or remove given the feedback from the public you've reviewed? Note especially responses to survey question question 17 regarding the types of measures the public thinks are important for your community.

no

{ our mitigation actions mirror the community responses

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Second Committee Assessment of Public Input

August 18, 2016

PLEASE RETURN TO LEIGH CHAPMAN (leigh.morgan2@verizon.net) BY August 31, 2016

In an effort to ensure that Committee members of the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update have been adequately informed of the comments received from the public during the planning process, I am providing a copy of those items received to date. THIS IS IMPORTANT.

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Distribute these materials to your community's participating members;
2. Meet together as a group to review the materials; and
3. Fill out the assessment below as a community to help us determine if edits/changes are necessary to the plan as a result of your thorough evaluation of public feedback received thus far.

COMMUNITY: _City of Newport News_____

COMMITTEE LEADER: __George Glazner_____

COMMITTEE LEADER EMAIL: __gglazner@nnva.gov_____

1. Has your committee reviewed all of the results, trends and summaries to the public survey as provided on <https://www.surveymonkey.com/results/SM-5V5KLRWM/> (password [REDACTED])?
☒ X YES
☐ NO
2. Has your committee reviewed the public survey results specific to your community as provided in email from Leigh Chapman, 8/18/16?
☒ X YES
☐ NO
3. Has your committee reviewed all of the public comments as provided in email from Leigh Chapman, 8/18/16?
☒ X YES
☐ NO

Please take some time to outline any actions with regard to the plan that your committee believes are advisable based on your review of public input. Use additional pages, if necessary, to provide a thorough response to each question.

1. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 2 of the plan, which describes the Planning Process? We will include summary info from the public survey in an appendix and include discussion of our procedures for distributing it in Section 2.

None

2. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

Based on the comments perhaps an explanation of how we determined which hazards to include would help eliminate some questions. Probably not since there were so many people that included hurricanes and other hazards that are already in the plan.

3. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 5 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of Hampton Roads' vulnerability to the hazards described previously? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

None

4. Are there changes to the Goals and Objectives in Section 7 that you feel are needed?

None

5. Does your community Mitigation Action Plan (Section 7) adequately address all the hazards impacting your community and are there any actions you wish to add or remove given the feedback from the public you've reviewed? Note especially responses to survey question question 17 regarding the types of measures the public thinks are important for your community.

Since we have determined how to address climate change there are not additional issues.

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Second Committee Assessment of Public Input

August 18, 2016

PLEASE RETURN TO LEIGH CHAPMAN (leigh.morgan2@verizon.net) BY August 31, 2016

In an effort to ensure that Committee members of the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update have been adequately informed of the comments received from the public during the planning process, I am providing a copy of those items received to date. THIS IS IMPORTANT.

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Distribute these materials to your community's participating members;
2. Meet together as a group to review the materials; and
3. Fill out the assessment below as a community to help us determine if edits/changes are necessary to the plan as a result of your thorough evaluation of public feedback received thus far.

COMMUNITY: City of Poquoson

COMMITTEE LEADER: Mike Bryant Deputy Fire Chief/EM Coordinator

COMMITTEE LEADER EMAIL: Michael.Bryant@poquoson-va.gov

1. Has your committee reviewed all of the results, trends and summaries to the public survey as provided on <https://www.surveymonkey.com/results/SM-5V5KLRWM/> (password [REDACTED])?
☐ **YES**
☐ NO
2. Has your committee reviewed the public survey results specific to your community as provided in email from Leigh Chapman, 8/18/16?
☐ **YES**
☐ NO
3. Has your committee reviewed all of the public comments as provided in email from Leigh Chapman, 8/18/16?
☐ **YES**
☐ NO

Please take some time to outline any actions with regard to the plan that your committee believes are advisable based on your review of public input. Use additional pages, if necessary, to provide a thorough response to each question.

1. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 2 of the plan, which describes the Planning Process? We will include summary info from the public survey in an appendix and include discussion of our procedures for distributing it in Section 2.

There were no changes recommended to section #2.

2. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

There were no changes recommended to section #4.

3. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 5 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of Hampton Roads' vulnerability to the hazards described previously? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

There were no changes recommended to section #5.

4. Are there changes to the Goals and Objectives in Section 7 that you feel are needed?

There are no additional goals and objectives recommended at this time.

5. Does your community Mitigation Action Plan (Section 7) adequately address all the hazards impacting your community and are there any actions you wish to add or remove given the feedback from the public you've reviewed? Note especially responses to survey question 17 regarding the types of measures the public thinks are important for your community.

There are no changes at this time for section #7 and in regards to question #17, we are/have addressed these concerns and will continue to do so.

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Second Committee Assessment of Public Input

August 18, 2016

PLEASE RETURN TO LEIGH CHAPMAN (leigh.morgan2@verizon.net) BY August 31, 2016

In an effort to ensure that Committee members of the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update have been adequately informed of the comments received from the public during the planning process, I am providing a copy of those items received to date. THIS IS IMPORTANT.

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Distribute these materials to your community's participating members;
2. Meet together as a group to review the materials; and
3. Fill out the assessment below as a community to help us determine if edits/changes are necessary to the plan as a result of your thorough evaluation of public feedback received thus far.

COMMUNITY: City of Williamsburg

COMMITTEE LEADER: Pat Dent, Fire Chief / EM Coordinator

COMMITTEE LEADER EMAIL: Has your committee reviewed all of the results, trends and summaries to the public survey as provided on <https://www.surveymonkey.com/results/SM-5V5KLRWM/> (password [REDACTED])?

☒ YES

☐ NO

1. Has your committee reviewed the public survey results specific to your community as provided in email from Leigh Chapman, 8/18/16?

☒ YES

☐ NO

2. Has your committee reviewed all of the public comments as provided in email from Leigh Chapman, 8/18/16?

☒ YES

☐ NO

Please take some time to outline any actions with regard to the plan that your committee believes are advisable based on your review of public input. Use additional pages, if necessary, to provide a thorough response to each question.

1. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 2 of the plan, which describes the Planning Process? We will include summary info from the public survey in an appendix and include discussion of our procedures for distributing it in Section 2. **No recommended changes.**

2. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed. **No recommended changes.**

3. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 5 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of Hampton Roads' vulnerability to the hazards described previously? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed. **No recommended changes.**

4. Are there changes to the Goals and Objectives in Section 7 that you feel are needed? **No changes required.**

5. Does your community Mitigation Action Plan (Section 7) adequately address all the hazards impacting your community and are there any actions you wish to add or remove given the feedback from the public you've reviewed? Note especially responses to survey question question 17 regarding the types of measures the public thinks are important for your community. **Section 7 adequately addresses all hazards impacting the community; no additional changes are necessary.**

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Second Committee Assessment of Public Input

August 18, 2016

PLEASE RETURN TO LEIGH CHAPMAN (leigh.morgan2@verizon.net) BY August 31, 2016

In an effort to ensure that Committee members of the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update have been adequately informed of the comments received from the public during the planning process, I am providing a copy of those items received to date. THIS IS IMPORTANT.

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Distribute these materials to your community's participating members;
2. Meet together as a group to review the materials; and
3. Fill out the assessment below as a community to help us determine if edits/changes are necessary to the plan as a result of your thorough evaluation of public feedback received thus far.

COMMUNITY: _____ Smithfield _____

COMMITTEE LEADER: _____ Josiah Jendrey _____

COMMITTEE LEADER EMAIL: jjendrey@smithfieldva.gov

1. Has your committee reviewed all of the results, trends and summaries to the public survey as provided on <https://www.surveymonkey.com/results/SM-5V5KLRWM/> (password

_____)?

☒ YES

☐ NO

2. Has your committee reviewed the public survey results specific to your community as provided in email from Leigh Chapman, 8/18/16?

☒ YES

☐ NO

3. Has your committee reviewed all of the public comments as provided in email from Leigh Chapman, 8/18/16?

☒ YES

☐ NO

Please take some time to outline any actions with regard to the plan that your committee believes are advisable based on your review of public input. Use additional pages, if necessary, to provide a thorough response to each question.

1. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 2 of the plan, which describes the Planning Process? We will include summary info from the public survey in an appendix and include discussion of our procedures for distributing it in Section 2.

No changes are recommended.

2. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

The committee is happy with the existing plan as it stands.

3. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 5 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of Hampton Roads' vulnerability to the hazards described previously? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

The hazards listed seem to adequately address issues faced by the community as a whole.

4. Are there changes to the Goals and Objectives in Section 7 that you feel are needed?

The committee is happy with section 7.

5. Does your community Mitigation Action Plan (Section 7) adequately address all the hazards impacting your community and are there any actions you wish to add or remove given the feedback from the public you've reviewed? Note especially responses to survey question question 17 regarding the types of measures the public thinks are important for your community.

The committee feels the plan adequately address relevant hazards to the communities and nothing in the public comments requires alterations to the existing plan.

Leigh Chapman

From: Dishner, Jim <dishnerj@yorkcounty.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2016 4:40 PM
To: 'leigh.morgan2@verizon.net'
Subject: Study

Leigh, our group does not have anything to add to the study.
Thanks, Jim

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Second Committee Assessment of Public Input

August 18, 2016

PLEASE RETURN TO LEIGH CHAPMAN (leigh.morgan2@verizon.net) BY August 31, 2016

In an effort to ensure that Committee members of the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update have been adequately informed of the comments received from the public during the planning process, I am providing a copy of those items received to date. THIS IS IMPORTANT.

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Distribute these materials to your community's participating members;
2. Meet together as a group to review the materials; and
3. Fill out the assessment below as a community to help us determine if edits/changes are necessary to the plan as a result of your thorough evaluation of public feedback received thus far.

COMMUNITY: _____ Norfolk _____

COMMITTEE LEADER: _____ Robert Tajan _____

COMMITTEE LEADER EMAIL: _____ Robert.Tajan@norfolk.gov _____

1. Has your committee reviewed all of the results, trends and summaries to the public survey as provided on <https://www.surveymonkey.com/results/SM-5V5KLRWM/> (password

☒ YES

☐ NO

2. Has your committee reviewed the public survey results specific to your community as provided in email from Leigh Chapman, 8/18/16?

☒ YES

☐ NO

3. Has your committee reviewed all of the public comments as provided in email from Leigh Chapman, 8/18/16?

☒ YES

☐ NO

Please take some time to outline any actions with regard to the plan that your committee believes are advisable based on your review of public input. Use additional pages, if necessary, to provide a thorough response to each question.

1. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 2 of the plan, which describes the Planning Process? We will include summary info from the public survey in an appendix and include discussion of our procedures for distributing it in Section 2.

As long as the additional public outreach is included along with the additional meetings that is ok. It should probably be noted in the plan that after initial public concern about the public process, additional mediums for comments and additional public meetings were scheduled.

2. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

The section should probably clearly call out other disasters. The beginning only makes reference to natural disaster but then moves to other man influenced ones without them being called out at the beginning. Should probably include terrorist event.

3. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 5 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of Hampton Roads' vulnerability to the hazards described previously? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

No

4. Are there changes to the Goals and Objectives in Section 7 that you feel are needed?

Probably should expand on Mitigation actions that just don't deal with natural hazards. Maybe expand on action 8

5. Does your community Mitigation Action Plan (Section 7) adequately address all the hazards impacting your community and are there any actions you wish to add or remove given the feedback from the public you've reviewed? Note especially responses to survey question question 17 regarding the types of measures the public thinks are important for your community. I believe it does.

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Second Committee Assessment of Public Input

August 18, 2016

PLEASE RETURN TO LEIGH CHAPMAN (leigh.morgan2@verizon.net) BY August 31, 2016

In an effort to ensure that Committee members of the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update have been adequately informed of the comments received from the public during the planning process, I am providing a copy of those items received to date. THIS IS IMPORTANT.

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Distribute these materials to your community's participating members;
2. Meet together as a group to review the materials; and
3. Fill out the assessment below as a community to help us determine if edits/changes are necessary to the plan as a result of your thorough evaluation of public feedback received thus far.

COMMUNITY: _____ City of Portsmouth _____

COMMITTEE LEADER: __Meg Pittenger_____

COMMITTEE LEADER EMAIL: __megp@portsmouthva.gov_____

1. Has your committee reviewed all of the results, trends and summaries to the public survey as provided on <https://www.surveymonkey.com/results/SM-5V5KLRWM/> (password [REDACTED])?
☒ YES
☐ NO
2. Has your committee reviewed the public survey results specific to your community as provided in email from Leigh Chapman, 8/18/16?
☒ YES
☐ NO
3. Has your committee reviewed all of the public comments as provided in email from Leigh Chapman, 8/18/16?
☒ YES
☐ NO

Please take some time to outline any actions with regard to the plan that your committee believes are advisable based on your review of public input. Use additional pages, if necessary, to provide a thorough response to each question.

1. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 2 of the plan, which describes the Planning Process? We will include summary info from the public survey in an appendix and include discussion of our procedures for distributing it in Section 2.

Since this survey appears to have generated fairly good response numbers, perhaps future plan updates should specified adding this type of public input request midway through the process. Perhaps after the hazards to be included are determined but before the rest of the details are determined.

2. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

No

3. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 5 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of Hampton Roads' vulnerability to the hazards described previously? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

No

4. Are there changes to the Goals and Objectives in Section 7 that you feel are needed?

No

5. Does your community Mitigation Action Plan (Section 7) adequately address all the hazards impacting your community and are there any actions you wish to add or remove given the feedback from the public you've reviewed? Note especially responses to survey question 17 regarding the types of measures the public thinks are important for your community.

Yes. No.

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Second Committee Assessment of Public Input

August 18, 2016

PLEASE RETURN TO LEIGH CHAPMAN (leigh.morgan2@verizon.net) BY August 31, 2016

In an effort to ensure that Committee members of the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update have been adequately informed of the comments received from the public during the planning process, I am providing a copy of those items received to date. THIS IS IMPORTANT.

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Distribute these materials to your community's participating members;
2. Meet together as a group to review the materials; and
3. Fill out the assessment below as a community to help us determine if edits/changes are necessary to the plan as a result of your thorough evaluation of public feedback received thus far.

COMMUNITY: Virginia Beach

COMMITTEE LEADER: Erin Sutton

COMMITTEE LEADER EMAIL: eesutton@vbgov.com

1. Has your committee reviewed all of the results, trends and summaries to the public survey as provided on <https://www.surveymonkey.com/results/SM-5V5KLRWM/> (password [REDACTED])?
☐ YES
☐ NO
2. Has your committee reviewed the public survey results specific to your community as provided in email from Leigh Chapman, 8/18/16?
☐ YES
☐ NO
3. Has your committee reviewed all of the public comments as provided in email from Leigh Chapman, 8/18/16?
☐ YES
☐ NO

Please take some time to outline any actions with regard to the plan that your committee believes are advisable based on your review of public input. Use additional pages, if necessary, to provide a thorough response to each question.

1. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 2 of the plan, which describes the Planning Process? We will include summary info from the public survey in an appendix and include discussion of our procedures for distributing it in Section 2.

We do not recommend any changes to section 2; most of the comments were along the lines that we have discussed in the past.

2. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

Our committee was very engaged in the hazard analysis meeting and upon reviewing the comments specific to VB, flooding remains a significant issues whether it is related to tropical storms, hurricanes, etc. There were some items identified for hazardous materials that we address in other plans and do not feel we need to shift from natural hazards only.

3. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 5 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of Hampton Roads' vulnerability to the hazards described previously? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

No, see above.

4. Are there changes to the Goals and Objectives in Section 7 that you feel are needed?

No we still agree with the G&O section.

5. Does your community Mitigation Action Plan (Section 7) adequately address all the hazards impacting your community and are there any actions you wish to add or remove given the feedback from the public you've reviewed? Note especially responses to survey question 17 regarding the types of measures the public thinks are important for your community.

We feel that the strategies address the majority of the public comments that were identified in the survey and the areas that were not specifically called out I feel that our strategies are broad enough to expand on in the future or we have additional projects already in the pipeline.

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Second Committee Assessment of Public Input

August 18, 2016

PLEASE RETURN TO LEIGH CHAPMAN (leigh.morgan2@verizon.net) BY August 31, 2016

In an effort to ensure that Committee members of the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update have been adequately informed of the comments received from the public during the planning process, I am providing a copy of those items received to date. THIS IS IMPORTANT.

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Distribute these materials to your community's participating members;
2. Meet together as a group to review the materials; and
3. Fill out the assessment below as a community to help us determine if edits/changes are necessary to the plan as a result of your thorough evaluation of public feedback received thus far.

COMMUNITY: __City of Chesapeake__

COMMITTEE LEADER: Robb Braidwood w/Martha Burns assisting and the NEMAC provided the opportunity to review.

COMMITTEE LEADER EMAIL: rbraidwood@cityofchesapeake.net and mfburns@cityofchesapeake.net

1. Has your committee reviewed all of the results, trends and summaries to the public survey as provided on <https://www.surveymonkey.com/results/SM-5V5KLRWM/> (password [REDACTED])?

☒ YES

☐ NO

2. Has your committee reviewed the public survey results specific to your community as provided in email from Leigh Chapman, 8/18/16?

☒ YES: Chesapeake forwarded the email to the NEMAC for review

☐ NO

3. Has your committee reviewed all of the public comments as provided in email from Leigh Chapman, 8/18/16?

xYES: The NEMAC was given the opportunity to review

☐ **NO**

Please take some time to outline any actions with regard to the plan that your committee believes are advisable based on your review of public input. Use additional pages, if necessary, to provide a thorough response to each question.

1. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 2 of the plan, which describes the Planning Process? We will include summary info from the public survey in an appendix and include discussion of our procedures for distributing it in Section 2. **Chesapeake does not recommend any changes**
2. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed. **Chesapeake does not recommend any changes**
3. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 5 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of Hampton Roads' vulnerability to the hazards described previously? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed. **Chesapeake does not recommend any changes**
4. Are there changes to the Goals and Objectives in Section 7 that you feel are needed? **Chesapeake does not recommend any changes**
5. Does your community Mitigation Action Plan (Section 7) adequately address all the hazards impacting your community and are there any actions you wish to add or remove given the feedback from the public you've reviewed? Note especially responses to survey question question 17 regarding the types of measures the public thinks are important for your community. **Chesapeake does not recommend any changes.**

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Second Committee Assessment of Public Input

August 18, 2016

PLEASE RETURN TO LEIGH CHAPMAN (leigh.morgan2@verizon.net) BY August 31, 2016

In an effort to ensure that Committee members of the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update have been adequately informed of the comments received from the public during the planning process, I am providing a copy of those items received to date. THIS IS IMPORTANT.

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Distribute these materials to your community's participating members;
2. Meet together as a group to review the materials; and
3. Fill out the assessment below as a community to help us determine if edits/changes are necessary to the plan as a result of your thorough evaluation of public feedback received thus far.

COMMUNITY: Isle of Wight County

COMMITTEE LEADER: Andrea Clontz

COMMITTEE LEADER EMAIL: aclontz@islenfwrightus.net

1. Has your committee reviewed all of the results, trends and summaries to the public survey as provided on <https://www.surveymonkey.com/results/SM-5V5KLRWM/> (password [REDACTED])
☒ YES
☐ NO
2. Has your committee reviewed the public survey results specific to your community as provided in email from Leigh Chapman, 8/18/16?
☒ YES
☐ NO
3. Has your committee reviewed all of the public comments as provided in email from Leigh Chapman, 8/18/16?
☒ YES
☐ NO

Please take some time to outline any actions with regard to the plan that your committee believes are advisable based on your review of public input. Use additional pages, if necessary, to provide a thorough response to each question.

1. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 2 of the plan, which describes the Planning Process? We will include summary info from the public survey in an appendix and include discussion of our procedures for distributing it in Section 2.

Nothing further needed. Section 2 is very thorough.

2. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

No changes needed here. The additional public input - only 16 responses for Isle of Wight - did not introduce any new hazards not already covered in the plan.

3. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 5 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of Hampton Roads' vulnerability to the hazards described previously? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

The existing descriptions and analysis is very thorough. No changes are needed.

4. Are there changes to the Goals and Objectives in Section 7 that you feel are needed?

With only 16 comments from the general public, there is nothing new for Isle of Wight County that has not already been covered in great detail in the draft plan.

5. Does your community Mitigation Action Plan (Section 7) adequately address all the hazards impacting your community and are there any actions you wish to add or remove given the feedback from the public you've reviewed? Note especially responses to survey question question 17 regarding the types of measures the public thinks are important for your community.

As reflected in the prior comments, no changes are needed in the draft plan. Answers were all over the place regarding the types of measures the public thinks are important for the Isle of Wight County community. It is better to look at the summary trends because a wider reach of the public is represented.

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Second Committee Assessment of Public Input

August 18, 2016

PLEASE RETURN TO LEIGH CHAPMAN (leigh.morgan2@verizon.net) BY August 31, 2016

In an effort to ensure that Committee members of the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update have been adequately informed of the comments received from the public during the planning process, I am providing a copy of those items received to date. THIS IS IMPORTANT.

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Distribute these materials to your community's participating members;
2. Meet together as a group to review the materials; and
3. Fill out the assessment below as a community to help us determine if edits/changes are necessary to the plan as a result of your thorough evaluation of public feedback received thus far.

COMMUNITY: _____ Smithfield _____

COMMITTEE LEADER: _____ Josiah Jendrey _____

COMMITTEE LEADER EMAIL: jjendrey@smithfieldva.gov

1. Has your committee reviewed all of the results, trends and summaries to the public survey as provided on <https://www.surveymonkey.com/results/SM-5V5KLRWM/> (password

_____)?

☒ YES

☐ NO

2. Has your committee reviewed the public survey results specific to your community as provided in email from Leigh Chapman, 8/18/16?

☒ YES

☐ NO

3. Has your committee reviewed all of the public comments as provided in email from Leigh Chapman, 8/18/16?

☒ YES

☐ NO

Please take some time to outline any actions with regard to the plan that your committee believes are advisable based on your review of public input. Use additional pages, if necessary, to provide a thorough response to each question.

1. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 2 of the plan, which describes the Planning Process? We will include summary info from the public survey in an appendix and include discussion of our procedures for distributing it in Section 2.

No changes are recommended.

2. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

The committee is happy with the existing plan as it stands.

3. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 5 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of Hampton Roads' vulnerability to the hazards described previously? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed.

The hazards listed seem to adequately address issues faced by the community as a whole.

4. Are there changes to the Goals and Objectives in Section 7 that you feel are needed?

The committee is happy with section 7.

5. Does your community Mitigation Action Plan (Section 7) adequately address all the hazards impacting your community and are there any actions you wish to add or remove given the feedback from the public you've reviewed? Note especially responses to survey question question 17 regarding the types of measures the public thinks are important for your community.

The committee feels the plan adequately address relevant hazards to the communities and nothing in the public comments requires alterations to the existing plan.

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Second Committee Assessment of Public Input

August 18, 2016

PLEASE RETURN TO LEIGH CHAPMAN (leigh.morgan2@verizon.net) BY August 31, 2016

In an effort to ensure that Committee members of the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan update have been adequately informed of the comments received from the public during the planning process, I am providing a copy of those items received to date. THIS IS IMPORTANT.

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Distribute these materials to your community's participating members;
2. Meet together as a group to review the materials; and
3. Fill out the assessment below as a community to help us determine if edits/changes are necessary to the plan as a result of your thorough evaluation of public feedback received thus far.

COMMUNITY: Southampton County

COMMITTEE LEADER: Beth Lewis

COMMITTEE LEADER EMAIL: blewis@franklinva.com

1. Has your committee reviewed all of the results, trends and summaries to the public survey as provided on <https://www.surveymonkey.com/results/SM-5V5KLRWM/> (password [REDACTED])?

YES, the involved individual members received your comments and I have received no input from them

2. Has your committee reviewed the public survey results specific to your community as provided in email from Leigh Chapman, 8/18/16?

YES, see above

3. Has your committee reviewed all of the public comments as provided in email from Leigh Chapman, 8/18/16?

YES, see above

Please take some time to outline any actions with regard to the plan that your committee believes are advisable based on your review of public input. Use additional pages, if necessary, to provide a thorough response to each question.

1. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 2 of the plan, which describes the Planning Process? We will include summary info from the public survey in an appendix and include discussion of our procedures for distributing it in Section 2. No
2. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 4 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of the hazards pertinent to Hampton Roads? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed. No
3. Does your committee recommend changes to Section 5 of the plan, which provides a description and analysis of Hampton Roads' vulnerability to the hazards described previously? Include commentary on any hazards your committee feels need to be added or removed. No
4. Are there changes to the Goals and Objectives in Section 7 that you feel are needed? No
5. Does your community Mitigation Action Plan (Section 7) adequately address all the hazards impacting your community and are there any actions you wish to add or remove given the feedback from the public you've reviewed? Note especially responses to survey question 17 regarding the types of measures the public thinks are important for your community. No

Leigh Chapman

From: W. N. Kitchen, IV <kitchenswelding1@cs.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 4, 2016 1:12 PM
To: leigh.morgan2@verizon.net
Subject: Re: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - FINAL Public Input Assessment

Leigh,
The Town of Capron does not have any recommendations for changes.
Thanks,
Nick Kitchen
Mayor
757.653.8211

-----Original Message-----

From: Leigh Chapman <leigh.morgan2@verizon.net>
To: 'Brian Spicer' <bspicer@suffolkva.us>; aclontz <aclontz@isleofwightus.net>; mstallings <mstallings@windsor-va.gov>; 'Kopczynski, Stephen' <kopczyns@yorkcounty.gov>; gpointer <gpointer@hampton.gov>; sruch <sruch@hampton.gov>; 'Walker, Hui-Shan' <hwalker@hampton.gov>; 'Pat Dent' <WDent@williamsburgva.gov>; 'Vince Holt' <vholt@franklinva.com>; 'Russell, B. K.' <russellb@portsmouthva.gov>; 'Redick, Jim' <James.Redick@norfolk.gov>; 'Tajan, Robert' <Robert.Tajan@norfolk.gov>; 'Michael R. Anaya' <manaya@cityofchesapeake.net>; rbraidwood <rbraidwood@cityofchesapeake.net>; 'Martha F. Burns' <mfburns@cityofchesapeake.net>; 'Erin Sutton' <eesutton@vbgov.com>; 'Glazner, George T.' <gglazner@nnva.gov>; lbott <lbott@nngov.com>; 'Kathleen Hale' <Kathleen.Hale@jamescitycountyva.gov>; 'Michael Bryant' <Michael.Bryant@poquoson-va.gov>; wsaunders <wsaunders@smithfieldva.gov>; jjendrey <jjendrey@smithfieldva.gov>; 'Beth Lewis' <blewis@franklinva.com>; office <office@townofivor.com>; boykins <boykins@townofboykinsva.com>; kitchenswelding1 <kitchenswelding1@cs.com>; courtland.townofc <courtland.townofc@verizon.net>; 'Banks, Charley (DCR)' (DCR) <Charley.Banks@dcv.virginia.gov>; 'William Sammler - NOAA Federal' <william.sammler@noaa.gov>; 'Moon, Shep (DEQ)' (DEQ) <Shep.Moon@deq.virginia.gov>; jgillespie <jgillespie@fmauthority.com>; 'Hamor, Michelle L NAO' <Michelle.L.Hamor@usace.army.mil>; 'Mary-Carson Stiff' <mc.stiff@wetlandswatch.org>; cynthia.darden <cynthia.darden@redcross.org>; Jessie.Lacks <Jessie.Lacks@cox.com>; 'Christine M. Tombleson' <christine@vims.edu>; 'Hicks, Gayle' <ghicks@hampton.gov>; ksomerset <ksomerset@poquoson-va.gov>; 'Darryl Cook' <Darryl.Cook@jamescitycountyva.gov>; henkel <henkel@yorkcounty.gov>; 'Pyle, Steven' <Steven.Pyle@norfolk.gov>; 'Pittenger, Meg' <PittengerM@portsmouthva.gov>; 'Whitney K. McNamara' <WMcNamar@vbgov.com>; khummel <khummel@isleofwightus.net>; sspatz <sspatz@cityofchesapeake.net>; 'Ben McFarlane' <bmcfarlane@hrpdcva.gov>; 'Kim Tempesco' <KTempesc@vbgov.com>; kstone <kstone@cwf.org>; patrick.lewis <patrick.lewis@chesapeakearegional.com>; katowner <katowner@wm.edu>; 'Parker, Amy' <aparker@yorkcounty.gov>
Cc: 'John Sadler' <jsadler@hrpdcva.gov>
Sent: Tue, Oct 4, 2016 12:59 pm
Subject: RE: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - FINAL Public Input Assessment

Good afternoon,

I know you're all uber-busy. 5 minutes, please, so I can finalize this plan!!

Final review of public input. Here are the comments from the September 27 meeting in Suffolk. I will respond to each in the comment/response doc. Please let me know in an email by Friday (Oct 7) if your community's committee wants any changes to the doc as a result of the following:

Reviewer: Linda Killebrew via email

Date: September 21, 2016

1. Keep the drains cleared in the ravines behind people's houses.

Reviewer: Patricia VonOhlen, Newport News Resident

Date: September 21, 2016

1. I would like to see more flood prevention strategies used: living shorelines, more green spaces, and other strategies to help shoreline residency, green infrastructure that slows storm water from delivery to our waterways so that rain can soak in and natural filtering occurs, find/fix bacteria leaks.

Reviewer: unknown

Date: September 27, 2016

1. Sec 5, p. 33. Figure 5.17 the colors are not useful or visible for colorblind people.

Reviewer: unknown

Date: September 27, 2016

1. Include information about how to get hazard mitigation assistance for homes and companies.

Reviewer: Robert Lane, Williamsburg Resident

Date: September 29, 2016

1. I live in Williamsburg and received an email from the City asking me to provide feedback to you on the working draft. I spent some time with it, (can't say I read it all), and was quite impressed with the amount and organization of the information. I did not review the Appendices. I don't have any specific comments and I didn't see anything that appeared to me to be in error. I could suggest that the overall conclusions be collected and summarized either at the beginning or the end, (even though this would mean most would only read that section). Otherwise, it is a bit voluminous to be an effective working document. Although on second thought, perhaps this is more an umbrella document for all the various municipality implementation plans addressing the hazards as they pertain to their locality. Overall, it seems thorough and well thought out.

Thank you.

Leigh

Leigh M. Chapman, CFM
1 Peek Street
Hampton, Virginia 23669
Office (757) 727-0616
Mobile (757) 593-0250
leigh.morgan2@verizon.net

Leigh Chapman

From: Martha F. Burns <mfburns@cityofchesapeake.net>
Sent: Tuesday, October 4, 2016 1:21 PM
To: Leigh Chapman; 'Brian Spicer'; aclontz@isleofwightus.net; mstallings@windsor-va.gov; 'Kopczynski, Stephen'; gpointer@hampton.gov; sruch@hampton.gov; 'Walker, Hui-Shan'; 'Pat Dent'; 'Vince Holt'; 'Russell, B. K.'; 'Redick, Jim'; 'Tajan, Robert'; Michael R. Anaya; Robb Braidwood; 'Erin Sutton'; 'Glazner, George T.'; lbott@nngov.com; 'Kathleen Hale'; 'Michael Bryant'; wsaunders@smithfieldva.gov; jjendrey@smithfieldva.gov; 'Beth Lewis'; office@townofivor.com; boykins@townofboykinsva.com; kitchenswelding1@cs.com; courtland.townofc@verizon.net; 'Banks, Charley (DCR)'; 'William Sammler - NOAA Federal'; 'Moon, Shep (DEQ)'; jgillespie@fmauthority.com; 'Hamor, Michelle L NAO'; 'Mary-Carson Stiff'; cynthia.darden@redcross.org; Jessie.Lacks@cox.com; 'Christine M. Tombleson'; 'Hicks, Gayle'; ksomerset@poquoson-va.gov; 'Darryl Cook'; henkel@yorkcounty.gov; 'Pyle, Steven'; 'Pittenger, Meg'; 'Whitney K. McNamara'; khummel@isleofwightus.net; Stuart Spatz; 'Ben McFarlane'; 'Kim Tempesco'; kstone@cwf.org; Patrick Lewis; katowner@wm.edu; 'Parker, Amy'
Cc: 'John Sadler'
Subject: RE: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - FINAL Public Input Assessment

No changes for Chesapeake.

Martha

Martha Lewark-Burns
Senior Planner
Chesapeake Fire Dept-Office of Emergency Management
304 Albemarle Drive
Chesapeake, VA 23322
757-382-6462 (O)
757-619-9399 (C)
757-382-8228 (F)

From: Leigh Chapman [mailto:leigh.morgan2@verizon.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2016 12:59 PM
To: 'Brian Spicer' <bspicer@suffolkva.us>; aclontz@isleofwightus.net; mstallings@windsor-va.gov; 'Kopczynski, Stephen' <kopczyns@yorkcounty.gov>; gpointer@hampton.gov; sruch@hampton.gov; 'Walker, Hui-Shan' <hwalker@hampton.gov>; 'Pat Dent' <WDent@williamsburgva.gov>; 'Vince Holt' <vholt@franklinva.com>; 'Russell, B. K.' <russellb@portsmouthva.gov>; 'Redick, Jim' <James.Redick@norfolk.gov>; 'Tajan, Robert' <Robert.Tajan@norfolk.gov>; Michael R. Anaya <manaya@cityofchesapeake.net>; Robb Braidwood <rbraidwood@cityofchesapeake.net>; Martha F. Burns <mfburns@cityofchesapeake.net>; 'Erin Sutton' <eesutton@vbgov.com>; 'Glazner, George T.' <ggglazner@nnva.gov>; lbott@nngov.com; 'Kathleen Hale' <Kathleen.Hale@jamescitycountyva.gov>; 'Michael Bryant' <Michael.Bryant@poquoson-va.gov>; wsaunders@smithfieldva.gov; jjendrey@smithfieldva.gov; 'Beth Lewis' <blewis@franklinva.com>; office@townofivor.com; boykins@townofboykinsva.com; kitchenswelding1@cs.com; courtland.townofc@verizon.net; 'Banks, Charley (DCR)' <Charley.Banks@dcr.virginia.gov>; 'William Sammler - NOAA Federal' <william.sammler@noaa.gov>; 'Moon, Shep (DEQ)' <Shep.Moon@deq.virginia.gov>; jgillespie@fmauthority.com; 'Hamor, Michelle L NAO' <Michelle.L.Hamor@usace.army.mil>; 'Mary-Carson Stiff' <mc.stiff@wetlandswatch.org>; cynthia.darden@redcross.org; Jessie.Lacks@cox.com; 'Christine M. Tombleson' <christine@vims.edu>; 'Hicks, Gayle' <ghicks@hampton.gov>; ksomerset@poquoson-va.gov; 'Darryl Cook' <Darryl.Cook@jamescitycountyva.gov>; henkel@yorkcounty.gov; 'Pyle, Steven' <Steven.Pyle@norfolk.gov>; 'Pittenger, Meg' <PittengerM@portsmouthva.gov>;

Leigh Chapman

From: Darden, Cynthia <Cynthia.Darden@redcross.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 4, 2016 1:24 PM
To: Leigh Chapman
Subject: RE: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - FINAL Public Input Assessment

No changes for Franklin/Southampton.

From: Leigh Chapman [mailto:leigh.morgan2@verizon.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2016 12:59 PM
To: 'Brian Spicer'; aclontz@isleofwightus.net; mstallings@windsor-va.gov; 'Kopczynski, Stephen'; gpointer@hampton.gov; sruch@hampton.gov; 'Walker, Hui-Shan'; 'Pat Dent'; 'Vince Holt'; 'Russell, B. K.'; 'Redick, Jim'; 'Tajan, Robert'; 'Michael R. Anaya'; rbraidwood@cityofchesapeake.net; 'Martha F. Burns'; 'Erin Sutton'; 'Glazner, George T.'; lbott@nngov.com; 'Kathleen Hale'; 'Michael Bryant'; wsaunders@smithfieldva.gov; jjendrey@smithfieldva.gov; 'Beth Lewis'; office@townofivor.com; boykins@townofboykinsva.com; kitchenswelding1@cs.com; courtland.townofc@verizon.net; 'Banks, Charley (DCR)'; 'William Sammler - NOAA Federal'; 'Moon, Shep (DEQ)'; jgillespie@fmauthority.com; 'Hamor, Michelle L NAO'; 'Mary-Carson Stiff'; Darden, Cynthia; Jessie.Lacks@cox.com; 'Christine M. Tombleson'; 'Hicks, Gayle'; ksomerset@poquoson-va.gov; 'Darryl Cook'; henkel@yorkcounty.gov; 'Pyle, Steven'; 'Pittenger, Meg'; 'Whitney K. McNamara'; khummel@isleofwightus.net; sspatz@cityofchesapeake.net; 'Ben McFarlane'; 'Kim Tempesco'; kstone@cwf.org; patrick.lewis@chesapeakearegional.com; katowner@wm.edu; 'Parker, Amy'
Cc: 'John Sadler'
Subject: RE: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - FINAL Public Input Assessment
Importance: High

Good afternoon,

I know you're all uber-busy. 5 minutes, please, so I can finalize this plan!!

Final review of public input. Here are the comments from the September 27 meeting in Suffolk. I will respond to each in the comment/response doc. **Please let me know in an email by Friday (Oct 7) if your community's committee wants any changes to the doc as a result of the following:**

Reviewer: Linda Killebrew via email
Date: September 21, 2016

1. Keep the drains cleared in the ravines behind people's houses.

Reviewer: Patricia VonOhlen, Newport News Resident
Date: September 21, 2016

1. I would like to see more flood prevention strategies used: living shorelines, more green spaces, and other strategies to help shoreline residency, green infrastructure that slows storm water from delivery to our waterways so that rain can soak in and natural filtering occurs, find/fix bacteria leaks.

Reviewer: unknown
Date: September 27, 2016

1. Sec 5, p. 33. Figure 5.17 the colors are not useful or visible for colorblind people.

Reviewer: unknown
Date: September 27, 2016

Leigh Chapman

From: Vince Holt <vholt@franklinva.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 4, 2016 3:33 PM
To: Leigh Chapman
Subject: RE: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - FINAL Public Input Assessment

No changes for the City of Franklin

From: Leigh Chapman [mailto:leigh.morgan2@verizon.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2016 12:59 PM
To: 'Brian Spicer'; aclontz@isleofwightus.net; mstallings@windsor-va.gov; 'Kopczynski, Stephen'; gpointer@hampton.gov; sruch@hampton.gov; 'Walker, Hui-Shan'; 'Pat Dent'; Vince Holt; 'Russell, B. K.'; 'Redick, Jim'; 'Tajan, Robert'; 'Michael R. Anaya'; rbraidwood@cityofchesapeake.net; 'Martha F. Burns'; 'Erin Sutton'; 'Glazner, George T.'; lbott@nngov.com; 'Kathleen Hale'; 'Michael Bryant'; wsaunders@smithfieldva.gov; jjendrey@smithfieldva.gov; Beth Lewis; office@townofivor.com; boykins@townofboykinsva.com; kitchenswelding1@cs.com; courtland.townofc@verizon.net; 'Banks, Charley (DCR)'; 'William Sammler - NOAA Federal'; 'Moon, Shep (DEQ)'; jgillespie@fmauthority.com; 'Hamor, Michelle L NAO'; 'Mary-Carson Stiff'; cynthia.darden@redcross.org; Jessie.Lacks@cox.com; 'Christine M. Tombleson'; 'Hicks, Gayle'; ksomerset@poquoson-va.gov; 'Darryl Cook'; henkel@yorkcounty.gov; 'Pyle, Steven'; 'Pittenger, Meg'; 'Whitney K. McNamara'; khummel@isleofwightus.net; sspatz@cityofchesapeake.net; 'Ben McFarlane'; 'Kim Tempesco'; kstone@cwf.org; patrick.lewis@chesapeakearegional.com; katowner@wm.edu; 'Parker, Amy'
Cc: 'John Sadler'
Subject: RE: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - FINAL Public Input Assessment
Importance: High

Good afternoon,

I know you're all uber-busy. 5 minutes, please, so I can finalize this plan!!

Final review of public input. Here are the comments from the September 27 meeting in Suffolk. I will respond to each in the comment/response doc. **Please let me know in an email by Friday (Oct 7) if your community's committee wants any changes to the doc as a result of the following:**

Reviewer: Linda Killebrew via email
Date: September 21, 2016

1. Keep the drains cleared in the ravines behind people's houses.

Reviewer: Patricia VonOhlen, Newport News Resident
Date: September 21, 2016

1. I would like to see more flood prevention strategies used: living shorelines, more green spaces, and other strategies to help shoreline residency, green infrastructure that slows storm water from delivery to our waterways so that rain can soak in and natural filtering occurs, find/fix bacteria leaks.

Reviewer: unknown
Date: September 27, 2016

1. Sec 5, p. 33. Figure 5.17 the colors are not useful or visible for colorblind people.

Reviewer: unknown
Date: September 27, 2016

1. Include information about how to get hazard mitigation assistance for homes and companies.

Leigh Chapman

From: Hicks, Gayle <ghicks@hampton.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, October 4, 2016 1:06 PM
To: 'Leigh Chapman'
Subject: RE: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - FINAL Public Input Assessment

I am going to reserve comment pending response to you from Hampton OEM.

Gayle

From: Leigh Chapman [mailto:leigh.morgan2@verizon.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2016 12:59 PM
To: 'Brian Spicer'; aclontz@isleofwightus.net; mstallings@windsor-va.gov; 'Kopczynski, Stephen'; Pointer, Gwen; Ruch, Sara; Walker, Hui-Shan; 'Pat Dent'; 'Vince Holt'; 'Russell, B. K.'; 'Redick, Jim'; 'Tajan, Robert'; 'Michael R. Anaya'; rbraidwood@cityofchesapeake.net; 'Martha F. Burns'; 'Erin Sutton'; 'Glazner, George T.'; lbott@nngov.com; 'Kathleen Hale'; 'Michael Bryant'; wsaunders@smithfieldva.gov; jjendrey@smithfieldva.gov; 'Beth Lewis'; office@townofivor.com; boykins@townofboykinsva.com; kitchenswelding1@cs.com; courtland.townofc@verizon.net; 'Banks, Charley (DCR)'; 'William Sammler - NOAA Federal'; 'Moon, Shep (DEQ)'; jgillespie@fmauthority.com; 'Hamor, Michelle L NAO'; 'Mary-Carson Stiff'; cynthia.darden@redcross.org; Jessie.Lacks@cox.com; 'Christine M. Tombleson'; Hicks, Gayle; ksomerset@poquoson-va.gov; 'Darryl Cook'; henkel@yorkcounty.gov; 'Pyle, Steven'; 'Pittenger, Meg'; 'Whitney K. McNamara'; khummel@isleofwightus.net; sspatz@cityofchesapeake.net; 'Ben McFarlane'; 'Kim Tempesco'; kstone@cwf.org; patrick.lewis@chesapeakearegional.com; katowner@wm.edu; 'Parker, Amy'
Cc: 'John Sadler'
Subject: RE: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - FINAL Public Input Assessment
Importance: High

Good afternoon,

I know you're all uber-busy. 5 minutes, please, so I can finalize this plan!!

Final review of public input. Here are the comments from the September 27 meeting in Suffolk. I will respond to each in the comment/response doc. **Please let me know in an email by Friday (Oct 7) if your community's committee wants any changes to the doc as a result of the following:**

Reviewer: Linda Killebrew via email

Date: September 21, 2016

1. Keep the drains cleared in the ravines behind people's houses.

Reviewer: Patricia VonOhlen, Newport News Resident

Date: September 21, 2016

1. I would like to see more flood prevention strategies used: living shorelines, more green spaces, and other strategies to help shoreline residency, green infrastructure that slows storm water from delivery to our waterways so that rain can soak in and natural filtering occurs, find/fix bacteria leaks.

Reviewer: unknown

Date: September 27, 2016

1. Sec 5, p. 33. Figure 5.17 the colors are not useful or visible for colorblind people.

Reviewer: unknown

Leigh Chapman

From: Andrea Clontz <aclontz@isleofwightus.net>
Sent: Tuesday, October 4, 2016 1:10 PM
To: Leigh Chapman
Subject: RE: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - FINAL Public Input Assessment

From: Leigh Chapman [mailto:leigh.morgan2@verizon.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2016 12:59 PM
To:
Subject: RE: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - FINAL Public Input Assessment
Importance: High

Good afternoon,

I know you're all uber-busy. 5 minutes, please, so I can finalize this plan!!

Final review of public input. Here are the comments from the September 27 meeting in Suffolk. I will respond to each in the comment/response doc. **Please let me know in an email by Friday (Oct 7) if your community's committee wants any changes to the doc as a result of the following:**

Reviewer: Linda Killebrew via email
Date: September 21, 2016

1. Keep the drains cleared in the ravines behind people's houses.

Reviewer: Patricia VonOhlen, Newport News Resident
Date: September 21, 2016

1. I would like to see more flood prevention strategies used: living shorelines, more green spaces, and other strategies to help shoreline residency, green infrastructure that slows storm water from delivery to our waterways so that rain can soak in and natural filtering occurs, find/fix bacteria leaks.

Reviewer: unknown

Date: September 27, 2016

1. Sec 5, p. 33. Figure 5.17 the colors are not useful or visible for colorblind people.

Don't know if it is feasible to make color changes at this point, but can understand the issues for folks with issues.

Reviewer: unknown
Date: September 27, 2016

1. Include information about how to get hazard mitigation assistance for homes and companies.
Not sure that the hazard mitigation plan would be the place for specific contact information, but open to it if you think a reference section would be appropriate.

Reviewer: Robert Lane, Williamsburg Resident
Date: September 29, 2016

Leigh Chapman

From: Kathleen Hale <Kathleen.Hale@jamescitycountyva.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, October 4, 2016 3:32 PM
To: 'Leigh Chapman'
Subject: RE: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - FINAL Public Input Assessment

Then I'm good with what is already complete.

Kathleen C. Hale
Emergency Management Administrator



3127 Forge Road
Toano, VA 23168
P: 757-564-2141
F: 757-566-3879
C: 757-345-1859
Jamescitycountyva.gov

From: Leigh Chapman [mailto:leigh.morgan2@verizon.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2016 2:42 PM
To: Kathleen Hale <Kathleen.Hale@jamescitycountyva.gov>
Subject: Re: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - FINAL Public Input Assessment

No. I used to provide one but I really want folks to find their community actions. Found elected officials only reading Exec Summry and not their actions!! It's purposeful.

Leigh

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 4, 2016, at 1:45 PM, Kathleen Hale <Kathleen.Hale@jamescitycountyva.gov> wrote:

Is there some sort of executive summary – can't recall and am up to my ears in Matthew preparations at the moment.

Kathleen C. Hale
Emergency Management Administrator

<image001.jpg>

3127 Forge Road
Toano, VA 23168
P: 757-564-2141
F: 757-566-3879
C: 757-345-1859
Jamescitycountyva.gov

From: Leigh Chapman [mailto:leigh.morgan2@verizon.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2016 12:59 PM

Leigh Chapman

From: Glazner, George T. <gglazner@nnva.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, October 4, 2016 1:28 PM
To: Leigh Chapman
Subject: RE: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - FINAL Public Input Assessment

Thanks Leigh,

That would be my only suggestion.

George

From: Leigh Chapman [mailto:leigh.morgan2@verizon.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2016 1:00 PM
To: Glazner, George T. <gglazner@nnva.gov>
Subject: FW: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - FINAL Public Input Assessment
Importance: High

George,

With regard to Patricia VanOhlen's comment below, I am still working with VDEM on trying to figure out how to do this! I haven't forgotten.

Leigh

From: Leigh Chapman [<mailto:leigh.morgan2@verizon.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 4, 2016 12:59 PM
To: 'Brian Spicer' <bspicer@suffolkva.us>; 'aclontz@isleofwightus.net' <aclontz@isleofwightus.net>; 'mstallings@windsor-va.gov' <mstallings@windsor-va.gov>; 'Kopczynski, Stephen' <kopczyns@yorkcounty.gov>; 'gpointer@hampton.gov' <gpointer@hampton.gov>; 'sruch@hampton.gov' <sruch@hampton.gov>; 'Walker, Hui-Shan' <hwalker@hampton.gov>; 'Pat Dent' <WDent@williamsburgva.gov>; 'Vince Holt' <vholt@franklinva.com>; 'Russell, B. K.' <russellb@portsmouthva.gov>; 'Redick, Jim' <James.Redick@norfolk.gov>; 'Tajan, Robert' <Robert.Tajan@norfolk.gov>; 'Michael R. Anaya' <manaya@cityofchesapeake.net>; 'rbraidwood@cityofchesapeake.net' <rbraidwood@cityofchesapeake.net>; 'Martha F. Burns' <mfburns@cityofchesapeake.net>; 'Erin Sutton' <eesutton@vbgov.com>; 'Glazner, George T.' <gglazner@nnva.gov>; 'lbott@nngov.com' <lbott@nngov.com>; 'Kathleen Hale' <Kathleen.Hale@jamescitycountyva.gov>; 'Michael Bryant' <Michael.Bryant@poquoson-va.gov>; 'wsaunders@smithfieldva.gov' <wsaunders@smithfieldva.gov>; 'jjendrey@smithfieldva.gov' <jjendrey@smithfieldva.gov>; 'Beth Lewis' <blewis@franklinva.com>; 'office@townofivor.com' <office@townofivor.com>; 'boykins@townofboykinsva.com' <boykins@townofboykinsva.com>; 'kitchenswelding1@cs.com' <kitchenswelding1@cs.com>; 'courtland.townofc@verizon.net' <courtland.townofc@verizon.net>; 'Banks, Charley (DCR)' <Charley.Banks@dcv.virginia.gov>; 'William Sammler - NOAA Federal' <william.sammler@noaa.gov>; 'Moon, Shep (DEQ)' <Shep.Moon@deq.virginia.gov>; 'jgillespie@fmauthority.com' <jgillespie@fmauthority.com>; 'Hamor, Michelle L NAO' <Michelle.L.Hamor@usace.army.mil>; 'Mary-Carson Stiff' <mc.stiff@wetlandswatch.org>; 'cynthia.darden@redcross.org' <cynthia.darden@redcross.org>; 'Jessie.Lacks@cox.com' <Jessie.Lacks@cox.com>; 'Christine M. Tomblison' <christine@vims.edu>; 'Hicks, Gayle' <ghicks@hampton.gov>; 'ksomerset@poquoson-va.gov' <ksomerset@poquoson-va.gov>; 'Darryl Cook' <Darryl.Cook@jamescitycountyva.gov>; 'henkel@yorkcounty.gov' <henkel@yorkcounty.gov>; 'Pyle, Steven' <Steven.Pyle@norfolk.gov>; 'Pittenger, Meg' <PittengerM@portsmouthva.gov>; 'Whitney K. McNamara' <WMcNamar@vbgov.com>; 'khummel@isleofwightus.net'

<khummel@isleofwightus.net>; 'sspatz@cityofchesapeake.net' <sspatz@cityofchesapeake.net>; 'Ben McFarlane' <bmcfarlane@hrpdcva.gov>; 'Kim Tempesco' <KTempesc@vbgov.com>; 'kstone@cwf.org' <kstone@cwf.org>; 'patrick.lewis@chesapeakearegional.com' <patrick.lewis@chesapeakearegional.com>; 'katowner@wm.edu' <katowner@wm.edu>; 'Parker, Amy' <aparker@yorkcounty.gov>

Cc: 'John Sadler' <jsadler@hrpdcva.gov>

Subject: RE: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - FINAL Public Input Assessment

Importance: High

Good afternoon,

I know you're all uber-busy. 5 minutes, please, so I can finalize this plan!!

Final review of public input. Here are the comments from the September 27 meeting in Suffolk. I will respond to each in the comment/response doc. **Please let me know in an email by Friday (Oct 7) if your community's committee wants any changes to the doc as a result of the following:**

Reviewer: Linda Killebrew via email

Date: September 21, 2016

1. Keep the drains cleared in the ravines behind people's houses.

Reviewer: Patricia VonOhlen, Newport News Resident

Date: September 21, 2016

1. I would like to see more flood prevention strategies used: living shorelines, more green spaces, and other strategies to help shoreline residency, green infrastructure that slows storm water from delivery to our waterways so that rain can soak in and natural filtering occurs, find/fix bacteria leaks.

Reviewer: unknown

Date: September 27, 2016

1. Sec 5, p. 33. Figure 5.17 the colors are not useful or visible for colorblind people.

Reviewer: unknown

Date: September 27, 2016

1. Include information about how to get hazard mitigation assistance for homes and companies.

Reviewer: Robert Lane, Williamsburg Resident

Date: September 29, 2016

1. I live in Williamsburg and received an email from the City asking me to provide feedback to you on the working draft. I spent some time with it, (can't say I read it all), and was quite impressed with the amount and organization of the information. I did not review the Appendices. I don't have any specific comments and I didn't see anything that appeared to me to be in error. I could suggest that the overall conclusions be collected and summarized either at the beginning or the end, (even though this would mean most would only read that section). Otherwise, it is a bit voluminous to be an effective working document. Although on second thought, perhaps this is more an umbrella document for all the various municipality implementation plans addressing the hazards as they pertain to their locality. Overall, it seems thorough and well thought out.

Thank you.

Leigh

Leigh Chapman

From: Michael Bryant <Michael.Bryant@poquoson-va.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, October 4, 2016 1:18 PM
To: Leigh Chapman; 'Brian Spicer'; aclontz@isleofwightus.net; mstallings@windsor-va.gov; 'Kopczynski, Stephen'; gpointer@hampton.gov; sruch@hampton.gov; 'Walker, Hui-Shan'; 'Pat Dent'; 'Vince Holt'; 'Russell, B. K.'; 'Redick, Jim'; 'Tajan, Robert'; 'Michael R. Anaya'; rbraidwood@cityofchesapeake.net; 'Martha F. Burns'; 'Erin Sutton'; 'Glazner, George T.'; lbott@nngov.com; 'Kathleen Hale'; wsaunders@smithfieldva.gov; jjendrey@smithfieldva.gov; 'Beth Lewis'; office@townofivor.com; boykins@townofboykinsva.com; kitchenswelding1@cs.com; courtland.townofc@verizon.net; 'Banks, Charley (DCR)'; 'William Sammler - NOAA Federal'; 'Moon, Shep (DEQ)'; jgillespie@fmauthority.com; 'Hamor, Michelle L NAO'; 'Mary-Carson Stiff'; cynthia.darden@redcross.org; Jessie.Lacks@cox.com; 'Christine M. Tombleson'; 'Hicks, Gayle'; Kenneth Somerset; 'Darryl Cook'; henkel@yorkcounty.gov; 'Pyle, Steven'; 'Pittenger, Meg'; 'Whitney K. McNamara'; khummel@isleofwightus.net; sspatz@cityofchesapeake.net; 'Ben McFarlane'; 'Kim Tempesco'; kstone@cwf.org; patrick.lewis@chesapeakeregional.com; katowner@wm.edu; 'Parker, Amy'
Cc: 'John Sadler'
Subject: RE: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - FINAL Public Input Assessment

No changes for Poquoson.

Thanks,

Michael D. Bryant
Deputy Fire Chief/EM Coordinator
City of Poquoson Fire/Rescue
(757) 868-3512



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information or otherwise be protected by law. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Leigh Chapman [mailto:leigh.morgan2@verizon.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2016 12:59 PM
To: 'Brian Spicer'; aclontz@isleofwightus.net; mstallings@windsor-va.gov; 'Kopczynski, Stephen'; gpointer@hampton.gov; sruch@hampton.gov; 'Walker, Hui-Shan'; 'Pat Dent'; 'Vince Holt'; 'Russell, B. K.'; 'Redick, Jim'; 'Tajan, Robert'; 'Michael R. Anaya'; rbraidwood@cityofchesapeake.net; 'Martha F. Burns'; 'Erin Sutton'; 'Glazner, George T.'; lbott@nngov.com; 'Kathleen Hale'; Michael Bryant; wsaunders@smithfieldva.gov; jjendrey@smithfieldva.gov; 'Beth Lewis'; office@townofivor.com; boykins@townofboykinsva.com; kitchenswelding1@cs.com; courtland.townofc@verizon.net; 'Banks, Charley (DCR)'; 'William Sammler - NOAA Federal'; 'Moon, Shep (DEQ)'; jgillespie@fmauthority.com; 'Hamor, Michelle L NAO'; 'Mary-Carson Stiff'; cynthia.darden@redcross.org; Jessie.Lacks@cox.com; 'Christine M. Tombleson';

Leigh Chapman

From: Josiah Jendrey <jjendrey@smithfieldva.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, October 4, 2016 1:36 PM
To: Leigh Chapman
Subject: RE: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - FINAL Public Input Assessment

No changes from Smithfield

From: Leigh Chapman [mailto:leigh.morgan2@verizon.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2016 12:59 PM
To: 'Brian Spicer'; aclontz@isleofwightus.net; mstallings@windsor-va.gov; 'Kopczynski, Stephen'; gpointer@hampton.gov; sruch@hampton.gov; 'Walker, Hui-Shan'; 'Pat Dent'; 'Vince Holt'; 'Russell, B. K.'; 'Redick, Jim'; 'Tajan, Robert'; 'Michael R. Anaya'; rbraidwood@cityofchesapeake.net; 'Martha F. Burns'; 'Erin Sutton'; 'Glazner, George T.'; lbott@nngov.com; 'Kathleen Hale'; 'Michael Bryant'; William Saunders; Josiah Jendrey; 'Beth Lewis'; office@townofivor.com; boykins@townofboykinsva.com; kitchenswelding1@cs.com; courtland.townofc@verizon.net; 'Banks, Charley (DCR)'; 'William Sammler - NOAA Federal'; 'Moon, Shep (DEQ)'; jgillespie@fmauthority.com; 'Hamor, Michelle L NAO'; 'Mary-Carson Stiff'; cynthia.darden@redcross.org; Jessie.Lacks@cox.com; 'Christine M. Tombleson'; 'Hicks, Gayle'; ksomerset@poquoson-va.gov; 'Darryl Cook'; henkel@yorkcounty.gov; 'Pyle, Steven'; 'Pittenger, Meg'; 'Whitney K. McNamara'; khummel@isleofwightus.net; sspatz@cityofchesapeake.net; 'Ben McFarlane'; 'Kim Tempesco'; kstone@cwf.org; patrick.lewis@chesapeakearegional.com; katowner@wm.edu; 'Parker, Amy'
Cc: 'John Sadler'
Subject: RE: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - FINAL Public Input Assessment
Importance: High

Good afternoon,

I know you're all uber-busy. 5 minutes, please, so I can finalize this plan!!

Final review of public input. Here are the comments from the September 27 meeting in Suffolk. I will respond to each in the comment/response doc. **Please let me know in an email by Friday (Oct 7) if your community's committee wants any changes to the doc as a result of the following:**

Reviewer: Linda Killebrew via email
Date: September 21, 2016

1. Keep the drains cleared in the ravines behind people's houses.

Reviewer: Patricia VonOhlen, Newport News Resident
Date: September 21, 2016

1. I would like to see more flood prevention strategies used: living shorelines, more green spaces, and other strategies to help shoreline residency, green infrastructure that slows storm water from delivery to our waterways so that rain can soak in and natural filtering occurs, find/fix bacteria leaks.

Reviewer: unknown
Date: September 27, 2016

1. Sec 5, p. 33. Figure 5.17 the colors are not useful or visible for colorblind people.

Reviewer: unknown
Date: September 27, 2016

Leigh Chapman

From: Beth Lewis <blewis@franklinva.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 4, 2016 2:09 PM
To: Leigh Chapman
Subject: RE: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - FINAL Public Input Assessment

No comments from Franklin or Southampton

Beth

From: Leigh Chapman [mailto:leigh.morgan2@verizon.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2016 12:59 PM
To: 'Brian Spicer'; aclontz@isleofwightus.net; mstallings@windsor-va.gov; 'Kopczynski, Stephen'; gpointer@hampton.gov; sruch@hampton.gov; 'Walker, Hui-Shan'; 'Pat Dent'; Vince Holt; 'Russell, B. K.'; 'Redick, Jim'; 'Tajan, Robert'; 'Michael R. Anaya'; rbraidwood@cityofchesapeake.net; 'Martha F. Burns'; 'Erin Sutton'; 'Glazner, George T.'; lbott@nngov.com; 'Kathleen Hale'; 'Michael Bryant'; wsaunders@smithfieldva.gov; jjendrey@smithfieldva.gov; Beth Lewis; office@townofivor.com; boykins@townofboykinsva.com; kitchenswelding1@cs.com; courtland.townofc@verizon.net; 'Banks, Charley (DCR)'; 'William Sammler - NOAA Federal'; 'Moon, Shep (DEQ)'; jgillespie@fmauthority.com; 'Hamor, Michelle L NAO'; 'Mary-Carson Stiff'; cynthia.darden@redcross.org; Jessie.Lacks@cox.com; 'Christine M. Tombleson'; 'Hicks, Gayle'; ksomerset@poquoson-va.gov; 'Darryl Cook'; henkel@yorkcounty.gov; 'Pyle, Steven'; 'Pittenger, Meg'; 'Whitney K. McNamara'; khummel@isleofwightus.net; sspatz@cityofchesapeake.net; 'Ben McFarlane'; 'Kim Tempesco'; kstone@cwf.org; patrick.lewis@chesapeakearegional.com; katowner@wm.edu; 'Parker, Amy'
Cc: 'John Sadler'
Subject: RE: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - FINAL Public Input Assessment
Importance: High

Good afternoon,

I know you're all uber-busy. 5 minutes, please, so I can finalize this plan!!

Final review of public input. Here are the comments from the September 27 meeting in Suffolk. I will respond to each in the comment/response doc. **Please let me know in an email by Friday (Oct 7) if your community's committee wants any changes to the doc as a result of the following:**

Reviewer: Linda Killebrew via email
Date: September 21, 2016

1. Keep the drains cleared in the ravines behind people's houses.

Reviewer: Patricia VonOhlen, Newport News Resident
Date: September 21, 2016

1. I would like to see more flood prevention strategies used: living shorelines, more green spaces, and other strategies to help shoreline residency, green infrastructure that slows storm water from delivery to our waterways so that rain can soak in and natural filtering occurs, find/fix bacteria leaks.

Reviewer: unknown
Date: September 27, 2016

1. Sec 5, p. 33. Figure 5.17 the colors are not useful or visible for colorblind people.

Reviewer: unknown

Leigh Chapman

From: Richard L. Stephens <rstephens@suffolkva.us>
Sent: Tuesday, October 4, 2016 1:21 PM
To: leigh.morgan2@verizon.net
Cc: Brian Spicer
Subject: RE: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - FINAL Public Input Assessment

From: Brian Spicer
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2016 1:15 PM
To: Richard L. Stephens
Subject: FW: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - FINAL Public Input Assessment
Importance: High

No changes at this time based on the comments below.

From: Leigh Chapman [<mailto:leigh.morgan2@verizon.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2016 12:59 PM
To: Brian Spicer; aclontz@isleofwightus.net; mstallings@windsor-va.gov; 'Kopczynski, Stephen'; gpointer@hampton.gov; sruch@hampton.gov; 'Walker, Hui-Shan'; 'Pat Dent'; 'Vince Holt'; 'Russell, B. K.'; 'Redick, Jim'; 'Tajan, Robert'; 'Michael R. Anaya'; rbraidwood@cityofchesapeake.net; 'Martha F. Burns'; 'Erin Sutton'; 'Glazner, George T.'; lbott@nngov.com; 'Kathleen Hale'; 'Michael Bryant'; wsaunders@smithfieldva.gov; jjendrey@smithfieldva.gov; 'Beth Lewis'; office@townofivor.com; boykins@townofboykinsva.com; kitchenswelding1@cs.com; courtland.townofc@verizon.net; 'Banks, Charley (DCR)'; 'William Sammler - NOAA Federal'; 'Moon, Shep (DEQ)'; jgillespie@fmauthority.com; 'Hamor, Michelle L NAO'; 'Mary-Carson Stiff'; cynthia.darden@redcross.org; Jessie.Lacks@cox.com; 'Christine M. Tombleson'; 'Hicks, Gayle'; ksomerset@poquoson-va.gov; 'Darryl Cook'; henkel@yorkcounty.gov; 'Pyle, Steven'; 'Pittenger, Meg'; 'Whitney K. McNamara'; khummel@isleofwightus.net; sspatz@cityofchesapeake.net; 'Ben McFarlane'; 'Kim Tempesco'; kstone@cwf.org; patrick.lewis@chesapeakearegional.com; katowner@wm.edu; 'Parker, Amy'
Cc: 'John Sadler'
Subject: RE: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - FINAL Public Input Assessment
Importance: High

Good afternoon,

I know you're all uber-busy. 5 minutes, please, so I can finalize this plan!!

Final review of public input. Here are the comments from the September 27 meeting in Suffolk. I will respond to each in the comment/response doc. **Please let me know in an email by Friday (Oct 7) if your community's committee wants any changes to the doc as a result of the following:**

Reviewer: Linda Killebrew via email
Date: September 21, 2016

1. Keep the drains cleared in the ravines behind people's houses.

Reviewer: Patricia VonOhlen, Newport News Resident
Date: September 21, 2016

Leigh Chapman

From: Erin Sutton <eesutton@vb.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 6, 2016 2:17 PM
To: Leigh Chapman
Subject: RE: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - FINAL Public Input Assessment

No changes please.

Thanks
erin

From: Leigh Chapman [mailto:leigh.morgan2@verizon.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2016 12:59 PM
To: 'Brian Spicer'; aclontz@isleofwightus.net; mstallings@windsor-va.gov; 'Kopczynski, Stephen'; gpointer@hampton.gov; sruch@hampton.gov; 'Walker, Hui-Shan'; 'Pat Dent'; 'Vince Holt'; 'Russell, B. K.'; 'Redick, Jim'; 'Tajan, Robert'; 'Michael R. Anaya'; rbraidwood@cityofchesapeake.net; 'Martha F. Burns'; Erin Sutton; 'Glazner, George T.'; lbott@nngov.com; 'Kathleen Hale'; 'Michael Bryant'; wsaunders@smithfieldva.gov; jjendrey@smithfieldva.gov; 'Beth Lewis'; office@townofivor.com; boykins@townofboykinsva.com; kitchenswelding1@cs.com; courtland.townofc@verizon.net; 'Banks, Charley (DCR)'; 'William Sammler - NOAA Federal'; 'Moon, Shep (DEQ)'; jgillespie@fmauthority.com; 'Hamor, Michelle L NAO'; 'Mary-Carson Stiff'; cynthia.darden@redcross.org; Jessie.Lacks@cox.com; 'Christine M. Tombleson'; 'Hicks, Gayle'; ksomerset@poquoson-va.gov; 'Darryl Cook'; henkel@yorkcounty.gov; 'Pyle, Steven'; 'Pittenger, Meg'; Whitney K. McNamara; khummel@isleofwightus.net; sspatz@cityofchesapeake.net; 'Ben McFarlane'; Kim Tempesco; kstone@cwf.org; patrick.lewis@chesapeakearegional.com; katowner@wm.edu; 'Parker, Amy'
Cc: 'John Sadler'
Subject: RE: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - FINAL Public Input Assessment
Importance: High

Good afternoon,

I know you're all uber-busy. 5 minutes, please, so I can finalize this plan!!

Final review of public input. Here are the comments from the September 27 meeting in Suffolk. I will respond to each in the comment/response doc. **Please let me know in an email by Friday (Oct 7) if your community's committee wants any changes to the doc as a result of the following:**

Reviewer: Linda Killebrew via email
Date: September 21, 2016

1. Keep the drains cleared in the ravines behind people's houses.

Reviewer: Patricia VonOhlen, Newport News Resident
Date: September 21, 2016

1. I would like to see more flood prevention strategies used: living shorelines, more green spaces, and other strategies to help shoreline residency, green infrastructure that slows storm water from delivery to our waterways so that rain can soak in and natural filtering occurs, find/fix bacteria leaks.

Reviewer: unknown
Date: September 27, 2016

1. Sec 5, p. 33. Figure 5.17 the colors are not useful or visible for colorblind people.

Leigh Chapman

From: Pat Dent <WDent@williamsburgva.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, October 4, 2016 5:02 PM
To: Leigh Chapman
Subject: RE: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - FINAL Public Input Assessment

Williamsburg has no changes to the document based on the latest comments.

Pat Dent – Fire Chief
City of Williamsburg Fire Department
444 N. Boundary Street
Williamsburg, Virginia 23185
Office: 757-220-6225
Fax: 757-220-6229
wdent@williamsburgva.gov

From: Leigh Chapman [mailto:leigh.morgan2@verizon.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2016 12:59 PM
To: 'Brian Spicer'; aclontz@isleofwightus.net; mstallings@windsor-va.gov; 'Kopczynski, Stephen'; gpointer@hampton.gov; sruch@hampton.gov; 'Walker, Hui-Shan'; Pat Dent; 'Vince Holt'; 'Russell, B. K.'; 'Redick, Jim'; 'Tajan, Robert'; 'Michael R. Anaya'; rbraidwood@cityofchesapeake.net; 'Martha F. Burns'; 'Erin Sutton'; 'Glazner, George T.'; lbott@nngov.com; 'Kathleen Hale'; 'Michael Bryant'; wsaunders@smithfieldva.gov; jjendrey@smithfieldva.gov; 'Beth Lewis'; office@townofivor.com; boykins@townofboykinsva.com; kitchenswelding1@cs.com; courtland.townofc@verizon.net; 'Banks, Charley (DCR)'; 'William Sammler - NOAA Federal'; 'Moon, Shep (DEQ)'; jgillespie@fmauthority.com; 'Hamor, Michelle L NAO'; 'Mary-Carson Stiff'; cynthia.darden@redcross.org; Jessie.Lacks@cox.com; 'Christine M. Tombleson'; 'Hicks, Gayle'; ksomerset@poquoson-va.gov; 'Darryl Cook'; henkel@yorkcounty.gov; 'Pyle, Steven'; 'Pittenger, Meg'; 'Whitney K. McNamara'; khummel@isleofwightus.net; sspatz@cityofchesapeake.net; 'Ben McFarlane'; 'Kim Tempesco'; kstone@cwf.org; patrick.lewis@chesapeakearegional.com; katowner@wm.edu; 'Parker, Amy'
Cc: 'John Sadler'
Subject: RE: Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan - FINAL Public Input Assessment
Importance: High

Good afternoon,

I know you're all uber-busy. 5 minutes, please, so I can finalize this plan!!

Final review of public input. Here are the comments from the September 27 meeting in Suffolk. I will respond to each in the comment/response doc. **Please let me know in an email by Friday (Oct 7) if your community's committee wants any changes to the doc as a result of the following:**

Reviewer: Linda Killebrew via email
Date: September 21, 2016

1. Keep the drains cleared in the ravines behind people's houses.

Reviewer: Patricia VonOhlen, Newport News Resident
Date: September 21, 2016

1. I would like to see more flood prevention strategies used: living shorelines, more green spaces, and other strategies to help shoreline residency, green infrastructure that slows storm water from delivery to our waterways so that rain can soak in and natural filtering occurs, find/fix bacteria leaks.